Disposition of assets by the RTC : hearing before the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation, and Insurance, Resolution Trust Corporation Task Force of the Committee on Banking, Finance, and Urban Affairs, House of Representatives, One Hundred First Congress, second session, May 4, 1990.

United States. Washington : U.S. G.P.O. : 1990.

https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309



www.hathitrust.org

Public Domain, Google-digitized

http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

We have determined this work to be in the public domain, meaning that it is not subject to copyright. Users are free to copy, use, and redistribute the work in part or in whole. It is possible that current copyright holders, heirs or the estate of the authors of individual portions of the work, such as illustrations or photographs, assert copyrights over these portions. Depending on the nature of subsequent use that is made, additional rights may need to be obtained independently of anything we can address. The digital images and OCR of this work were produced by Google, Inc. (indicated by a watermark on each page in the PageTurner). Google requests that the images and OCR not be re-hosted, redistributed or used commercially. The images are provided for educational, scholarly, non-commercial purposes.

DISPOSITION OF ASSETS BY THE RTC

HEARING

BEFORE THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION, REGULATION, AND INSURANCE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION TASK FORCE

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE AND URBAN AFFAIRS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ONE HUNDRED FIRST CONGRESS

SECOND SESSION

MAY 4, 1990

Printed for the use of the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs

Serial No. 101-122



U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON : 1989

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, Congressional Sales Office U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402

30-239

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

HENRY B. GONZALEZ, Texas, Chairman

FRANK ANNUNZIO, Illinois WALTER E. FAUNTROY, District of Columbia STEPHEN L. NEAL, North Carolina CARROLL HUBBARD, JR., Kentucky JOHN J. LAFALCE, New York MARY ROSE OAKAR, Ohio BRUCE F. VENTO, Minnesota DOUG BARNARD, Jr., Georgia ROBERT GARCIA, New York CHARLES E. SCHUMER, New York BARNEY FRANK, Massachusetts RICHARD H. LEHMAN, California BRUCE A. MORRISON, Connecticut MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio BEN ERDREICH, Alabama THOMAS R. CARPER, Delaware ESTEBAN EDWARD TORRES, California GERALD D. KLECZKA, Wisconsin BILL NELSON, Florida PAUL E. KANJORSKI, Pennsylvania ELIZABETH J. PATTERSON, South Carolina C. THOMAS McMILLEN, Maryland JOSEPH P. KENNEDY II, Massachusetts FLOYD H. FLAKE, New York **KWEISI MFUME**, Maryland DAVID E. PRICE, North Carolina NANCY PELOSI, California JIM McDERMOTT, Washington PETER HOAGLAND, Nebraska **RICHARD E. NEAL, Massachusetts**

CHALMERS P. WYLIE, Ohio JIM LEACH, Iowa NORMAN D. SHUMWAY, California STAN PARRIS, Virginia BILL McCOLLUM, Florida MARGE ROUKEMA, New Jersey **DOUG BEREUTER, Nebraska** DAVID DREIER, California JOHN HILER, Indiana THOMAS J. RIDGE, Pennsylvania STEVE BARTLETT, Texas TOBY ROTH, Wisconsin ALFRED A. (Al) McCANDLESS, California JIM SAXTON, New Jersey PATRICIA F. SAIKI, Hawaii JIM BUNNING, Kentucky **RICHARD H. BAKER, Louisiana CLIFF STEARNS**, Florida PAUL E. GILLMOR, Ohio **BILL PAXON, New York**

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION, REGULATION AND INSURANCE

FRANK ANNUNZIO, Illinois, Chairman

CARROLL HUBBARD, JR., Kentucky DOUG BARNARD JR., Georgia JOHN J. LAFALCE, New York MARY ROSE OAKAR, Ohio BRUCE F. VENTO, Minnesota CHARLES E. SCHUMER, New York BARNEY FRANK, Massachusetts RICHARD H. LEHMAN, California MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio BILL NELSON, Florida PAUL E. KANJORSKI, Pennsylvania HENRY B. GONZALEZ, Texas STEPHEN L. NEAL, North Carolina BEN ERDREICH, Alabama THOMAS R. CARPER, Delaware ESTEBAN EDWARD TORRES, California GERALD D. KLECZKA, Wisconsin ELIZABETH J. PATTERSON, South Carolina C. THOMAS McMILLEN, Maryland DAVID E. PRICE, North Carolina JOSEPH P. KENNEDY II, Massachusetts WALTER E. FAUNTROY, District of Columbia FLOYD H. FLAKE, New York KWEISI MFUME, Maryland NANCY PELOSI, California JIM McDERMOTT, Washington PETER HOAGLAND, Nebraska

CHALMERS P. WYLIE, Ohio JIM LEACH, Iowa NORMAN D. SHUMWAY, California BILL McCOLLUM, Florida DAVID DREIER, California STAN PARRIS, Virginia MARGE ROUKEMA, New Jersey DOUG BEREUTER, Nebraska STEVE BARTLETT, Texas TOBY ROTH, Wisconsin JOHN HILER, Indiana THOMAS J. RIDGE, Pennsylvania ALFRED A. (Al) McCANDLESS, California JIM SAXTON, New Jersey PATRICIA F. SAIKI, Hawaii JIM BUNNING, Kentucky RICHARD H. BAKER, Louisiana CLIFF STEARNS, Florida PAUL E. GILLMOR, Ohio

(11)



Resolution Trust Corporation Task Force

BRUCE F. VENTO, Minnesota, Chairman

JOHN J. LAFALCE, New York MARY ROSE OAKAR, Ohio CHARLES E. SCHUMER, New York BARNEY FRANK, Massachusetts PAUL E. KANJORSKI, Pennsylvania GERALD D. KLECZKA, Wisconsin KWEISI MFUME, Maryland JIM McDERMOTT, Washington STAN PARRIS, Virginia BILL McCOLLUM, Florida MARGE ROUKEMA, New Jersey THOMAS J. RIDGE, Pennsylvania STEVE BARTLETT, Texas JIM BUNNING, Kentucky RICHARD H. BAKER, Louisiana

(III)

.





Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA ż

CONTENTS

	Page
Hearing held on:	1
May 4, 1990	1
May 4, 1990	62

WITNESSES

FRIDAY, MAY 4, 1990

Bennett, Thomas E., Jr., executive vice president, Stillwater National Bank	10
Gadsby, J. William, Director of Federal Management Issues, U.S. General	19
Accounting Office, accompanied by Gaston Gianni, Assistant Director, Gen-	99
Hand, Richard A., president, the Fortune Company	23 49
Hinkle, W. Hal, Chairman, Executive Committee, Mortgage Backed Securities	95
Johnson, James A., Vice Chairman, Federal National Mortgage Association LeGrand Ritch president Society of Real Estate Appraisers	20 21 42
Lewis, Hazel W., chairperson, Equal Opportunity Committee, Lewis & Associ-	47
McFarland, Beverly, president, Beverly Group	44
Seidman, Hon. L. William, Chairman, Resolution Trust Corporation Sprague, Irvine, former Chairman, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation	5 18

APPENDIX

Prepared statements:	
Bennett, Thomas E., Jr.	63
Gadsby, J. William	72
Hand, Richard A.	83
Hinkle, W. Hal	94
Johnson, James A.	101
LeGrand. Ritch	112
McFarland, Beverly	117
Seidman, Hon, L. William	132
Sprague, Irvine	153

Additional Material Submitted for the Record

American Land Title Association, letters to Hon. Bruce F. Vento, Mr. Gary	
Bowen and Mr. Rex Veal	531
Bennett, Thomas E., Jr., letter to Congressman Vento dated June 14, 1990	527
Crocker, Donald W., J.E. Robert Companies, letter with attachments dated	
May 1, 1990, to Hon. Bruce F. Vento, Subcommittee on Financial Institu-	
tions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance	160
Johnson, James A., supplemental responses	524
Resolution Trust Corporation, response to Congressman Leach's question	172
Seidman, L. William, salary charts requested by Congressman Leach	174
Title Insurer's questions regarding FIRREA	537



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

DISPOSITION OF ASSETS BY THE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

Friday, May 4, 1990

House of Representatives, Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance, Resolution Trust Corporation Task Force,

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE AND URBAN AFFAIRS, Washington, DC.

The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10 a.m., in room 2128, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Bruce F. Vento presiding.

Present: Chairman Vento, Representatives Schumer, Leach, and McCollum.

Mr. VENTO. The subcommittee will come to order. We finally received the attention that asset sales deserve, Mr. Chairman.

Today's hearing is the second hearing of the Task Force on Asset Disposition. On March 27, the task force heard testimony from representatives of the Resolution Trust Corporation.

While it was originally the intent of the task force to focus today's hearing on input from the private sector, circumstances have changed. The RTC has appropriately recognized the need to revamp and expedite the disposition process and have acted accordingly.

Resolving and closing institutions is but the first step in the S&L bailout process. Institution resolutions without asset sales, which is the current practice, leaves the business of resolution substantially unresolved.

Today we are all left hanging with the "guesstimates" as to the final cost of each resolution until these assets are finally sold, I mean sold—the prompt and complete disposition—not the temporary transfer of assets to an acquirer who has the option to dump them back onto the RTC 2 years later.

Taxpayers want and deserve to know how much we recoup from the loans and mortgages, the empty houses and the see-through office buildings when they are finally sold. And we cannot wait until the RTC is out of business to find out.

The book value of assets held by the RTC today is \$177.6 billion (with an additional \$12.1 billion still in receiverships). Almost half of that amount consists of mortgage loans, with another \$14.3 billion in nonmortgage loans.

To the extent that these loans are performing, they can be sold faster than the current pace and thus reduce the outlays that the American public is being asked to make.

Clearly the RTC faces a monumental task in disposing of these assets. We need to act. That means creative ideas, new approaches, and suggestions to streamline the resolution process.

Chairman Seidman is with us today to explain what new steps the RTC is taking. In reviewing the testimony, I think there are excellent proposals and certainly a spirit of cooperation which, I think, remarkable.

Even those witnesses, like Donald Crocker, who could not participate offered, I think, good input.

Prior to proceeding, I want to direct some comments to the events this week concerning Bill Seidman.

I have most often found your testimony, Mr. Seidman, before this committee to be very helpful. Alas, your departure from the FDIC is imminent but I hope that you will continue to speak out publicly on the state of our financial institutions.

The activities of this week about the status of your tenure has caught the President's attention. Let's hope that that attention continues.

While everyone has focused this week on "say it ain't so Bill" and "the reports of my departure are premature" the important side of the story was lost. That is what will be the impact of your decision?

You and I have not agreed on each point about the Resolution Trust Corporation. But we do agree on the central point, we must move forward.

After 9 months of relative inaction, there is renewed hope that the RTC is coming together and is ready to move. If that happens it will be because of you, Chairman Seidman.

You have been a point of stability in the process and an active and influential participant from day one. It is apparent that you and your staff are the driving force behind moving the RTC off of square one.

Mr. Chairman, I respect Bill Taylor and your judgment, but I am very concerned about the circumstances surrounding events this week culminating in the "laying of hands" on Bill Taylor. I worry about the independence of the FDIC and RTC.

I worry about the future policy decisions driven by political spin control artists.

The position of FDIC Chairman has been and is a tough job. Bill Taylor will have our full cooperation and assistance.

The current status of financial institutions, especially the S&L's demands no less.

Mr. Chairman, I recognize that you observe the Republican 11th Commandment. I however am of a different faith.

You will be missed Chairman Seidman. This change does not bode well for the S&L bailout law and the RTC. The erratic implementation, the indifference, inattention and internal squabbling of the administration have unfortunately made a tough job yet more difficult.

I am worried that this change at this time will lead to new delays and a review of existing policies. We do not need yet more

excuses for inaction. It is a well-established fact that slow down and delay translates into yet additional costs of 100's of millions and even billions of dollars. We need to get on with the job, face the facts and address the task.

Other Members have opening statements?

Mr. Leach, sitting with the task force, I want to welcome him. Mr. LEACH. I would like to defer to a task force Member, Mr. Schumer.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Schumer?

Mr. SCHUMER. That is all right.

Mr. VENTO. They are at a loss for words. This is going to be a new one.

Mr. LEACH. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I just want to say very briefly that we certainly appreciate the independence, integrity and long period of public service of Mr. Seidman including his service in this particular position.

At the risk of being partisan, I am reminded of Jack Kennedy's comment about "Ask not what your country can do for you."

We are dealing in an industrial setting where a whole lot of people have been asking what they can get out of Government and what they can take from the public. Mr. Seidman has symbolized what he could give.

We will have to wait for Mr. Seidman to tell us whether there is to be a transfer of power. If there is, his approach is a little novel for positions of authority. It appears that Bill Seidman has indicated that he is not about to give up the reigns unless the person to whom he is going to give the reigns has integrity and ability.

It appears that he has ensured that process. To that I tip my hat, because most people leaving public office don't look to the future. They simply look to the past.

The future they look to, is often their own.

Again, Mr. Seidman has sacrificed a great deal financially and a great deal personally for the country. I want to say in terms of public service that this Congress is appreciative.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. VENTO. Thank you, Gentlemen.

Mr. Schumer?

Mr. SCHUMER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

First let me thank you for holding these hearings and your leadership on this issue.

This task force, I think, has done an excellent job in following the RTC and the ongoing savings and loan cleanup.

Your work has been superb. I want to thank you for that.

I guess this is a turning point in a lot of ways. I would say one of the keys to the success of the clean up is its leaders.

Given the magnitude of the problem, clearly the greatest financial crisis this country faced since World War II, solutions come with assertive hands-on leadership at the very highest levels.

Overall, I would say, up to now we have not had that leadership. In the months since FIRREA was enacted paralysis has been the defining characteristics of the clean up.

We have fought to get a firm hand on the spinning wheel, and we have moved slowly and ineffectually. People have been fighting over whose hands were on that wheel. One of the bright spots in that whole mess has been you, Mr. Seidman, as Chairman of the FDIC and RTC. Your intelligence and your outspokenness, your integrity have been applauded by everyone involved in this effort, including Members of Congress on both parties.

You are, as Mr. Leach said, a model of Government service, somebody came in and helped. It is no accident that you are praised because you established your credibility and your trust the hard way.

That was back in the early days in 1988 when no one else would speak on how bad the crisis was, the magnitude of the crisis. You were there.

You may have gotten some people upset, but you did your country a service. If only people had listened earlier, maybe we wouldn't even be sitting here today or at least we would be sitting here with the magnitude of the problem much smaller.

I look at my 10 years in the Government and your activities have stood out as one I will remember as emblematic of what is good about Government. Now word comes you are likely to leave your post in the near future.

I have been troubled by the news that this decision may have been influenced by the administration's covert willingness to let you leave. I think the administration instead of saying it is Bill Seidman's decision should be on its hands and knees begging you to stay.

The messenger shouldn't be blamed for the bad news, especially when the truth is so important to the American people. If it is a done deal, as you would recognize better than anybody else, we have to move on.

I am encouraged by the President's willingness to listen to you, at least at this point, and your recommendation that Bill Taylor be appointed to succeed you. I think Bill Taylor is a superb choice, and if you couldn't stay, I couldn't think of a better person to take over.

He has tremendous respect. He has experience. He will be a very, very, valuable asset in our ongoing struggle to deal with this crisis which has become clear in the last few months. Passing a bill was just the beginning, not the end.

None of us can regard August 9 as the date, whatever August day it was—if I am reading lips right. That day is important as the final day in the crisis.

I would say one other thing as we look back. Mr. Taylor's talents have been wasted and the country will suffer unless this administration fundamentally changes its attitude toward the savings and loan clean up itself.

That goes from the President's on down. It will do no one any good if Mr. Taylor simply replaces you in this lonely dingy far out to sea. You have to make this crisis a top priority.

The President can't do it if he treats Bill Taylor with the same resounding indifference as he did Bill Seidman. So, we can't afford to be here a year later debating who should replace Bill Taylor because he left his post in frustration over the lack of support he received. And let this hearing mark a change in attitude, hopefully, of the administration that this crisis takes hands-on leadership from the top down. If that happens, then the legacy left us will really cause the problems that have been created over the past decade to be solved.

I thank you again.

Mr. VENTO. Thank you.

Chairman Seidman, I think you are rivaling Marla Maples this week in terms of the attention, not attention you brought on yourself.

I guess all is well that ends well. We want to talk about asset sales.

Please proceed.

STATEMENT OF HON. L. WILLIAM SEIDMAN, CHAIRMAN, RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

Mr. SEIDMAN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the committee. Thank you for your very kind comments.

I am especially pleased to appear before you today in good health and in good spirits to discuss the RTC's proposed policy for determining the fair market value of assets.

As I have stated on many occasions, the magnitude of the RTC's challenge to dispose of assets, whether in conservatorship or receivership, dictates that we seek new and cost-effective ways to sell assets. On February 28, 1990, the RTC's owned real estate asset inventory totaled \$16.4 billion.

From the RTC's inception through the end of March, sales of owned real estate exceeded \$2.1 billion—a substantial amount, but not as much as we would have liked. Clearly, the vast majority of our asset sales lies before us, and I will discuss with you proposed policies that will enhance the RTC's ability to accomplish our asset marketing objectives.

Currently, the RTC relies on appraisals to determine the fair market value of real estate assets. All commercial properties are appraised in accordance with the RTC's Uniform Appraisal Instructions to Appraisers which were adopted in November 1989.

These instructions state that an appraiser will provide an estimate of current market value, which is defined as "the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus."

Furthermore, these instructions provide that an appraiser is to estimate a normal marketing period, based on market evidence for the property, and is instructed not to assume fire sale or liquidation value. RTC policy requires new appraisals annually.

We can't sell real estate at 95 percent of market value as determined by the RTC in the distress states and have set a 90 percent fair market value as the lowest acceptable price available in other areas.

These percentages will continue to apply to the new determination of fair market value under our proposed policies. Our new proposed policy on establishing fair market value of real estate has two parts. One, dealing with the conventional marketing and the other with the establishment of the reserved crisis for auctions.

Under both parts the RTC will continue to rely on independent appraisals for the initial establishment of fair market value. The new policy, however, gives the RTC the flexibility to determine a lower fair market value where available information indicates a lower value is appropriate.

With respect to conventional marketing, appraisals will be obtained and reviewed for each asset.

The appraised value will become the RTC's fair market value and the basis for establishing a sales price as we have done in the past.

Each real estate property will then be exposed to the widest appropriate market for a minimum of 6 months, or for single family residential properties, 4 months. If fewer or no offers are received or if offers received are significantly lower than the assets adjusted appraisals, the value as initially established may be reduced by a maximum of 15 percent after the applicable 4 to 6 month period.

Subsequent reductions of up to 1 percent can be made after additional 3 months of active marketing. Maximum reduction permitted will be 20 percent in the absence of another appraisal.

These changes will not be automatic markdowns. Each new valuation will depend on the circumstances of the individual property, and a new valuation will be documented.

We believe these proposed guidelines will assure that an appropriate value is being set for each asset.

With respect to auctions, we have found that auctions offer an excellent method for efficiently selling real estate properties in certain cases and especially for an organization with a scale of marketing activity required by the RTC.

Under the proposed policy, property auction may be sold absolute. That is with no minimum reserve price if the property has an established market value set by appraisal below \$100,000 and the property has been widely exposed to the market.

The RTC will reserve the right in every case to reject any and all offers made in the absence of what it deems to be true competitive bidding environment. Also, the RTC will not auction properties and conservatorships which satisfy the eligibility requirements for the RTC affordable housing program.

All other properties may be sold at auction with reserve pricing set at levels that take into account the benefits of expedited sales, including savings, the saving and holding and marking cost. To stimulate active bidding associated with the auction process, the RTC may set reserve prices at less than appraised value.

Lowest reserve price that can be set is 70 percent of adjusted appraised value. Again, this will not be automatic.

Each property will be individually analyzed to determine its reserve price. That price will have to be documented prior to the inclusion in the sale.

In conclusion, the principal benefit of the proposed policy will be to increase the rate of RTC real estate sales. This will reduce the RTC's direct and indirect holding cost.

Like most other merchandise, the longer the savings and loan assets are on the shelf, the more they lose value. If a property does not sell, it is probably because we are taking, we are asking too much in the marketplace.

The RTC presently must incur the cost of holding, of obtaining a new appraisal and must incur additional holding costs. These costs easily exceed 10 percent annually and probably are closer to 15 percent.

A 10 percent per year in holding cost selling assets just 6 months sooner will save the RTC over \$800 million and the savings could be as high as \$1.2 billion on current inventory.

It should be noted these savings are asset based and going to grow rapidly as the resolution base quickens.

Failure to sell property because of high price increases our cost. The only way we are going to get the Government out of the savings and loan asset sale business is to find a market value at which the private sector will buy. As the old saying goes, without a customer, you don't have a business.

Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Seidman can be found in the appendix.]

Mr. VENTO. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for your testimony. It is helpful. I think it will be a good guide for our discussions.

There has been a lot of discussion and revisiting of the whole appraisal issue because of the cost of appraisals, which you point out in your remarks as being quite a significant factor in terms of cost.

Is it a policy that will be pursued—to differentiate between the types of appraisal based on the value of the property? We are talking about real estate-owned now which will become a larger portion of the RTC portfolio.

I guess that principally is what we are talking about?

Mr. SEIDMAN. That is what my testimony was about, yes.

Mr. VENTO. What I am asking is, if you have property that you think is higher in value, doesn't that justify spending money on appraisals whereas the property that has likely less value would not justify the expenditure on appraisals?

Would you comment on that? Try to differentiate between the relative value of properties and appraisals.

Mr. SEIDMAN. Yes. That is the reason we have set this policy.

First, however, though, we try to get an appraisal on all properties as a basis on which to then decide whether we need new appraisals or whether we can go to an automatic reduction period.

I might say that has been our experience over the last few months in the FDIC sales on that side, that we have been selling at about appraised values.

Mr. VENTO. The point is that, Professor Crocker in his statement to us talks about a generally narrative format for properties that are over \$5 million. He talks about a short form for purchases that are less than that.

Can you enlighten the committee as to where the RTC policy stands on that?

Mr. SEIDMAN. Well, right now we have something of a problem in that there has been told to us by our lawyers we are under the exam rules—we have to appraise everything over \$15,000 because that is what the exam council put out. It may be there could be a solution. We think that figure is too low. That was the only agreement that could be gotten, I guess.

I think we probably could meet it by having a short form appraisal for our lower cost assets.

Mr. VENTO. As an example, you are suggesting two independent local brokers, up to \$250,000. I think given the magnitude and the size of the assets, if we are hung up on \$15,000 with a generally narrative format where they are recommending over \$5 million, I don't know if this is right or wrong, but it seems to me——

Mr. SEIDMAN. We do believe it has to be changed. There simply aren't enough appraisers around that to handle all these——

Mr. VENTO. Spending all of the costs on management. I think there are other ways to be accountable.

I would hope Members would pay attention to that. We certainly want to support that.

One of the other problems that is not always evident to Members is that, in fact, most of the properties and assets that are held are actually not owned by the RTC. They are still titled in the institution that is in conservatorship.

Could you explain further? That is to say there are private interests that have a claim against those assets, so that \$190 billion we may be holding isn't even all in the ownership of the institution?

may be holding isn't even all in the ownership of the institution? Mr. SEIDMAN. That is right, Mr. Chairman. In fact, only a small portion of it is. Most of those properties are collateral for loans, are part of joint ventures, are assets were the title has not been cleared.

Out of the amount we have, as I said, we only have about \$16 billion that we really own for sale at the moment in real estate. The rest of it all has to be converted through foreclosures and through clearing titles of the properties that we have unless we can sell the loans and then allow someone else to deal with the whole process.

And there are purchasers for that. We will be selling things in that form as well.

Mr. VENTO. Well, can you explain the difference between a depositor preference State where the depository asset preceeds the interests of others creditors? Isn't that different from State to State?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I am not familiar with that.

Mr. VENTO. The depository preference.

Mr. SEIDMAN. That simply sets the way creditors line up in the institution.

That is who owns what in the institution, where it is depositor preference, we, in fact, have preference.

Mr. VENTO. In many States it is not the case. You are required to keep meticulous records of every single asset in every single institution?

Mr. SEIDMAN. We have to do that in either kind of State. In that particular state, that sets the order of the creditors. But, we have to keep a separate like a trustee ship in each State until the institution is closed down and put out of business.

Mr. VENTO. Working capital provided by the national Government against something that we don't own is a curious question in my mind.

Mr. SEIDMAN. Well, we have an ownership interest.

Mr. VENTO. I think we own 85 percent of it.

Mr. SEIDMAN. I couldn't give you a number. We can only borrow against what we own. We can't borrow against the whole assessment. We can only borrow 85 percent of what we own.

Mr. VENTO. And if we knew the value of it? It is not market, it is book value.

Mr. SEIDMAN. We have to make a determination of market value in order to be able to borrow against it.

Mr. VENTO. Very good.

Mr. Leach.

Mr. LEACH. Mr. Chairman, could you define for us what is your status? Have you formally resigned?

Mr. SEIDMAN. No, I have not.

Mr. LEACH. There is no time period?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I have not seen any.

Mr. LEACH. Thank you, sir.

As you know, in covering the S&L issue, there have been a number of crusading newspapers. One that has helped lead the country in this matter is a California paper called the Orange County Register. This past week the Register revealed that there were some foreclosed thrifts where management had been kept on salary without any duties.

Do you know, is this the case and in how many instances that has occurred?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I don't know that that is the case, no.

I am informed that if that were the case, it would, at least under our rules, only be if there were a severance agreement where we were legally required to keep them on.

Mr. LEACH. The article documents several instances where this apparently is the case. It apparently goes beyond whether there are formal severance agreements.

Mr. SEIDMAN. We certainly should look into that. That is certainly not our policy.

Mr. LEACH. Would it be possible for you to provide the committee, I think, through the Chairman, a list of all circumstances of foreclosed thrifts where the top officers had been kept on and their salary levels?

One of the reasons I raise this is that in this whole circumstance I think people have lost sight of the compensation issue in a public equity kind of way. That is the weaker thrift, the greater the compensation in many instances. And then in terms of relativity, because people like to point out we should be compensating, the more weak thrifts, the greater the compensation.

If people are kept on, they are kept on at compensation levels. Many of those compensation levels that I have looked at imply salaries that on a month basis are greater than the salary you have received on a yearly basis and salaries greater than that of the President of the United States.

The thought that people could be kept on in a salary structure in which they are in effect now being paid by the tax payer, in fact their earlier salaries before they were taken off were in effect deferred tax payer funding of their salaries, is something that I think is open to some concern by the public. And I think it would be fair to request that provide that information.

Mr. SEIDMAN. We will be happy to provide that, Mr. Leach. But our policy is clear that we do not keep people on at exorbitant salaries. We have removed a great many people in that regard. If we missed some, we would like to know about it.

[The information referred to can be found in the appendix.]

Mr. LEACH. Fair enough.

Mr. VENTO. This will be covered in our next task force meeting, we will examine the personnel practices and compensation matters. I think you are up and running now, so we should be able to take a look at those policies.

Acceding to Mr. Leach's request would be helpful with regard to the conservatorship and receivership salaries, compensation policies.

Has the gentleman from Iowa concluded his questions?

Mr. LEACH. I have one further question to go on a little bit to the Chairman's earlier inquiry.

The appraisal issue has come up more in the last year or two than at any time in my serving in this Congress. Most outside observers and Members of Congress have come to the conclusion that we had an appraisal scandal in the thrift industry. But there are also possibilities of overreacting.

For example, one of the proposals that is being talked about in thrift regulation is the question of whether there should be annual appraisals of property on which loans have been made. I think in some circumstances that such a requirement might imply a fairly great burden on the institutions and, therefore, higher interest rates.

Do any appraisals make sense to you for annual home lending circumstances?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I think, Mr. Leach, you have to look at situations. I don't believe annual appraisals are required in every case. I totally agree with the fact that, if I might say, as is usual when we have a problem, we have had to some extent an over reaction. Appraisals and appraising has become a real problem for us. The time to get appraisals has been extended in many places to all the way up to a year.

Prices have gone way up, and they are going to go up more with the new charter appraisal requirements. I think we have to be very careful to apply common sense to the appraisal area where appraisal alone will stop this whole process.

We have to get a hold of that. We are not in complete control of that. At the moment we are informed that much of what RTC does has been controlled by the example counsel rules.

So, I think it needs review.

Mr. VENTO. The time of the gentleman has expired. I was reminded by my staff, Chairman Seidman, that we had requested the salary information some weeks ago. It probably is in preparation. We will need it in less than 2 weeks.

Mr. Schumer.

Mr. SCHUMER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

On the asset sales issue, first I should tell you that my view is that I support this speeding up of asset sales. Sometimes I wonder why it hasn't been done a little sooner, I will ask about that. This idea of an overhang, to me, didn't make much sense. I know, we shouldn't sell too may properties too quickly and further depress the sales. As long as those properties are out there either sold or unsold, it is going to depress prices.

The uncertainty of them having not been sold is probably even a greater danger than the actual selling of them.

Second, the governor of Arizona came to us and others and said don't do it, but it was their State regulators which created this whole mess which we are ending up picking up the cost for. I don't think they were coming to the court with clean hands, so to speak.

But on the process of asset sales, I would just like to get a couple of questions. I think auctions make a great deal of sense. I think that is the best way to do it. But my questions relate to making those auctions work.

First, as the witnesses later will testify, or at least their testimony indicates, it has been a problem with information. Now I know you have opened up this public reading room in Washington, DC. Are there plans to open other of these reading rooms around the country? How are we going to get the information out in the what that potential purchasers really want it?

When they read this stuff, they have 20 more questions they want to answer before they bid. If we are going to go to an auction process, I think that is important. That is one type of question.

The second is do you have any ideas on, A, how to avoid collusion? What is the minimum number of bids that would be required? Would two bids be enough to sell the property? Are you going to have minimum upset prices the way they do in certain other kinds of auctions? By the FDIC auctions, as you know better than anybody, have they been successful? I think it is a good model. It is a good way, it is a better way of doing it than the way that had been proposed.

You can answer those questions, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. SEIDMAN. With respect to information, we will have reading rooms in other places over time as well as sales offices in other places. Insofar as information on a particular auction, that is part of the auctioneer's job. He outlines how he is going to provide that. We do that on a competitive bases.

We rate that technically so that we are assured that the auction company will do a true marketing job and provide the information that will allow us to determine that we have found the marketplace.

Second, we will have reserve prices, as you indicated. They will really be based on two things. One, some appraised percentage of appraised value down to 70. Two, on our judgment which we will have to make on a case by case basis that there was a real market determined, that there were sufficient bids, that they weren't conclusive and so forth.

We will have rules in that regard. I don't think you can make one standard rule for all auctions. If you are doing \$10 million properties, it is going to be different from \$100,000 properties. The effort will be to determine that there has been a true sample of the marketplace.

Mr. SCHUMER. OK. In other words, the basic policy will be get the information out, be as helpful as you can in getting as many

bidders as possible, and then just auction the properties off? Is that fair to say?

Mr. SEIDMAN. That is correct.

Mr. SCHUMER. With certain exceptions here and there? Mr. SEIDMAN. That is correct, subject to two reserves. One on price if it is over \$100,000 and a determination in every case that there was a real auction.

Very often we will have an auction that goes along. But by the time you get to the last property, there aren't any bidders anymore. Then you take those off the market and you start over.

Mr. SCHUMER. That kind of policy makes a great deal of sense. My time has expired. I will wait for the next round.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. McCollum.

Mr. McCollum. Thank you.

If I could follow up with an auction question. Are those going to be auctions held on individual pieces of property or as some have suggested, in geographical areas? You will bunch all the properties available at that time and have a big book on them and that sort of thing?

Mr. SEIDMAN. The auctions will be of a number of properties. They will be in different categories. There will be auctions in geographic areas. There will be auctions of certain classes of property. There will be auctions that will be addressed to the international as well as the national markets.

There will be local auctions that are going to have to be auctions of every type. Of course, I would remind you that all of these properties will have had a price on them, a market value in accordance to what we just talked about. At anytime somebody can come in and offer us, quote, "asking price" and buy the property. Mr. McCollum. What is your timetable for this? You have got a

lot of properties out there, and I realize that it is hard to predict every piece. You have set this policy now. What are your expecta-tions? Are we going to see 6 auctions next week or what? Mr. SEIDMAN. We expect to have the first big auction this

summer. It will involve, hopefully, \$200 to \$300 million properties.

Mr. McCollum. That would be a geographically based auction or you don't know yet?

Mr. SEIDMAN. We are just working on that now. I think that will be a larger property type sale. In other words, it will consist of major properties.

Mr. McCollum. Are you setting more than one auction? In other words, are you saying we are going to have this big auction this summer? These big pieces of property then in September, October, we have three more littler pieces or what?

Mr. SEIDMAN. That is exactly what we are doing. We are setting a schedule of auctions. That is why it is a huge management job, if you will, to put this process in place. We have just had the first RFP for auctioneers for the first auction.

We have now had the bids on that. We are determining within the first few days which auction company will conduct the first auction.

Mr. McCollum. What is your estimate of the percentage of the properties that are being managed or held in this fashion that will wind up being auctioned as opposed to other assets?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I can't give you that. We are a marketing organization. We have to decide as we go along what the market really wants. Many of the changes that we have made in the last month or two are a result of our doing market surveys, of talking to customers and so forth.

We will be deciding as we go along. It will depend on the success of the early auctions what the marketplace seems to want.

Mr. McCollum. Am I right we are talking about primarily real estate being auctioned now?

Mr. SEIDMAN. That is all we are talking about at this point.

Mr. McCollum. Would you refresh us on roughly the percentage of the assets that you are dealing with that are real estate overall, not auction items, but just real estate?

Mr. SEIDMAN. What we actually own, it is about \$16 billion, it is roughly 10 percent.

Mr. McCollum. Ten percent of all the assets you have?

Mr. Seidman. Yes.

Digitized by Google

Mr. McCollum. The others involve junk bonds and things like that; right?

Mr. SEIDMAN. It involves junk bonds. But, it primarily involves loans which have real estate as collateral which have not been foreclosed.

Mr. McCollum. One area that is troubling is in Florida, and I am sure it is true in many states today where these troubled institutions have been arranged, with all the tightened rules we have got, many of our developers, much more so than I anticipated, are now knocking on our doors saying, "We can't get any money to do our development. We can't get construction loans, and whether it is from an S&L or a bank."

The Federal Reserve issue, the statement you saw in the Wall Street Journal, I saw the other day said we don't have a liquidity squeeze. To these guys, there seems to be one.

Have you looked into that? Is that real or are they barking up the wrong tree? Are they screaming too early?

Mr. SEIDMAN. We have looked at it very carefully along with other regulators. It certainly is true that availability in certain areas, the real estate market has been restricted.

It has been restricted because we have very large excesses. The reason we have gotten RTC is there was far too much credit extended on enter prices that never should have been financed.

Mr. McCollum. None of us are going to argue with you on that. Mr. SEIDMAN. We are trying to guard against that. We have as

American people. We have this further background, the very large nonperforming loan increases in real estate that have been pretty uniform throughout the banking industry, particularly increasing on the East Coast.

The regulators are bringing the message that we would like not to go through this again. It is very clear that we have weak markets in a number of areas. We believe that we can best protect the interest of the Government and the financial system by seeing that we don't have a repeat not only of the S&L industry, but what we had in Texas. Don't forget the 9 out of 10 largest banks in Texas would have failed without help. In every single one of them, real estate was the primary reason for the banking difficulties. If you hear from some people that credit is not available on the same basis that it was in the past, I would hope that that is true.

Mr. McCollum. I respect that. I would agree with you. I am just trying to see if you have a handle on analyzing areas where it may be not just true, but there are problems that go beyond, you know—I am not talking about trying to protect the——

Mr. SEIDMAN. It is possible. We are aware of that.

Mr. McCollum. Thank you, very much.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. VENTO. We will try another round here. We will try to stick with this 5 minutes. It seems to work.

It seems to encourage everyone to be a little briefer in the process, Mr. Chairman.

What is the status with regard to the oversight approval of the clean sweep proposal that you have? Has that all been approved now?

Mr. Seidman. Yes.

Mr. VENTO. We are all set for the 141 institutions——

Mr. SEIDMAN. We are underway. That is a very ambitious program.

Mr. VENTO. Yes, I agree.

Mr. SEIDMAN. We are hopeful that we can make it go. And when we accomplish that, if we do, we will have handled about half of all the institutions that we currently——

Mr. VENTO. In number?

Mr. SEIDMAN. —are involved with.

Mr. VENTO. As conservatorships and receiverships?

Mr. SEIDMAN. Yes. The total amount that has been—–

Mr. VENTO. What is the percentage of the assets that they have? If it takes \$18 billion to replace them, they represent substantially less than half the asset base—20 percent of the asset base. But it is substantial and numbers matter, I guess, in this instance, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. SEIDMAN. That is correct.

Mr. VENTO. The accelerated resolution process, Mr. Chairman, it says we are going to get everything done, and then I can bid adieu. Really, has that been approved? Are the details of that still being worked out, the acceleration resolution process?

Mr. SEIDMAN. That process has been approved by the Oversight Board. The Oversight Board has said that is a matter of operations, and we are free to do what we believe is best. That is a welcome situation. We have to work out the details with OTS because it requires very careful scheduling.

It requires a determination of what institutions we are ultimately going to get. We and they are in the field making that determination now. We are very close to having the details worked out. Mr. VENTO. Chairman Seidman, can you give us any type of idea

Mr. VENTO. Chairman Seidman, can you give us any type of idea what the schedule will be? How many months will we be dealing with that and when?

Mr. SEIDMAN. We hope to start that program immediately after June 30, immediately after we have this major clean sweep. We would expect that the program would start rather slowly and move up to probably 50 a month.

Mr. VENTO. 50 a month?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I mean a quarter. Excuse me.

Mr. VENTO. In 3 months?

Mr. SEIDMAN. 50 a month would be nice.

Mr. VENTO. One of the points that is made repeatedly by witnesses—they point out that representations, warranties, and indemnifications if we are going to sell these, become very important to the secondary mortgage capital markets—and indemnifications become very important if we are going to sell these to the secondary mortgage capital markets.

Can you enlighten us as to the direction that we may be able to go or is this something that the RTC would be unable to do? What is the status——

Mr. SEIDMAN. We spent a lot of time on the warranties and guarantees which are required in order to make products salable. We have hired a consulting group. We have a book about that thing with all of the various warranties in it. We are making a determination by type as to what warranties we could give to have an acceptable market product.

Mr. VENTO. Does this—the concern, that would rise with me is that it not be a replication of the insurance process. If we are taking these properties off the books, the warranties, indemnifications and so forth are not necessarily a guarantee as has been pointed out.

Mr. SEIDMAN. That is why it is a difficult problem. It is not one that you can generalize on. Our approach is to provide normal market warranties to make a salable product.

Mr. VENTO. Does the life of the RTC limit this process to some extent, Chairman Seidman?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I don't believe so, although I have not checked that particular point.

Mr. VENTO. Just one question. My time has expired.

The sales approval process, the structure of decision making at the regional level, are you satisfied with that process in terms of giving the authority to regional levels?

I think it is necessary. In fact, decentralization of the sales approval with the proper accounting system or accountability is a key to moving assets. Can you comment briefly on that?

Mr. SEIDMAN. We agree with that. We have designed a decentralizing system with what we believe are proper internal controls.

Much of the decision making will be at the regional level based on a review and so forth as set forth in our policy book. We have a big manual which sets that out in detail, so, we, one of the reasons why everyone has been concerned, including us, with the process not getting off to a fast start is we have had to develop all those manuals and get them out there and get the people to do it.

Beyond that, all of our contractors will have a contract which provides them with incentives to sell. They will also have guidance on how they can sell.

So, each contract, whether lease managing or whether it is brokers or others, will all have an ability to sell for us. We will let the limits of their judgments in that regard.

Mr. VENTO. Thank you.

Mr. Leach.

Mr. LEACH. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I just have one question which you have constantly been asked in the last year and a half.

That is as we look at the scope and depth of the Savings and Loan bailout given the changes over time. Do you have a current estimate on the size of the hole? As you look at the size of the fiscal hole, do you have any suggestions on how we are going to pay for it?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I said many times that I think all the evidence to date is that the costs are going to exceed those as originally estimated. I have not changed that opinion. We are out in the field now with OTS. One of the key factors as yet unknown is exactly how many more institutions are going to have to be handled by the RTC. That will have a major effect on what overall cost will be.

Secondly, we are operating now in markets where interest rates are going up and property values are going down. Those are two adverse factors which very importantly affect cost.

So, anybody who gives a cost figure is going to be making an estimate in trying to predict the future.

One thing you can establish to have a base is how many institutions are you going to have to handle. We expect we will have a pretty firm grip on that within the next 2 months.

Mr. LEACH. Would you like to reveal whether you gave any guesstimates of cost to others in the Executive Branch in the last several weeks?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I have said that I don't think it is proper for me to discuss what I talked with the President about.

Mr. LEACH. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Schumer.

Digitized by Google

Mr. SCHUMER. As I mentioned before I have every faith in Mr. Taylor. It is just too bad that you are moving out and he is moving in. It would have been good to have both of you to play musical chairs.

I would like to know what advice you would have for Taylor if and when he takes over the helm. I realize we only have 5 minutes in these question periods, but how about a few succinct points?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I guess my first advice would be to take a hard look before you accept the job. Given that he is a courageous young man and everything, I think he wouldn't need a lot of advice from me. He is well aware of what the problems are, and I guess the other thing I would tell him is don't expect a lot of praise over a long period.

Mr. SCHUMER. You got a lot. Maybe he will, too. Do you expect any of your key personnel to depart if and when you go? Is that a real problem?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I really can't answer that. There are many people there who are very attractive to the private sector. How many of them will decide they want to do something else, I don't know.

Mr. SCHUMER. OK. What can we do, Congress, to help assure a smooth transition? What can the administration do to help assure a smooth transition?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I think responsiveness and team work is what is needed on all sides. Frankly, I think things have been working better recently, and the other thing I would say is really don't be impatient. This is a long, hard job. It isn't going to be accomplished any time soon. The real pain of what is taking place in everything from increased Government borrowing to the effect on real estate markets is still being felt, and so I think it is very important that no one expect that by this time next year we will all feel great and it will behind us.

Mr. SCHUMER. Do you think by this time next year we will be able to say we have turned the corner at least?

Mr. SEIDMAN. Well, I wouldn't want to predict that, but the real place where the rubber hits the road here is selling assets. That depends on the private sector. It depends on the economy. It depends upon the willingness to take bold action, and so I think it is pretty unpredictable at this time.

Mr. SCHUMER. Well, my one word to you is thanks. Mr. SEIDMAN. Thank you.

Mr. VENTO. Chairman Seidman, you know, one of the proposals we have and one of the problems that has existed has been the Oversight Board. Bill Taylor came after Dan Kearney's abrupt departure, and obviously that provided some running room and a change in behavior.

I think it got everyone's attention. I think we owe a lot to Dan Kearney. I am just wondering now that we have made this transition, wouldn't it be appropriate at some point here to try to clarify this—I am concerned that, frankly, that the Oversight Board, the addition of members to the FDIC Board seems to challenge the independence of the FDIC to some extent.

Do you share those concerns and what can we do about it?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I think there are some problems there. I will be thinking about that over the next couple of months, and I probably will have something to say about it. I just really haven't had a lot of time to think about what I would like to recommend at this time.

Mr. VENTO. Well, I appreciate that. Maybe we will have you back when you can. I am a great believer in the power of individuals no matter what the regulatory process. Individuals make a lot of difference, and having the right people and letting them do their job and getting out of the way is very important, and while our job here is to ask a lot of tough questions, and I have not been reluctant to do that, as you probably noticed, or other members for that matter, but I still am concerned about the job that has to be done here. I wonder when we are in the planning stages and we have problems, what is going to happen when we get to implementation. That is going to be a lot more difficult to actually stay the course in terms of putting these decisions in effect.

Mr. SEIDMAN. Mr. Chairman, I think it is certainly appropriate after this has been in place for a little while to have an oversight review and see how it is working and see whether improvements can be made.

Mr. VENTO. I think we have had problems even while it has been in place for a short time. I am concerned that the problems are going to creep right back in at a time that is very critical, but I guess we will judge that. Would you say it is fair to judge it on the basis of the accomplishments and goals that are achieved within 2 or 3 months?

Mr. SEIDMAN. I wouldn't want to put a time period on it, but I think it is perfectly appropriate to review the situation and see whether the organization can be improved. I think it is working better today than it did a few months ago.

Mr. VENTO. I understand that, but we first lose Dan Kearney, now we are losing you. It seems in order to make progress, it is a pretty high price to pay in order to get someone's attention and to shake up the whole system so that they start walking straight.

That is my concern. At this rate I don't know what we are going to do.

Mr. SEIDMAN. Well, you can always create a medal for those.

Mr. VENTO. Well, I think you probably deserve one. Mr. McCollum has returned. Did you have any further questions? If not, I think we will send some written questions along to you and perhaps you can participate in that personnel hearing in a couple of weeks. In any case, we hope you will be there to respond. We need your continued help.

We want to help you over these last few months. Whenever you decide, don't do it hastily. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You have been very helpful.

Mr. Seidman. OK.

Mr. VENTO. The next panel, Irvine Sprague, former Chairman of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; Mr. Thomas Bennett, Jr., Executive Vice President, Stillwater National Bank and Trust—I saw this, I thought it was in Minnesota, but it is in Oklahoma—and Mr. James Johnson, Vice Chairman of Fannie Mae; Mr. James Gadsby, The Director of the Federal Management Issues from the General Accounting Office and W. Hal Hinkle, Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Mortgage Backed Securities Division of the Public Securities Association.

I also referred to the testimony of Mr. Crocker, which we ask to be placed in the record at this point.

Well, gentlemen, all your statements will be made a part of the record. Without objection, so ordered. Irv, we are very pleased to have you. Welcome. Please proceed to summarize in about 5 minutes, if you could, please.

STATEMENT OF IRVINE SPRAGUE, FORMER CHAIRMAN, FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Mr. SPRAGUE. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Leach, Mr. McCollum, Mr. Schumer. It is great to be back here at the witness table. I have appeared in this room many, many times over the past 30 years. This time I am free from any constraints about being worried about administration policy.

I am now a private citizen, so I can tell you what I think. Twenty years ago when I was a novice in the liquidation business, I would have enthusiastically endorsed the rules and restrictions of the amateurs who are now setting policy for the RTC.

Read appraisal reports as if they were the Bible, get rid of the junk first and hold on to good properties until they appreciate, make certain that you are not embarrassed by selling so cheaply that someone makes a profit.

Now, it took me 3 years to learn that without exception, the best deal for the taxpayers is to sell right now at whatever price the market brings, no rules, no policies, just sell. If you don't, carrying costs will eat up any profit you might make.

The cost of money, the cost of staff, the cost of attorneys and advertising and appraisals can wipe out value more quickly than you might imagine. Using arbitrary rules about selling only at a certain percentage of appraisal guarantees an increase in cost to the taxpayer.

I imagine the policies in place now appeal to those who are being hired to help with the liquidation. This policy guarantees them lifetime jobs. We cannot afford a 3-year learning curve for the RTC beginners.

The New Kids On The Block at Treasury should step back, unshackle the chains, and let the FDIC professionals get on the with the job. There should just be one rule: sell, sell, sell.

Market value is whatever a willing buyer will pay in an open competitive market to a willing seller. All other tests are meaningless. One final thought on the changing of the guard. The principal problem with Bill Seidman is that he keeps telling us the truth, and that, of course, complicates any plans to cover up the real cost of the savings and loan scandal.

I know Bill Taylor well, and I know that he will give us the same honest, tough, forthright management as Bill Seidman, and he will keep telling us the truth. Once Seidman recommended Taylor, the options were closed for bringing in a political hat.

They underestimated Seidman from day one. Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Sprague can be found in the appendix.]

Mr. VENTO. We have next Tom Bennett, Executive Vice President of the Stillwater National Bank. Welcome.

STATEMENT OF THOMAS E. BENNETT, JR., EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT, STILLWATER NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST

Mr. BENNETT. I am pleased to be here to state that we reject the idea that RTC must choose between dumping assets and holding them for an extended period of time. Neither of these strategies will maximize RTC's return or help local economies. Instead the RTC should follow more creative strategy that should include the following points.

One, the RTC, should return assets it acquires to local control as quickly as possible. It should sell branches of failed thrifts to local banks or savings and loans on a whole thrift basis. that means the branches would be sold with both assets and deposits. These sales will have to be done on a branch basis to make it practical for smaller institutions which predominate in States like Oklahoma or other depressed economies to bid successfully.

Second, the RTC should operate like the major market player that it is in depressed economies and not merely a liquidator of assets. We recommend that RTC use assets to help local economies

19

Digitized by Google

N

expand instead of contributing to a downward spiral of real estate values.

Regarding point number one, it is very important that RTC return these assets it acquires to local control. The bankers who have survived in this market are experienced and successful. They are exactly the kinds of people that RTC and the Congress should want to be in control of these assets. Putting assets into local firms would make a dramatic difference to the borrowers who owe the money on these loans that Mr. Seidman has suggested are the bulk of what they own because ongoing local financial companies can restructure existing debt if necessary and provide additional loans for ongoing working capital for companies to grow and go ahead and do business as usual.

Conversely, the RTC does not loan new money to borrowers whose loans it acquires. Their primary goal is to liquidate their assets now. Therefore, it would be better for the borrowers and their local economies if they could work with ongoing local financial companies in working through the loans that they have outstanding.

One of the difficulties the RTC will have in selling failed savings and loans to banks in our part of the country is the average bank in Oklahoma has less than \$50 million in assets, and the average savings and loan has \$139 million in assets.

Despite the size of the banks in our part of the country, they do have the strength to do the job because they have an average equity capital of 8.79 percent, so there is the capacity to buy if sold in branches.

Regarding point number two, the RTC is the largest player in local markets, and unfortunately it will probably grow much larger. If you add the assets the RTC owns to those of the FDIC, HUD, SBA, VA, Farmers Home Administration and other Federal agencies in states like Oklahoma, it is fair to say that they define the market.

Therefore, it is critical that the policies of RTC be implemented so that they serve to expand local economies so that maximum value can be realized for all. The RTC should act like an investor trying to maximize the value of its assets.

Rather than dumping or holding the RTC should prioritize the actions of its people by placing its assets in the following categories. Number one, strategic commercial real estate. These would be properties such as commercial buildings or industrial property that could be developed or sold to either expanding local companies or new companies recruited to the area. New jobs would be created, increasing demand for local housing, and a result would be that real properties owned by RTC would increase in value.

This should be their highest priority, selling strategic commercial real estate. The second category would be nonstrategic but wasting assets. These would be properties such as single family homes which are not a strategic commercial value, but which will deteriorate in value if not properly maintained or occupied.

These properties should be sold or promptly leased so that they will be properly maintained, but their sales should be an intermediate priority. The third category would be nonstrategic, nonwast-

ing assets. These would be properties such as raw land that will not deteriorate if held in inventory.

They should be sold by RTC, but they should be the lowest priority in marketing efforts. As strategic commercial properties are sold, and economies begin to expand, the existing real estate is occupied, then there will be demand for raw land and the property could be sold for better prices at that time.

As an example of how this might work, imagine a typical small town or a poorer section of a metropolitan area, the RTC is likely to acquire the largest percentage of its real estate in single family homes or raw land, but they are also likely to acquire some significant commercial property or industrial sites in the same area.

If they would focus first on selling that commercial property or industrial sites and maybe bringing in 100 new jobs to the area, those 100 new employed people would be interested in buying homes so they can sell the second group or the nonstrategic but wasting assets in the second tier and then as they occupy these existing houses, sell the raw land to developers that want to continue to expand the area.

I believe that following these policies will result in win-win outcomes for both the RTC and the residents, the people in the depressed economies.

The RTC will maximize the value of its assets acquired, the taxpayers nationwide will pay the minimum amount required to resolve the crisis. Taxpayers in distressed regions will not continue to lose value in their homes, their farms, and their businesses, and more Americans in distressed economies will get jobs and be able to begin to rebuild their lives.

Mr. Chairman, we are not asking for a hand out for depressed economies, but rather cooperation and pursuit of mutually desirable goals. Thank you for considering my testimony.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Bennett can be found in the appendix.]

Mr. VENTO. Thank you, Mr. Bennett.

We have next Mr. Jim Johnson with Fannie Mae. Welcome, chairman of the——

STATEMENT OF JAMES A. JOHNSON, VICE CHAIRMAN, FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION

Mr. JOHNSON. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for the opportunity to testify this morning. Mr. Chairman, I think you and the other members of this task force deserve a great deal of credit for the care and attention that you are devoting to this process.

We are very pleased to be able to testify this morning. Fannie May is a congressionally chartered, privately owned company whose sole business is to support residential lending by making a secondary market for mortgages. Our public mission, together with our major investment in home mortgages, makes Fannie Mae vitally interested in helping the RTC task force.

To that end, let me share with you our thinking about three key issues faced by the RTC. First, mortgage assets sales; secondly, the affordable housing mandate; and third, the RTC's real estate dis-



posal efforts. Fannie Mae knows the RTC faces a massive task, the largest and most demanding of its kind ever undertaken.

It is important to recognize that although most of the discussions on RTC assets has centered on real estate disposition, the largest single component of RTC assets consists of mortgage loans. The RTC is charged with disposing of an estimated \$70 billion of residential mortgages.

The task force and the RTC should be encouraged that the substantial investor market exists to absorb those mortgages. In 1989 alone the secondary market absorbed \$280 billion in single family mortgages and Fannie Mae accounted for \$87 billion of that amount.

Fannie Mae operates in the secondary mortgage market where loans originated by banks, thrifts, and mortgage bankers are sold to third party investors.

These loan sales can take the form of whole loans where the investor assumes the credit risk or the sales can take the form of securities. When securitization occurs, a conduit such as Fannie Mae assumes credit risk and guarantees the assets.

The guarantee assures buyers that they will receive the monthly principle and interest due on the security. Further, our guarantee attracts capital market investors who do not ordinarily invest in mortgages. The RTC's challenge, simply put, is to use effectively the highly efficient secondary mortgage market. In order to do so, it has to accept the responsibility and conform to the practices the market requires of sellers.

The advantages are higher prices on the assets sold, increased sales volume, and the speediest possible sale process. Buyers in the secondary mortgage market demand information about the quality of the underwriting.

The payment history as well as the age and location of the loan. The seller is responsible for knowing what he has to sell and making the relevant data available for evaluation.

The more information known about the mortgage loan, the better the buyer can assess the investment and the more attractive the price that can be offered. The first requirement, then, for taking advantage of the secondary market, is to provide quality information in a timely way.

In addition to this fundamental data, representations and warranties are an essential element in all loan sales. Without these, a seller cannot expect to obtain a price anywhere near the true value of the assets.

The most important representations and warranties assure the buyer of facts in four areas—number one, that the seller is authorized to sell the loan; number two, that the loan documents are genuine and enforceable; number three, that the information made available to the buyer is accurate; and, number four, that the loan transaction is not in violation of applicable statutes.

Further, the seller typically represents and warrants that the loan has been serviced properly prior to the sale. We understand the RTC is currently considering the scope of representations and warranties, as Mr. Seidman said this morning, that it will provide to purchasers. This could be the single most important determinant of how many buyers will participate, the price they will pay, and how many loans are sold. In recent months, the RTC has come a long way in developing an understanding of how the secondary mortgage market operates.

Through a loan disposition program now underway in Atlanta, the RTC is on its way to selling as much as 20 percent of its single family loan inventory. The collection of data is already in process on this program involving \$11 billion in loans. Fannie Mae applauds the RTC's Atlanta pilot project.

Fannie Mae, through the Office of Low and Moderate Income Housing, is already working with the RTC to help them implement their affordable housing program. We are eager to expand on that relationship. The RTC has already utilized our single family community lending and public finance programs to provide low cost mortgages for home buyers.

In multifamily areas, we have offered to the RTC and we stand ready to work with any serious buyer of a rental property to see whether we can tailor our multifamily finance programs to the RTC needs. Finally, on the subject of real estate disposition, we have recommended that the RTC's disposition strategies include rentals with the option to purchase, sales to prospective owner-occupants, and bulk sales or sales of several properties in a single transaction.

We have suggested that the RTC adopt a general policy of repairing properties prior to the disposition. Fannie Mae has also recommended that the RTC develop guidelines for seller financing from lender held properties with the intention of reselling such loans into the secondary market.

Fannie Mae is fully committed to being part of the solution to the challenges faced by the RTC. We can purchase and securitize mortgage loans and encourage affordable housing.

We have a broad range of products and programs that complement the assets that the RTC has to sell. In addition, we are prepared to explore new concepts and ideas with the RTC at any time. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Johnson can be found in the appendix.]

Mr. VENTO. Thank you, Mr. Johnson.

Our next witness is with the General Accounting Office, Mr. William Gadsby.

STATEMENT OF J. WILLIAM GADSBY, DIRECTOR OF FEDERAL MANAGEMENT ISSUES, GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE; AC-COMPANIED BY GASTON GIANNI, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, GEN-ERAL GOVERNMENT DIVISION, GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

Mr. GADSBY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We are pleased to be here today. I brought with me Mr. Gaston Gianni, who is the head of the new asset management group that we have recently set up in the GAO. My testimony this morning, Mr. Chairman, will cover three topics, RTC's asset disposition process, their marketing and disposition process, their marketing and disposal strategies, and certain operational issues facing them. As others here have said today, the asset disposition task facing the RTC is unprecedented. To do it well, RTC must have an effective management structure, well-trained staff, and sound contracting procedures.

The RTC has organized its asset management activities into three major functions—marketing, asset and contract management, and disposition. A field structure has been set up to do much of the work, but it is not fully staffed yet. Overall, RTC has about 36 percent of its planned 5400 staff.

As of April 30th, staffing levels at the consolidated sites where most of the asset contracting and monitoring work will take place very greatly from about 55 percent of full capacity in Kansas City to about 14 percent in Phoenix, which was the last site to be set up.

While RTC is not yet ready to aggressively dispose of assets, as of March 31st, it had reported liquidations through sales, loan collections and debt retirements of about \$40 billion. Of this total, about \$33 billion or 83 percent had been disposed of in conservatorship. Asset dispositions have occurred primarily in conservatorships because those thrifts usually had a structure in place to market assets. We believe that liquidating certain assets while the thrift awaits resolution is expedient, and because it is being done sooner, can reduce ultimate resolution costs.

RTC recently established a training task force which is responsible for assessing the overall training needs and identifying where training should be strengthened. We believe this is a necessary step in the contracting area because RTC's mandate is to contract out as much work as possible, and much of RTC's staff is either new to the Government or from FDIC where the normal practice was to do its own asset management disposition work as opposed to working through contractors.

The strategic plan called for RTC to submit most of its implementing procedures to the Oversight Board by March 30th. The target dates were met for many implementing procedures, but some important procedures in the asset disposition area related to contractor incentive strategies, contract performance standards, and alternative methods for estimating market value are still being developed. We believe these critical procedures need to be in place before RTC embarks on an aggressive asset management and disposition program.

Recognizing the magnitude and complexity of the asset management disposition task, the strategic plan directs RTC to try alternative procedures. As has been mentioned, RTC has been using the plan's flexibility to identify and test several marketing strategies. These include securitization of loans, real estate auctions and national sales center. We think these are positive steps and we endorse the concept of testing and evaluating them before nationwide implementation.

RTC is also exploring alternatives to appraisals for establishing the market value of assets, as Mr. Seidman mentioned in his testimony. In our opinion, having such alternatives readily available could be valuable to RTC if either the ability to get timely appraisals or the appraisal results become a bottleneck to the asset disposition process. We believe RTC needs to also work with other Government agencies, such as GSA, VA, FHA to identify and pursue asset disposition approaches or strategies that are in the best interest of the Government as a whole. As RTC gets more involved with the actual business of asset management disposition, several items will need attention.

An emerging issue RTC will face is what effect substances, such as toxic waste, asbestos, and PCB's may have on its ability to dispose of real estate. EPA's regulations may require RTC, as receiver, to remedy environmental problems before property can be used or sold. Correcting these problems may be very expensive, greatly increasing RTC's disposition costs for the affected properties.

With respect to contracting, it will not be good enough just to have well-written contracts. RTC will have to adequately monitor the execution of these contracts to be sure the interests of the Government are well-protected.

Finally, the Oversight Board has said that the strategic plan will be revised over time. We endorse this concept, especially during the initial start-up period when changes tend to occur more frequently. This updating is very important because the plan serves as the basic tool for managing the RTC and for informing the general public and contractors how RTC will operate.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That concludes my statement.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Gadsby can be found in the appendix.]

Mr. VENTO. Thank you, Mr. Gadsby.

We will be back with questions in a moment. We are pleased to welcome the next witness, Mr. Hal Hinkle, who is with Mortgage Backed Securities Division of the Public Securities Association.

Welcome, Hal. Please proceed.

STATEMENT OF W. HAL HINKLE, CHAIRMAN, EXECUTIVE COM-MITTEE, MORTGAGE BACKED SECURITIES DIVISION OF THE PUBLIC SECURITIES ASSOCIATION

Mr. HINKLE. Thank you. Good morning. Mr. Chairman. I am Vice President and National Product Manager of the Mortgage Department at Goldman Sachs, but this morning I do not represent my firm, but rather the Public Securities Association.

The PSA is an international trade association of over 300 banks and brokers underwriting and trading and dealing in mortgage backed securities, municipal securities, Government securities, and money market instruments. On behalf of PSA, we thank the task force for the opportunity to testify.

We would like to limit our comments to those assets currently existing as securities or which are fungible assets on the thrift's balance sheets which are compatible with this position in the secondary mortgage capital markets.

We estimate that well more than half of the RTC's total assets will ultimately be disposed of in the capital markets. In response to the questions raised in the letter of invitation, we hope that we have provided comments and testimony which will be useful to the RTC as it undertakes this herculean task.

1

In the few moments we have this morning, we would like to raise just three issues which we believe the RTC must appreciate and embrace in order to achieve the highest realized sales price in a reasonable period of time.

These three issues are information flow, representations and warranties, and indemnification. While the first may be easy to appreciate. The second two are no less important to appreciate.

Information flow: For the capital markets to provide the RTC with sufficient liquidity, the RTC will have to provide the markets with consistent, timely, and complete information about the volume and nature of the various classes of assets it has for sale.

Also, in order to be effective in structuring its securities for the marketplace, the RTC will need information and insight as feedback from the marketplace. To this end, the PSA has formally offered to serve as a liaison between the capital markets and the RTC. We look forward to the RTC's response.

Representations and warranties. As has been said, all loan sales in the capital markets rely upon commercially reasonable representations and warranties.

They are provided by the seller in absence of perfect and exhaustive information about the characteristics and documentation status of each underlying loan. We believe that the RTC must provide commercially reasonable representatives and warranties if it intends to receive full value in its asset sales.

Finally, indemnification. Customarily in an asset disposition, the seller indemnifies its financial advisor against third-party claims and litigation. For this indemnification to be valuable, the party providing the indemnification must be credit worthy.

It cannot be the insolvent thrift. In asset sales, it is not customary for the financial advisor to provide cross indemnity back to the seller. The more capital a financial advisor has at risk, the less willing it will be to provide the cross-indemnity and in turn work for the RTC.

As the RTC requires this cross-indemnity, it will likely result in an unintended bias for the RTC to contract as financial advisor those firms with little or no capital at risk, and this in turn could directly limit the RTC's access to the broadest range of expertise and experience at the same moment when it is approaching the monumental task of moving assets off the RTC's balance sheet into the capital markets.

Thank you.

The prepared statement of Mr. Hinkle can be found in the ap-

pendix.] Mr. VENTO. Thank you very much for your testimony. Mr. the fact that they are moving ahead on representations, and warranties and indemnifications for their portfolios.

Can you give us any insight as to what the status is or have you looked at some of the material or background information that they have in this process?

Mr. HINKLE. It hasn't been provided directly to the marketplace for feedback at this point. I do believe the RTC has contracted a private advisor to assist in developing it, and the Public Securities Association has volunteered to offer feedback on it when it is available.

Mr. VENTO. So much of this they have held for over a year, either in the FDIC, the RTC and now there is a pilot program.

Mr. Johnson referred to a pilot program to work on securitization, but I think also part of it is to develope representations, and warranties and indemnifications. Can you comment on that, Mr. Johnson? It is the Eastern region's project, I believe, out of Atlanta.

Mr. JOHNSON. Yes, I can, Mr. Chairman. We have really been very encouraged by the pilot project, which is being managed out of the Atlanta Regional Office. They are talking now about the potential for selling up to \$11 billion of loans which will be properly documented in the course of the next few months.

I understand that the sale of those loans could begin as early as June. One of the things that we are very hopeful of, and I am sure that the public securities association would endorse this point of view, is that the representations and warranties decision be made very quickly by the RTC.

There really is no reason, I don't believe, for substantial further delay in this matter. Once the documentation work has been done, the representation and warranties decision has been made, there is a very large market available to absorb those loan sales. We are encouraged by the Atlanta project and we think everything is about ready to begin as it should.

Mr. VENTO. Well, as you pointed out, Mr. Johnson, this aspect of mortgage sale is a very important one in terms of the RTC because they will be a significant player in the market, and it is important that it is done in an orderly and stable way.

Mr. Hinkle also, of course, referred to that. Is there any indication as to what the impact would be if someone were to go to the market and not be able to supply the necessary information regarding the paper? What would be the impact on the value of that paper?

Mr. JOHNSON. The value, of course, would be directly related to the amount of information they did provide and to the nature of the representation and warranties that they did, in fact, decide in the end that they would make available.

There is probably a market and a set of structures which could be used, whether senior subordinated structures or other structures, for the mortgage assets if there was minimum documentation, but I think there is one inescapable problem that flows either from inadequate documentation or inadequate representation and warranties, and that simply is that they will achieve a much lower price for those assets than they would if they conform to the normal secondary market practices.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Hinkle, you concur in that?

Mr. HINKLE. Oh, absolutely, and we would like to distinguish between the function of a representation warranty and the function of a guaranty. We encourage the RTC not to see the two as the same. They are not.

Mr. VENTO. Well, as you noticed in my questioning, I tried to distinguish on the basis of reading your testimony and our conversation. For the record, I am going to ask unanimous consent to place



in the record the asset information as of April 20, based on information from the December 31 financial statements of thrifts, and total assets of those in conservatorship.

It would not include those in receivership, which is another \$12 billion, but total assets is \$177.6 billion, and of that well over half of it is in mortgage type of loans. In fact, just a quick look at it looks like it is almost \$100 billion in terms of this, so \$11 billion, when we talk about \$11 billion in terms of this Eastern effort, we are still only talking about one-tenth of it, although that is a substantial—and I guess without objection that will go in the record and be available to the members.

Is there any limit—in other words, what is the ability to move this in the market? Is there some upper limit or threshold Mr. Johnson or Mr. Hinkle?

Mr. JOHNSON. I don't think there is any realistic limit to the ability of the market to absorb the product if properly presented to the market. There is between 58 and \$60 billion of single family mortgage product that they are holding at the moment.

We don't know, of course, because of the lack of documentation, how much of that is conforming, nonconforming, what the payment characteristics are of those loans, but if one were even to assume that two-thirds or three-quarters of that are going to meet the requirements that the market would have for absorbing the product, to absorb something in the range of \$35 to \$45 billion for a market of the size we are talking about here, I don't believe, Mr. Chairman, would be a significant problem.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Sprague, one of the point that you make is the issue with regards to puts. The RTC is now in a role where it is permitting an individual buyer of some loans and other assets to put them back into the RTC portfolio after a period of 2 years.

It started out at 6 months. Then it was a year. Now we are up to 2 years. What is your best advice regarding the puts for the RTC?

That is placing product back into their portfolio after managing it for a period of time, Mr. Sprague?

Mr. SPRAGUE. If we don't allow puts with a significant amount of time, it is going to drive down the sale price considerably. The due diligence to look at an institution and make your bid over takes time and takes a lot of money, and if an institution can be sold and the buyer knows that—if there are things he just didn't want to buy there, they can put them back, otherwise the price he will pay will be substantially higher.

The first rule allowing 6 months just wasn't anywhere near enough. I think 2 years is——

Mr. VENTO. What was the policy when you were working as the chairman and participant at the FDIC?

Mr. SPRAGUE. Well, the policy in my early days was all wrong. We didn't know what we were doing.

Mr. VENTO. What was it?

Mr. SPRAGUE. Well, we wouldn't allow puts. When I came back 10 years later, we changed the policy and in Continental, for example, we allowed enormous puts.

Mr. VENTO. There are some problems with that, though, are there not?

Mr. SPRAGUE. Oh, certainly.

Mr. VENTO. We have policies with regards to it, but what are the problems in terms of trying to then manage and make certain that those properties and loans and other things are properly worked?

Mr. SPRAGUE. Well, you have to balance. There are real dangers that they won't be properly worked, and you won't get the return, but I think that is overbalanced by the tremendous discount in the amount that would be paid for an institution.

It is a balance. I think it is weighted in the direction of allowing puts.

Mr. VENTO. Did you have the puts where there was a shared appreciation or a loss in terms of the value of that before it was placed back in the portfolio, if it was put back?

Mr. SPRAGUE, I don't think that is practical because what we are trying to do is to achieve a fair price, and there is such a discount for doing the due diligence and for fear of picking up a lot of properties that they just wouldn't buy under any circumstance, that the price they are willing to pay is just discounted so high that I don't think in the bottom line it wouldn't work out.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. McCollum, my time has expired.

Mr. McCollum. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Bennett, have you had any indication from RTC that they are receptive to your suggestions with respect to local institutions getting a bigger opportunity in this whole process and how they might get that opportunity?

Mr. BENNETT. Well, yes. They have indicated that they are interested in selling on a branch basis. There have been some branch sales in Kansas. In our State there haven't been to date, but there has been a tendency to think of either selling them as a complete institution or even aggregating them when they have closed into one big pot, and we are saying they need to go the other direction with that because the bidders in the local market, at least in our part of the country, are by and large smaller banks than the existing savings and loans. So I believe there is a receptivity. There is a question about how that mechanically might work.

Mr. McCollum. One of the great fears that this committee has had in the whole process has been as we hurried to hire people in RTC, that we didn't get the best people always.

Now, that is not to criticize any one person, and I have no basis upon which to make any criticism of that nature, but I am curious if your observations to date would give you any impression you could convey to us as to whether the people that you interface with or the local banks do in Oklahoma that RTC now has in place are people who have the kind of background and ability to do the job in the areas that you have seen so far.

Digitized by Google

Can you make a comment to us about that? Mr. BENNETT. Well, I would say that on the whole they are hiring good people, but they are not going to be able to hire the best people who already have jobs in successful banks that have survived this economic collapse in our part of the country and have returned their banks to profitability. They are not going to leave profitable ongoing institutions for a short-term job with the RTC. So the focus needs to be how can they get it from the RTC handling and selling assets back to the local institutions, which is where the best employees are going to be, the successful survivors.
Mr. McCollum. So that basically dovetails into your thesis of trying to get more disbursement of the problem into the hands of people who are better knowledgeable and better able to resolve the issue because they have the expertise that you probably won't get in hiring at RTC itself? Is that basically the thrust of it?

Mr. BENNETT. Yes, sir, the folks who have the experience, they have worked through the problem loans, and in our state, for example, since 1986 the average nonperforming loans in the banking system have been reduced in half, so while in 1986 the banks as a group lost money, they have returned to profitability, almost the national average. The problem assets in the banks in Oklahoma are less than the national average, so you have got the successful people working through problem loans in the banks. They are there and if you can get the assets back in their hands, you will have the most successful disposition of problems.

Mr. McCollum. Mr. Sprague, in your written testimony you have addressed the percentage of return on assets. FIRREA, the act of course that we passed sometime back, requires that the RTC realize a return on assets of 95 percent.

Do you think that is realistic in light of what is going on now, talking about auctions and everything? Of course, assets include all of these securities we are talking about, too, but what is your opinion about that?

Mr. SPRAGUE. I am very concerned about the heavy emphasis on appraisals. Appraisals, that theoretically sets a price, but in the real world the market sets the price. That is the only standard that means anything.

You can have book value. You can have appraisal value. You can have a lot of other things, but my thesis is that the only way to move this enormous volume is to sell it at whatever the market will pay.

Mr. McCollum. That is the auction process that Mr. Seidman described this morning?

Mr. SPRAGUE. Sure, that works as long as you don't have arbitrary cutoffs. We will sell everything at auction, but it has to be at least 95 percent of what appraisers said it is worth; when you got 20 people out there trying to buy it and the best offer is 80 percent, I say that is the market value and get on with it. Otherwise, we are here for 20 years doing this.

Mr. McCollum. So you are bothered by the restrictions we placed in that?

Mr. SPRAGUE. Oh, absolutely. I have been very careful not to criticize the Congress in my testimony, but I thought that was outrageous.

Mr. McCollum. I am happy to give you that opportunity. I appreciate your gentleness, but it still needs to be said if that is the case.

That may be one of the areas we simply have to revisit depending on what happens this summer with some of the auctions, but it certainly doesn't sound like we will be able to keep that standard on the RTC and expect them to be able to sell all the properties that I have got to sell in any timely basis. That is really what you are saying to us, isn't it?

Mr. SPRAGUE. That is what I said. But, on the other hand, I am scared to death if we start moving legislation that there will be more restrictions.

I read in the paper that Albuquerque is owned by the RTC now, the Congressman from Albuquerque will have some gimmicky thing he will want in the bill and so forth.

I am in favor of no legislation this year. Let's live with the mistakes.

Mr. McCollum. All right. I hear you.

Well, my time has expired for now. Mr. VENTO. I just want to follow on your question. You may choose to get back in it.

Incidentally, next week we have to move a bill on petroglyphs. We are buying a piece of the 17-mile rift to deal with some Indian artifacts that exists there. Its cost is about \$90 billion. It is in Albuquerque. I wish we did own it.

Maybe we could trade around for that geologic rift. That is my other role in life, is working with parks and public lands, but one of the things, Mr. Sprague, that you pointed out was that you claim that some of the appraisal process or at least the inadequate appraisal process, I think to put a finer point on it, actually got us into the problem with regards to the S&Ls.

Do you want to elaborate on that?

Mr. SPRAGUE. Well, it is well documented that in many of the failed institutions, the way was greased by dishonest appraisals, crooked appraisals. Beyond that, some of them probably were inept. But the concern I have is that the RTC is going to provide jobs and income for these appraisals right now, but their long-time livelihood, their future rests with relationships with bankers and developers and so forth, and I just suspect that the RTC hasn't looked at that as their primary source of income.

Mr. VENTO. I would point out in the law that, first of all you notice this 90 percent figure floating around here today because in depressed areas they can lower the appraisal another 5 percent, and the law says and other means of valuation, so it isn't as tough, you know.

Of course, Congress did come in with a lot more specifics based on the performance of the regulators during the 1980s. I, for one, feel that that was entirely necessary and justified, so I think there is enough flexibility. I must say, I think the administration is administering this law in an interesting manner, but it seems to me that they are finding as one system doesn't work, then they are moving to try some other things, but I think it is going much too slowly considering the amount of assets. So I would just point that out after that last discussion.

One more question for Mr. Sprague, and that is, did you have a policy on repairs of real estate during your tenancy at the FDIC?

Mr. SPRAGUE. We had a case-by-case policy. In the cases where we invested money to complete a motel or repair an office building or so forth, cost us money. Over the period of time the best net return was received by selling it right now for what you can get.

I remember, this isn't a property, but this was an almond orchard we had down in Southern California, and, boy, we poured money into that thing. It was several acres, several hundred acres,

and irrigation was very heavy and we had to have guards and care keepers and pull the weeds and all that sort of business, and to my knowledge we had it for about 5 years.

We sold it once, and it came back to us because the buyer protested that squirrels had eaten the crop. We made an investigation, he was telling us the truth, so we took it back. Then we sold it again.

Meanwhile, putting all this money into it, and the buyer couldn't come up with the money, so we took it back, and finally I said, no more, not another penny in that thing, and we sold it as is. We should have taken the hint 5 years earlier.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Johnson, you are recommending in your testimony that the RTC do more in terms of repair or rehabilitation of some of its property to enhance value based on the experience at Fannie Mae, is that correct?

Mr. JOHNSON. Yes, it is, Mr. Chairman. Fannie Mae over the last 5 years or so has disposed of about 50,000 single family homes, the largest number of them coming from Texas, and the fastest pace of that disposal was back in 1987. We are currently only holding about 4,600 properties, but what we found in that process was that if we did a minimal fix-up of the properties and prepared them for sale to the market, managed that sale to the market through, in some cases, providing leases with an option to buy, seller financing, a variety of other techniques and relied on broker judgments as well as the appraisal about the prices at which we could sell and the pace at which we could sell, that we on the whole did much better disposing of properties.

I think the difference here probably has to do with the size of the property, the nature of the market and the nature of the amount of money that is needed to be invested. We invested only a small amount of money per property but felt that with that small investment, we were able to put the property into a more attractive shape and therefore realize a better price.

Mr. VENTO. Well, I think there is a broad range of different properties, we are talking about land, agricultural land, obviously commercial properties, but principally the experience at Fannie Mae was residential properties.

Mr. JOHNSON. Exactly. This is a single-family experience.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Gadsby, do you want to comment on that issue? Mr. GADSBY. What I hear is know your asset and know your market. I think those are crucial things in this area.

It strikes us that one of the things that the RTC is in the process of putting in place is these regional advisory boards that are vehicles through which they can come to closure on what is the best way to approach marketing assets in different parts of the country. I think the sooner those get in place, it will enhance the process of knowing what the best approach is in different parts of the country.

Mr. VENTO. I agree with you. I am amazed that when I get this information here, it seems like I am sometimes a step ahead or a step behind the RTC, but that they aren't much further ahead and that they are not using the advisory groups and boards in the way that they were modeled.

Of course, they don't put the people on the oversight board from the private sector. That is an indication after it took them 7 or 8 months to do that.

Mr. McCollum, did you have further questions?

Mr. McCollum. I would just like to ask Mr. Hinkle a couple quick ones if I could relative to some of the issues that he has discussed.

In terms of all of the details you have given us, and I think you have really given us quite a good outline in your testimony, it seems to me there are a couple of areas that deserve a little more exploration in terms of the process at RTC.

Do you think—and it is a question similar to one I asked Mr. Bennett in another whole area because he is a local banker and you are dealing with a different operation—but do you think RTC has hired or is capable of knowing the market for good people out there?

With the resources available to RTC, is it capable of hiring the type of folks who can have the expertise to do the things in the areas of the loans and securities and so on that you primarily are an expert in? Do you think we are going to get there or are we going to farm it all out like Mr. Bennett is suggesting on the local assets to the bankers in property and loans?

Mr. HINKLE. I think it is possible for the RTC to dispose of its assets, its financial assets, receive the highest realized price by a balance that it is currently using of inside expertise and outside financial advisers. There are examples of instances where the RTC is better served to hire a financial adviser in the disposition of an asset.

Mr. McCollum. Are they doing that now?

Mr. HINKLE. Yes, they are, but one of the points we would make is that the issue of indemnification and the requirement of cross indemnification from a financial adviser back to the RTC may at times dissuade well-capitalized firms from working as a financial adviser for the RTC. This, in turn, may limit the RTC's access to the broadest range of expertise and talents.

In our testimony, we have proposed an alternative that will still give the RTC some protection if it is willing to look at that possibility.

Mr. McCollum. Mr. Gadsby, on this subject, has GAO looked at this? Have you looked at the question of the expertise issue in terms of RTC's in-house and contracting out and getting the right expertise, and if you have, what is your view about it?

Mr. GADSBY. We have not looked at that completely yet. We do have a number of studies underway in looking at the quality of the managing agents in conservatorships and looking at a number of other activities at the regional offices, but we have not completed work in that area.

Mr. McCollum. The reason I ask it, it seems to me extremely important to the ongoing process that the right decisions be made. It is such a big organization, I am not criticizing anybody for it, just trying to do our role in monitoring it and helping everybody think this through and facilitating it, that the question Mr. Hinkle has raised, what perhaps in-house is being addressed, but as an auditor for us, it would be helpful to know. Mr. GADSBY. In terms of looking at RTC? Some of the management studies we have done in other Federal agencies, show that one of the problems is the staff they have does not have the skills to carry out the work.

I think RTC probably has an unprecedented opportunity here. They are building a new organization, hiring new people. I would hope they would be hiring the people with the skills needed to do the job.

Mr. McCollum. You certainly hope they would also have the wisdom to go outside and get some contracts, as Mr. Hinkle is suggesting, for expertise where they won't be able to hire that kind.

I think I have asked the questions I have in mind.

Mr. VENTO. I have a few more and Mr. Schumer, I am sure will have some.

One question we did ask Mr. Johnson and Mr. Hinkle, how long do you think it would take once the program is up and running up to \$700 billion worth of mortgage paper?

Who wants to make the first prediction here?

Mr. HINKLE. We have not consulted each other on this answer. Mr. VENTO. I realize that. I didn't ask for a joint answer. I would like to get disagreement once in a while.

Mr. HINKLE. This will be an excellent opportunity to see what Fannie Mae thinks. In 1986, the total mortgage origination in the United States was a little over \$450 billion.

In 1990, it is estimated to be below \$350 billion. For 1986 to 1990, the growth and the development and the liquidity in the secondary capital markets has expanded considerably.

If the RTC has approximately \$100 billion in mortgage securities, eventually to sell, then I believe the capital markets will be able to consume that product at a fair value to the RTC as long as it is staged in a fashion that allows the markets to anticipate what will be sold.

In a time frame, I would imagine that \$100 billion well structured with appropriate representations and warranties could be liquidated at fair values at a period of between 12 and 18 months at the maximum.

Mr. VENTO. The point is whether or not they can prepare that much for marketing. You are saying it could be done in 12 to 18 months. That is if all the paperwork and background were done.

You have no estimate as to how long it would take them to accomplish that particular background work necessary to provide the warranties and representations?

Mr. HINKLE. I cannot speak for the RTC. I will tell you that they have contracted an outside adviser, one of whose roles is to help the RTC identify the assets or develop the asset identification process. And we are all eager to find out what the judgment of that adviser will be.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Johnson?

Digitized by Google

Mr. JOHNSON. Let me add a word to that. That is I think you, Mr. Chairman, put your finger on the most important point. That is as soon as the documentation is in place, the market can begin the process of absorbing the product.

In 1989 alone, as I mentioned in my comments, the secondary market handled \$280 billion. If you could imagine—and it has been

growing very rapidly just in the last 3 or 4 years from just over \$100 billion, I think, as recently as 5 years ago.

If you are looking in terms of staging the delivery of this product over the course of a year, what the total size is remains to be seen. There is about \$60 billion in the single family mortgage area.

I would be slightly more encouraging than the previous witness and say we believe the market has sufficient depth to absorb what is made available.

Mr. VENTO. You talk about four types of warranties in your testimony.

You mentioned in your testimony that there are others that might have a significant impact on the RTC's operation. Do you think, do you foresee the RTC coming up with some unique type of warranty or something that would be unusual in the market? Is that important?

Does that—that will have an impact, obviously, if they did.

How important is it for the RTC to get started on the right foot in terms of this market entry?

Mr. JOHNSON. I think it is extremely important that they get started on the right foot. I also think it is extremely important that to the extent they possibly can, that they comply with normal practices in terms of documentation information available and representations and warranties.

One of the things that has been learned in a variety of different ways, but at the Federal level from the Federal asset sale experience, is that it is essential that those asset preparation materials be done in a way that the market is used to absorbing and that there is perhaps an inability to liquidate the product if you don't conform exactly as the investors are expecting.

But in all likelihood, you are going to get a lower price. That is not to say it is impossible for them to sell if they don't completely and exactly conform.

But in all likelihood, if they don't completely and exactly conform to the normal practice, they will pay a price for it.

Mr. VENTO. Like you, I am glad they have an adviser. That doesn't tell us how they are going to shape and form the package.

One of the points that the GAO has made in this process, Mr. Gadsby, is that not just good written contracts, but examine actually what they are doing.

I think that is very important. But, they do refer to two documents, standard assessment management agreement and the asset and management disposition manual. Have you examined those?

Can you comment on those at all today?

Digitized by Google

Mr. GADSBY. We have not gotten the first one for comment yet. I think they call that the SAMA.

We have not received that one to comment on that.

Mr. VENTO. How about the latter? That is to say the asset management disposition manual?

Mr. GADSBY. I would like to ask Mr. Gianni to comment on that. Mr. GIANNI. I have looked at the manual. The manual does provide some guidance to their field staff. However, we are concerned about the type of guidance that is provided in the area of contract monitoring. Much of the manual focuses in on the techniques of contracting. As we say in our testimony, RTC still has not yet developed standards by which to measure the performance of contractors.

We think that standards are extremely important in this area where RTC are going to have an extensive amount of contracting.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Gianni, what about the—this is being done at the regional level; is that correct?

These are basically being sent out, these manuals, to the regional level. They are going to be writing the contract. Is that accurate?

Mr. GIANNI. Yes, sir, that is the way RTC intends to operate.

Mr. VENTO. What do you specifically mean by not just good written contracts, but to continued examination?

Mr. GIANNI. There are two phases of the contracting process. Basically the first phase is the technical legal aspect of writing a wellwritten contract where it is protecting the interest of the Federal Government.

In the second phase, once that contract is out, you have to oversee the contract. Monitor to ensure that the Federal Government is receiving what it has contracted for.

In making the payments under the contract, the RTC needs to ensure that services have been provided to the Federal Government.

Mr. VENTO. Is, for instance, risk sharing an adequate means or incentives for work well done?

Is that adequate in terms of providing for self-monitoring? In other words, incentives, monetary incentives or disincentives?

Mr. GIANNI. That is one acceptable approach. At this point in time the RTC has not received approval for their incentive program. It is somewhere between RTC and the Oversight Board.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Schumer.

Mr. SCHUMER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have been told, because I was out during most of the testimony, that just about everyone on this panel supports the idea of selling assets by an auction, a widely publicized auction, not relying too much on the appraisal situation.

Is that fair? Does anyone disagree with that?

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Schumer, I would take a little bit of exception to that. I think that in normal economies and on specialized properties, selling them, as Mr. Sprague has suggested, is perhaps a good idea.

But in stressed economies, like in Oklahoma and Texas and some other places, I think that selling the properties for whatever the price is you can get today suggests there is a static situation, and it is not a static situation.

At this point the RTC and FDIC in Oklahoma have \$4.5 billion in assets, but they have insured another \$32 billion or \$38 billion in assets in Oklahoma. They don't want to cause a spiral down in the value of assets so that they end up taking more assets from additional failed institutions.

In addition, you have Federal agencies that have other assets. You don't want to cause a continued spiral down.

Mr. SCHUMER. It is my feeling that an overhang of assets is, I mentioned this earlier, every bit as bad as selling those assets.

Even worse, the old pit and pendulum. The fear is worse than the actual event.

You don't agree with that, Mr. Bennett?

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Schumer, they have so many different kinds of assets. What I have suggested is that they prioritize the type of assets they have so that they are marketing those, like commercial buildings and industrial sites, that would expand economies, bring in jobs and create demands for the other assets they have and create a strategy of working their way out of the economy rather than just abruptly trying to get out.

You have still got behind you a lot of those things you have guaranteed.

Mr. Schumer. OK.

I take it from the gentlemen we have——

Mr. GADSBY. I would like to make one comment on that. In terms of appraisals, I don't think there is anything wrong with those. They are a good starting point. We shouldn't throw a tool for determining market value out of the tool box, be it auctions, appraisals or others.

I think the RTC may need all of them in different markets for different types of assets, and so forth.

Mr. SCHUMER. I understand that. I think the auction is the way to go. I am surprised that the RTC didn't jump in more quickly in that way.

I do think they have wasted some opportunity time because there was much more excitement, I detect, out there in the markets 6 months ago than there is today about these properties. Nonetheless, that is not an irretrievable problem.

I think the basic problem you come up with when you have an auction, since you want as many bidders as possible from as wide a possible range, is getting out the information.

From stories I have been told, other than the set things that are in a certain book when you call up and want information, it is very, very hard to get it.

Mr. Seidman answered that would be the job of the auctioneer. Is getting all the information necessary to have enough of a complete and successful auction a real problem in any of your—you folks know much more about this than I do in auction properties.

Mr. GADSBY. I think the people need to know what the properties are, have an opportunity to see those properties, and that the RTC will need to make that information available.

Mr. SCHUMER. They need quite a bit of personnel to answer. Everyone who looks at it will have their own questions.

I have been told people who call up and want information, there isn't anyone home. Is that true, Mr. Hinkle?

Mr. HINKLE. In financial assets, it is really too early to say because the liquidation of financial assets, especially the national securitization program, occurs naturally.

Once the pace of resolution has accelerated, the disposition of financial assets follows. At this point I think it is too early to say since the RTC hasn't made available access to information on the financial assets.

Mr. SCHUMER. What about real estate assets?

Mr. HINKLE. From the public securities association's point of view, I don't think we are prepared to comment.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. Sprague.

Mr. Sprague. I have just one comment. I think the——

Mr. SCHUMER. I was talking about real estate assets.

Excuse me, Mr. Sprague.

Mr. Sprague. The committee in the Congress used very creative language that if used probably, I think, settles the matter. You wrote that the corporation shall establish an appraisal or other valuation method.

Now, that is wonderful language. That means to me you can do any damned thing you want.

That means that the FDIC, if the RTC operators will leave them alone, can sell for whatever the market will pay today. My thesis is that must be done if we are going to get out of this in less than 20 years.

Mr. SCHUMER. My time is expired, although I have a few more questions. So after the Chairman goes?

Mr. VENTO. I have less. If you have completed your thought on that, one of the problems here is this whole conservatorship.

I think, Mr. Bennett, what you are suggesting to me is that you are suggesting some means to maybe improve conservatorship and also to manage assets.

We have had institutions in many areas that have been conservatorships now for well over a year. Can you give us some idea of how a conservatorship is operated, for instance, in Oklahoma and if you have any concerns about that?

Mr. BENNETT. There are some savings and loans that have been taken over and held for some period of time. If they were marketed to the existing bidders in the market in smaller pieces, there would have been more interested people.

Mr. VENTO. I agree on the branch thing. I think that while they have a policy, they say they are there, they are still not doing it.

What I am focusing on here is the conservatorships that are operating those institutions today that are still being held by the RTC in Oklahoma and Texas and Minnesota and other places.

Your comments on that conservatorship?

Mr. BENNETT. On how they are being operated?

Mr. VENTO. Yes. How they are being managed, the resources in them and how the institution is being run.

Mr. BENNETT. I really, I don't have any specific comments other than they need to work their way out of being the holders of these assets.

Mr. VENTO. Are they active players in the Oklahoma economy?

Mr. BENNETT. No, they are not actively out making new loans and that sort of thing. They are trying to work through the loans they have now and reduce themselves in size.

Mr. VENTO. Do they have the power to negotiate with regard to a final disposition of an asset?

Mr. BENNETT. I am not sure.

Mr. Vento. OK.

Digitized by Google

Mr. Gadsby, have you examined the conservatorship process?

Mr. GADSBY. We have just started looking at the conservatorship process. We are going to be visiting a number of them in the Southwest.

We had some initial concerns and are looking into managing agents and whether they are across the board qualified to do the job that is before them.

Mr. VENTO. One of the big interests is the compensation of people that are working in these conservatorships. Have you examined any of that information.

Mr. GADSBY. We are looking at that.

Mr. VENTO. In 2 weeks we will have hearings. We would like to have some help from you in terms of testifying on that matter as it is of significant interest. We are trying to get information to the RTC.

Could you comment on this issue that I raised earlier with the Chairman. That is the depository preference laws in the various states and what impact of that is on management, disposition, resolution of assets?

Mr. GADSBY. I am not familiar with those laws, Mr. Chairman. But I am aware that RTC holds title to these assets as receiver and do have a fiduciary relationship back to the creditors as well as shareholders.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Sprague, do you want to comment about that? Mr. Sprague. I think you are referring to a law that sets up the priorities and makes the depositor number one on the line.

Mr. VENTO. Yes, it does.

I think that it tends to require is a certain type of accounting and bookkeeping and certain expenses.

Mr. Sprague. The FDIC always liked it because it cut down the cost immeasurably and ensure that depositors had come first.

Mr. VENTO. If a State permits that. This is a State by State basis.

Mr. SPRAGUE. It is a State by State thing. I don't know what they do in Minnesota.

Mr. VENTO. I don't either, frankly. You know, in any case I guess it is a problem in terms of managing assets.

Anyone have any comments on it?

Did you have any comments on the conservatorship issue, Mr. Johnson?

Mr. Johnson. No.

Mr. VENTO. OK.

Mr. Bennett, one of the other points that you make and point out that Governor Bellman and Congressman Watkins and others have worked on, having the RTC or working with development corporations for asset services, is it your wish they then would be working with them?

Obviously you want banks to work as asset managers, do you not?

Mr. BENNETT. Yes, sir.

Digitized by Google

We are trying to make a distinction between primarily being in a position of liquidating assets and being in a position of developing assets and helping the economy to expand.

The recommendations that Governor Bellman and Congressman Watkins have forwarded are basically those of stratifying the type of assets they have and placing their initial priorities on those things would expand economies as opposed to focusing merely on liquidating the assets they have and getting out of the market.

I think the real impact besides the local economy is on the borrowers, the people who owe the money.

If they end up in the hands of a liquidator, if you as a borrower ended up in the hands of a liquidator and you needed to do additional borrowing, you are not going to get it from a liquidator. You are going to get it from an ongoing local financial company.

It is important to get those loans back into the hands of local people that are ongoing.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Gadsby, have you examined the steps the RTC has taken to work with HUD and other agencies of housing and mortgage inventories?

Mr. GADSBY. They are in the process of holding some conversations with them.

I would like to ask Mr. Gianni to comment on that?

Mr. GIANNI. Mr. Chairman, basically in each of the regions, the RTC has established committees to work with those other agencies.

What we are hearing is that FIRREA has many restrictions on the disposal of assets for the RTC while these same restrictions aren't on the disposal of other assets that might be held by VA or FHA.

There is a study in GAO at the present time looking at what cooperation is occurring and what structure is desirable to facilitate the sale of these residential homes in the local markets. This is being conducted by our housing group.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Hinkle or Mr. Johnson, if a lot of these mortgage loans are in troubled areas like Texas or Oklahoma, what impact does that have on the paper when you deal with it?

Does that have any bearing on it to provide warranties and indemnifications?

Mr. HINKLE. I would defer to the agency to make the comment. Mr. VENTO. Mr. Johnson.

Mr. JOHNSON. There is certainly no problem that flows from the geographical location of the single family loan. To the contrary, in fulfilling our public mission Fannie Mae is committed to serving all geographic areas in good times and bad. We look at loan to value ratio, payment history, and other underwriting criteria.

Mr. VENTO. Thank you.

Mr. Schumer.

Digitized by Google

Mr. SCHUMER. We have gotten some reports that the conservators are better at disposing the assets than the RTC itself, which may suggest a number of things. One is that decentralization is better. That would come to mind, particularly where real estate assets are so unique rather than the different type of paper assets. Does that indicate that perhaps we should further decentralize the process of selling the assets and try to keep some of these assets in conservatorships?

What does it suggest to you folks? Mr. Bennett.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Schumer, I certainly agree. Decentralization, in response to just local markets, is something that ought to be studied and find a variety of different ways to get the decision making at the local level. Even within a State like Oklahoma, the different markets throughout our State are going to be very different in terms of what their opportunities are to sell the real estate they have.

Tulsa, for example, has a stronger economy than Woodward, OK. The need to have decision making at the local level.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. Gadsby or Mr. Gianni, is it true the conservators are having better luck?

Mr. GADSBY. Conservators are selling a lot of assets. A lot of those are not real estate. They are financial assets. We would have to look at that a little more.

Mr. VENTO. If the gentleman would yield? I think a lot of that is Treasury securities and other types of paper and that reduction is just the payment of—we found the biggest reduction is in the assets being held was the normal flow of payments that have come back in for payment on the loan.

That was the biggest factor we had at the last hearing.

Mr. SCHUMER. Those conservators are really on the ball. They can get those Treasuries out into the market. More power to them.

Mr. GADSBY. They already have the structure in place to do this and they are familiar with the assets. At this point in time——

Mr. SCHUMER. We cannot say for real estate assets or financial assets that are tied to a specific and unique parcel of real estate. We don't know if they are better decentralized or not.

Mr. GADSBY. I think as the RTC tends to sell some of this, after that it would be a good time to compare the two.

Mr. JOHNSON. This is raising an interesting point, from the point of view of the single family market that has to do with loan servicing. Specifically, it is very critical for us to have up to date servicing information and have quality servicing done.

One of the things that happens as institutions are taken over, is people fear for their jobs. They don't know about the future of the institutions so people begin to leave.

Over a period of time the servicing quality goes down. That leads to a bigger documentation problem as you are getting ready to sell to the secondary market. One of the things that I think the RTC is aware of, which I think is critical in all of this, is that there is adequate servicing supervision from day one that the RTC is involved with an institution. Without that servicing, the delay of getting that asset sold to the secondary market will be a great deal more substantial.

Mr. HINKLE. I might add loan servicing and the difficulty of hiring financial advisors because of the cross indemnity problem are a union of delay that if the RTC were to address in the tradition of financial advisories, there is a number of instances where they may have already disposed of some of the larger servicing operations they have.

Mr. SCHUMER. Final question for Mr. Sprague. Calling on his expertise as a regulator, what do you think of the open assistance plan? It has come into some criticism, myself being one of the critics. What do you think of it?

Mr. SPRAGUE. I, in preparing for this testimony, feared you were going to ask me this question because I disagree with you.

I think we have to use every possible tool. I don't think we should rule out anything. That has some down sides.

In liquidation, I am not aware of ever having made a good decision. You pick the least worse decision. I think the least worse decision is to—let's tell them to try a few of them and then take a look. But I sure won't rule out a single thing. I don't think there ought to be any rules. I think you ought to have the RTC take a long vacation and——

Mr. SCHUMER. Some would say-----

Mr. SPRAGUE. And tell the FDIC to do it with no rules. They have been doing it for 50 years. Don't rule out anything.

Mr. SCHUMER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. VENTO. We all agree we ought to move to quicker resell the stuff and then the better off we are. We obviously have to look to try to use existing means of disposal of assets in terms of the people that are in the field already.

Otherwise, we are going to pay a premium. That is one of the things that comes through today.

This has been a very helpful panel. I know that—and we look forward to sending you a few written questions we may have not asked. I would appreciate your response to them. Thank you gentlemen.

Mr. VENTO. The last panel for today is Ritch LeGrand, president of the Society of Real Estate Appraisers and Beverly McFarland, president of the Beverly Group. Hazel Lewis, Lewis & Associates, Realtors. Finally Richard A. Hand, president of The Fortune Company. All of your statements have been made part of the record in their entirety. We would ask you to try and summarize them in 5 minutes or so, just to read the relevant portions of them if that is what you choose to do.

Mr. LeGrand, welcome.

STATEMENTS OF RITCH LEGRAND, PRESIDENT, SOCIETY OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS

Mr. LEGRAND. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the task force. My name is Ritch LeGrand. I am the 1990 international president of the Society of Real Estate Appraisers representing more than 20,000 members.

I have been a professional real estate appraiser for 18 years and am the owner of LeGrand and Company in Sioux City, IA. I have earned the SRPA and SREA designations of the Society as well as the MAI designation of the American Institute of Real Estate Appraisers.

I was a member of the industry based ad hoc committee that developed the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices and formally served as a trustee of The Appraisal Foundation.

With me today at my left is Basil Katsaros from Denver, CO, a member of the Society's Public and Government Affairs Committee and serves on our Board of Governors. The Society supports the policy of requiring an independent appraisal in the disposition of RTC assets. Determining the proper offering price for real property assets is critical to the financial stability of distressed real estate markets and to the financial well-being of the RTC.

The appraisal is the most reliable indicator available regarding the current market value of the assessment. The appraisal should serve as the cornerstone of the information upon which a price is determined. We have certainly heard concerns regarding the accuracy of the appraisal process as it relates to the needs of the RTC.

To best understand these concerns, a brief discussion of the definition of market value is essential. The traditional definition of market value used by RTC has several important concepts for the appraiser. First, both the buyer and seller must not operate under undue stimulus. The RTC, however, is often motivated to a higher degree than the typical seller as it attempts to liquidate all assets in an expeditious manner.

Second, the buyer is not acting in a typical manner as a foreclosed property and a distressed market often carries a stigma which may attract what has been referred to as scavenger buyers.

Implicit in the definition of market value is the concept of marketing time. In some markets, the typical marketing time may easily exceed 3 years on a given asset. The appraiser can render a value conclusion to a specified marketing time, but there is a negative impact on the conclusion of value when that marketing time is less than typical.

Thus, Chairman Vento's concern regarding a market time is a critical question as to the quickness of disposition balanced against the achievement of market value with a typical and more lengthy marketing time.

There has been much discussion relating to the utilization of auctions to assist in the disposal of property. In many classifications of REO property, this will be an acceptable approach. The question is what will be the impact on local market conditions. I believe Mr. Katsaros has personal experience in Denver that may highlight this point.

If the asset is determined to have limited market appeal, then the impact on the local market through auctions will be minimal. The utilization of an auction is acceptable with a mixture of the best quality properties along with marginal properties which may negatively impact market wide values.

The task force should also recognize the potential of auction sales becoming future comparable sales for appraisers. The inclusion of such comparables may reduce value conclusions of that market in the future.

The definition of market value requires the conclusion of value to be based on a cash transaction.

The difficulty here is not in the appraisal process but in the marketing of the property. Properties located within distressed areas may have no active means of financing. An investor would be forced into a 100 percent equity position. Thus, RTC financing would help speed the sale of assets.

It has also been suggested that the RTC consider establishing market value without appraisals. This suggestion should raise several concerns to the task force. Will individuals other than trained, qualified appraisers fulfill the RTC reporting requirements? Would a nonappraiser be able to meet the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisers Practice?

Another concern of the appraisal process is the amount of time it takes to obtain a report. There are several reasons for this. First, appraisal reports typical of the 1970's have doubled or even tripled in size. In part, the increased requirements were responsible but also the review process has increased substantially.

Appraisers are in many cases writing in anticipation of an extensive review. Their fees are already reflective of that situation.

Second, many appraisers are finding it difficult to gain approval by RTC. The application form in some cases has changed on several occasions, extending the time period. And in addition, many practicing appraisers do not seem to understand the RTC approval process.

Third, many qualified appraisers would be willing to do RTC work if concerns over the penalties associated with assignments incorrectly performed and the review process were thoroughly addressed and clarified.

I would like to comment that the society has recently developed what we feel is an excellent working relationship with the officials and staff of the RTC in Washington. In an effort to build on this relationship, I have a recommendation for your consideration. I have appointed a committee of practicing appraisal experts available to work with the highest levels of the RTC. An open exchange of information and ideas can help accomplish the goal for the most effective disposition of RTC assets.

This group can be instrumental in helping the RTC and the type of valuation needed for effective asset disposition and can also facilitate the distribution of information and facts to the appraisal industry. This committee stands ready to meet and act in a joint effort with the RTC at its earliest convenience.

In conclusion, the importance of the appraisal process in FIRREA is very clear. The appraisal report is not only the safeguard for a proper asking price, it is also a useful tool in supplementing asset information for utilization in marketing brochures and other functions.

The appraiser can perform a multitude of services, provided the scope of the assignment is clear. Should a quick sale price be provided, an appraiser can and has provided such a conclusion. The appraisal industry is willing and able to assist in the task at hand. All parties must, however, have a common understanding of the implications of the law as it exists versus what appears to be the desired effect in the real world.

Thank you.

Mr. VENTO. Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Mr. LeGrand can be found in the appendix.]

STATEMENT OF BEVERLY McFARLAND, PRESIDENT, BEVERLY GROUP

Mr. VENTO. Next we would like to hear from Beverly McFarland. Ms. McFARLAND. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the subcommittee. I am Beverly N. McFarland, president and owner of the Beverly Group, Inc. from Sacramento, CA. Personally I have 20 years experience in the real estate and financial industries that has included institutional positions in commercial loan administration and the management and training of personnel for troubled loan portfolios and owned assets. The corporation was formed in 1983 for the purpose of providing asset management and disposition services to banks and savings and loan associations and governmental entities. TBG is registered as an investment advisor by the Securities and Exchange Commission is a California corporate real estate broker and owns a corporation which holds a general contractor's license. Services in excess of \$700 million have been performed by TBG on assets for institutions and agencies that include the FADA, FSLIC, FDIC an RTC portfolio that is currently under the management and control of the FDIC division of liquidations.

It is a privilege to be here before you today to discuss my views on the management and disposition of assets.

Due to the time allocated, I would like to discuss three areas of concern to the private sector. They include an over view of the asset management and disposition activities currently in process by the FDIC and the RTC, the asset management and disposition agreement which is currently under revision. I think there has been two or three revisions to date and finally, our concerns in regard to the RTC interim minority and women outreach program approved earlier this year.

The assets that are managed currently by the conservatorships and receiverships throughout the country can be compared to perhaps dynamic models; dynamic models inasmuch as they are constantly moving and changing. In some cases they are successfully sold or the loans negotiated. In other cases they are simply left to foreclosure proceedings somewhere down the road.

It is important that action be taken in a timely manner, and necessary due diligence be performed by the proper people in order to make decisions on these assets. Portfolios managed by asset management companies like The Beverly Group around the country in many cases have resolved issues pertaining to our portfolios of loans and assets for many months.

However, we have been slowed by the system because of organization and reorganization of agencies and at times this is not in the best interest of the tax payers. In any case, I would have to say that the FDIC has made an asserted effort in the last 30 days to attempt to work with the private sector in resolving many of our concerns and issues that pertain to the assets.

I would say that it is a good example of people working together for the purpose of a common goal.

The next area of concern that we do have is with the asset management agreement that has been structured by the RTC. There is a lack of incentives plus requirements for indemnities and insurance that are very, very strict. They pose a problem to small business as well as large corporations. They pose a problem to small business because the lines of credit small business have available to them is considerably less than large corporations. The insurance requirements within the agreements are a problem because many of them may not be available in the insurance industry.

I recently had meetings with the RTC concerning this issue, and they concur that there is a problem, and the issue is under review. What the result will be may not allow small business to work with the RTC. If small business cannot work with you, you have lost a valuable work force within the available people in the private

sector. Small business very often offers a high level of expertise in the savings and loan industry as well as the banking segment.

Another area that I would like to discuss of a very important nature is the incentive offered within the document. The contractors incentive is structured in two phases. First, for asset management monthly fees, which is bid and second disposition fees which are structured based upon the net proceeds. The net proceeds are figured after an asset is sold or a loan is paid off. All of the costs incurred in the process of the asset management activities are deducted.

This also includes legal fees. The legal fees are not bid on a competitive basis. We might want to consider taking a look at that because since the incentive within the contract is based on the net proceeds and not the gross proceeds of the asset, in many cases there may not be a disposition fee at all.

In the event that subcontractors straight across the board are bidding their contracts in a competitive nature, and the legal fees published in a recent article projected for 1990 by the RTC were \$500 million, it appears that it is in the best interest of the tax payers to bid these particular fees also.

I would also like to address the issue of the interim minority and women outreach program that was approved, I believe, in January of this year. I do believe this program is in the public good. I believe minorities and women who learn to grow and expand their knowledge in the industry will become better contributors within our society.

The program ideally approved should carry the women and minorities from the embryonic stage through the emerging business and finally perhaps graduate into primary contractors.

In addition to that, I believe any goal that is implemented within the program must contain specific things. The programs have to be specialized for this segment of society. I also feel that the processing of the RTC contractor applications and the certifications for the minority and women groups must be expedited.

It is reasonable to believe that incentives properly placed within the system would benefit it.

In preparing for this testimony, we did research on various minority and women outreach programs. One of the programs that appeared to be the most reasonable and perhaps that the RTC could consider looking at for implementation was provided by the Department of Transportation. Among other things, they do provide for a goal of 10 percent for all primary contractors fees which must be allocated to women and minorities. The way that works is the subcontractor benefits by obtaining that proportionate share of the fees when the primary contractors are provided the fees and the contracts.

It is also necessary to have an advisory group. The Department of Transportation also provides for this within their structure. The advisory group can assist the RTC and work with the outreach director for the benefit of minority and women so that they do obtain proper training and whatever is necessary to implement the program.

I would also like to say that there are other areas of the program that can definitely benefit our industry. However, it does take a certain amount of cooperation. I am obviously the owner of a company, and we have not obtained any contracts with the RTC, although we certainly are available to do so and are currently in their data base system.

Many of the private sector people have the same complaints and have registered them throughout the system. It appears something should be done to get the assets in the hands of the private sector for management and disposition.

Another area I would like to address very quickly is again the legal fees. In reference to the minority and women in the legal profession, last year the FDIC spent \$99 million on legal fees, of which only 2 percent was feed to minority and women owned contractors.

In closing, I would like to concur with the recommendations heard here today and the comments in regard to auctions. I do believe that it is a good method of disposition for the RTC assets. However, not every property should be considered for auction and not every region should be allowed to have auctions. There are private sector individuals that would be very pleased to purchase some of these properties and give them the pride of ownership that they do require.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for allowing me to appear this morning.

Mr. VENTO. Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Ms. McFarland can be found in the appendix.]

STATEMENT OF HAZEL W. LEWIS, CHAIRPERSON, EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMITTEE, LEWIS & ASSOCIATES, REALTORS

Mr. VENTO. We next have Ms. Hazel W. Lewis.

Ms. LEWIS. I am Hazel Lewis of Lewis & Associates, Realtors, 3100 W. Arkansas Lane, Suite 105, Arlington, Texas, 76016, specializing in residential and commercial real estate sales, residential and commercial leasing, residential and commercial property management, land sales, new construction and mortgage placement. I am a graduate of the University of Texas with a bachelors degree in business administration and a major concentration in the fields of real estate and finance. I am a member of the the Arlington Board of Realtors and I have served on a number of committees. I currently serve on the Budget and Finance Committee and am a past member of the board of directors. For the past 5 years I have served on the Community Housing Resource Board and I have been appointed to serve on the Planning and Zoning Commission for the city of Arlington.

I have given you a very brief profile of myself. Perhaps it is clear to you by now that I have a wealth of experience in the field of real estate.

I am honored to have this opportunity to submit testimony to your committee on the subject of the disposition of assets by the Resolution Trust Corporation. There are several areas of interests that I would like to discuss briefly with you.

I have read that the contractor will be automatically barred from working with RTC and mandatorily ineligible if the contractor: one, has been convicted of a felony; or two, has been removed from, or prohibited from participating in the affairs of any insured depository institution pursuant to any final enforcement action or by any Federal banking agency; or three, demonstrated a "pattern or practice of defalcation"; or four, has caused a loss of more than \$50,000 to the funds maintained by a Federal deposit insurance agency for the protection of depositors; or five, is currently in default on an obligation to the FDIC, the FSLIC, or the RTC.

I have since been told by several persons that although RTC guidelines indicate mandatory disqualification for the above infractions, a contractor need only to apply for a waiver. I find this to be hypocritical and unacceptable for a successful program. I would further implore your committee to vigorously investigate any waivers that have been granted thus far to establish the truth in this matter. If this is found to be the case I believe that we can once gain expect a failure in the RTC asset disposition program. We must adhere to the guidelines that have been set up qualifying good, honest and ethical contractors and disqualifying those that have been found to be dishonest and unethical as well as costly to the American taxpayers.

Any contracts awarded under waiver to a person or entity who has been guilty of either of the five infractions should be revoked.

Another area that concerns me greatly is the loading of the data base. I have been informed that it will take a minimum of 8 to 9 months to load the data base after a contractor has been approved. After the name has been entered into the data base, the contractors will be randomly selected and hand picked. If this is so, although I am qualified, I believe that it will take at least a year before I can expect to contract with RTC, if at all. I find this to be appalling, in view of the fact that in some areas contracts have been let since September 1989.

I am gravely concerned that minorities will once again be overlooked if there is not a very strong and legitimate minority program established and enforced by RTC. RTC must establish guidelines that identify a minority owned business as one having a minimum of 65 percent minority ownership.

My final concern is the formation of new companies by minorities to enhance their ability to handle a larger volume of business. One of the qualifying question on the application asks how long have you been in business. I realize some weight perhaps, is given to longevity of that business rather than the longevity of principals who associate themselves in the formation of a new business. It is my feeling that an aggregate number of years of the principals should be given great weight and consideration. I further believe that if we as minorities are going to continue to expand and compete in the business world that we must be given due consideration for our attempt to become competitive.

Small businesses are the cornerstone of the American economy and the majority of minority businesses are small businesses. In summation and conclusion results precede opportunity. I have given to you several of my concerns and I have indicated what I think will be necessary for those of us that are minority professionals with small businesses and want to assist RTC in its asset disposition. To have an equal opportunity I conclude that if those steps

are taken, RTC and those of us that are professionals will get results.

Mr. VENTO. Finally we have Richard A. Hand.

STATEMENT OF RICHARD A. HAND, PRESIDENT, THE FORTUNE COMPANY

Mr. HAND. Thank you, Mr. chairman.

Mr. Chairman, I commend you and the other members of the task force for holding these hearings and your participation in monitoring the emergence of the Resolution Trust Corporation.

My name is Richard A. Hand. I have 20 years of real estate industry experience and I have served as President of Fortune Asset Management Company. I feel privileged to appear before you today to discuss the experiences of our firm in management and disposition of Government assets.

The scope of our engagements has included various asset management and asset contract relationships with FADA, FSLIC, FDIC as manager for FSLIC resolution fund, many of the Southwest Plan S&L's established in 1988, and a growing number of asset banks created by the NCNB and Bank One purchases in Texas.

With offices in both Texas and Florida, we have had the opportunity to interact with both the eastern and southern regions in the management and disposition of government assets.

Our prayer is that RTC is the last regulatory conduit between the private sector and the S&L and banking crisis. Our fear is that RTC may, in fact, go the way of its predecessors. Our concern for RTC is not born out of criticism. The mandate is clear and RTC structure for success as presented to this body by RTC on March 27, 1990, gives rise to optimism.

My conversations with RTC personnel are equally upbeat as they await the onslaught yet to come from the resolution of the conservatorships, and yet the fear persists. The fear is based on delegation of authority. In our relationships today and the reality of our daily real estate existence, the common thread of Government involvement and regulatory supervision is evident.

Our experience over the last 5 years contracting for the Federal deposit insurance funds and Government supervised savings and loans has made us apprehensive about the evolution of the delegation of authority. The RTC must avoid the tendency to become too centralized in its approval process.

The RTC must allow both its liquidators in charge and its private sector contractors to retain a liberal autonomy to operate and fund pre-determined budgeted items. As elemental as this may sound, I must report to you that the major source of operator discomfort is in the delegation of authority arena.

Lack of authority at the asset level extends the inevitably paralysis of the approval process, demoralizes the asset manager, and depresses the value of the asset.

As a direct result of lack of authority at the asset level, the private sector contractor compromises his ability to get the job done for his market and impugns his reputation in that marketplace.

It is our belief when the appropriate and timely delegations of authority are in place, the integrity of each asset is better served through the asset management system, whether administered by a liquidator or a private sector contractor.

The asset is better maintained, experiences increased occupancy, is more attractive to an investor or in a bulk sale. The RTC has currently established liberal delegations of authority for each regional office, consolidated office, and liquidator in charge, but the dissemination of this authority to the day-to-day asset level through the asset manager is a critical component to the success of the RTC and its asset maintenance and sales program.

The RTC must create an effective and efficient valuating process applied consistently and uniformly to its real estate portfolio. The FDIC system seems to be burdensome for the size and pace at which RTC has projected results.

The RTC has elected to engage outside appraisals by third party appraisers. An efficient evaluation system would entail qualification of an approved list of professional appraisers that have met RTC qualification standards. The further establishment of a standard valuation work product is necessary.

Finally, the implementation of a reevaluation procedure that would make the market-driven indicator of offers to purchase or lack of activity after a short period of marketing and exposure is imperative.

An added complication comes from the finite resource of qualified appraisers. In Dallas-Fort Worth, for instance, the RTC will be in competition with itself, FDIC, FDIC as manager for the FSLIC resolution trust, the Southwest Plan groups, NCNB, team bank, Bank One, and—well, the backlog is now critical, and RTC's ability to respond to the market and increase dispositions will depend on their ability to revalue quickly; that is, if they want one appraisal.

Currently, they want two on some assets and every other entity supervised under the same requirement. This is a very difficult problem that needs immediate attention. The appraisal process and RTC's ability to control it has a further reaching impact and relates to the carrying costs for the asset in relationship to the assessment for ad valorem taxation, fire and extended insurance coverage and is instrumental in determining the bid rice for foreclosures in the case of loans.

Further, let me speak on seller financing. Seller financing is and will be crucial in the disposition of assets. I am aware that the RTC has a bias for cash. Unfortunately, the reality of the product base needs to be inspected. The overwhelming majority of the assets the RTC will inherit is not what we would commonly refer to as class A or national caliber product.

On the contrary, most of this product was developed by middle tier regional or local developers, funded by regional or local institutions with regional or local use in scope in mind. Much of the asset base is not well built and has a limited appeal to investors nationally and by nature will most likely remain a local or regional product inevitably.

If one includes an overwhelming abundance of raw land and partially developed land and adds the name of Texas, you certainly need seller financing. The RTC is now tracking lending institutions activity in real estate lending and knows or will know shortly how to identify risk areas, and given that FDIC committed to repress real estate lending in the areas that are determined to be risk regions, a local anomaly can likely occur.

The RTC with its oversupply of real estate product that it wants to sell into a risk region on a cash basis will find investors that have no financing available. If seller financing does not exist in some marketplaces, it will likely extend the optimistic disposition strategy of the RTC.

Seller financing, with reasonable terms, is a crucial component to the RTC's strategy. The added burden of nonperforming real estate loan portfolios across the country will further serve to depress the investor's ability to finance real estate purchases on reasonable terms.

The price adjustment components that Mr. Seidman has just testified to is encouraging. Our experience has been that REO's fresh from the foreclosure list are generally immature properties in the marketplace and require a maturation process.

This is a general rule and is created by the original book value less loan loss reserves, which never seem to reflect current market value in the time that it takes to complete the foreclosure process.

The appraiser in this case almost always gets debtor in possession information, which tends to put upward pressure on the value of the product. After reassembling accurate poverty data and supporting material, the property will be marketed. In many cases like the above example, it would not be unusual for this property to require two or even three price adjustments before the property can become attractive to the marketplace.

Therefore, any aggressive sales system like the RTC has proposed will require a maximum reevaluation every 6 months. The lack of documentation from the S&L's is legendary. If the asset is an REO, the information must be generated and increases the takeover cost associated with the asset.

If it is a loan, there may not be information at all, and given an uncooperative borrower, little means of retrieval. The bulk assets that RTC has made tremendous strides in identifying its original portfolio base and its new solicitation of services to acquire the necessary equipment and services to monitor the pooling and standardization of like kind assets.

Effective tools, including securitizations, secondary market sales, open auctions and sealed bids are all potential bulk or multiple sale techniques, but when the smoke clears, RTC will be left with commercial real property and land.

Bulk sale opportunities are available in better communication and the maturing of the asset base housed on site in the conservatorships. Each time RTC resolves a conservatorship, the personnel familiar with those assets will normally change, thereby leaving a knowledge base that only time can cure.

The more resolutions that are completed, the more difficult it will be to package and have investor due diligence for any bulk sale portfolio. Bulk sale purchases will require the large discounts and RTC will have to provide a substantial part of the purchase price in seller financing.

In summary, Mr. Chairman, like many other firms, Fortune Asset Management Company is following the emergence of the RTC with optimism. The market deeply needs creative and consist-

ent leadership, and we hope and pray that the RTC and the Oversight Board can provide that leadership.

We are elated that the contractor ethics and qualification standards are in place and encourage strict adherence unilaterally. Mr. Chairman, I thank you again for this opportunity to address the task force and we appreciate your service in monitoring the emergence of the Resolution Trust Corporation.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Hand can be found in the appendix.]

Mr. VENTO. Thank you, Mr. Hand. I had hoped, and I think this asset issue is very, very important. We have a spectrum of people here dealing with trying to get a price and accountability so that we can perform. Then we have asset managers, at least three, and they are relying on this system to work.

Admittedly appraisals is one way to do that. I think it is important that we go to the market with them and then sell them. Now, one of the things that is happening today is that they are holding on to a lot of these assets, conservators are trying to deal with them.

But they are not moving them. So we have to find a way where there is more flexibility given to asset managers, and then we have to pick the right asset managers. I guess we get into women, minorities, and how we go about it in a competitive basis. As you pointed out, Ms. McFarland, there isn't even competitive bidding on attorney's work and that the FDIC spent \$90 million last year on attorneys fees.

Am I repeating the figures correctly from your testimony?

Ms. McFarland. \$99 million.

Mr. VENTO. Pardon me. I was doing it by memory. I guess I missed the other nine. I expect this year the figure is going to be larger. What about the appraisal process? Let's start there, though, for a moment because I think that that relates to the comments that were made by Mr. Seidman. All the news reports say let's get rid of the appraisal process, Mr. LeGrand, it is in the way?

You heard those statements, if somebody bids something, we get a high bid, we get a low bid. We can get the divining stick out here and discover whether the price is right. Obviously, your profession has a lot of concerns about that, I mean to put it mildly.

I also note that in your testimony, this isn't an ideological thing. You point out that auctions can work in some markets, so I think it is very important to be realistic about this, but generally, I suppose we are talking about appraisals.

We are talking about real estate owned, properties that come back, not the mortgage securities, and that makes up a goodly amount and will be a larger proportion of the portfolio of active, in play assets. Do you agree with the sort of differentiation between the value of assets concerning the nature of the type of appraisal?

Can you give us any insights, the task force any insights into that matter?

Mr. LEGRAND. First of all, we are not here as professional appraisers trying simply to justify our existence. We have a concern that the RTC is successful in its disposition of real property assets like any of the other taxpayers in this country. We are here to try

to explain to the task force and to the users of appraisals services what it is they are asking for and what it is they are receiving.

We are caught in a classic situation where the RTC is asking for an opinion of value on a piece of property subject to very specific conditions, and in many cases those conditions are stretched to the max in some of the markets that we are dealing with or some of the property types that we are dealing with.

We think, nevertheless, the appraisal is always a cornerstone of making any good business decision on disposing of property, but that is only the beginning of the process that goes well beyond the responsibility that the appraiser will bear.

There certainly will be markets and property types, development land, as an example, where there is literally no buyer at any price right now.

Mr. VENTO. So having an appraisal doesn't do you any good?

Mr. LEGRAND. We don't say having the appraisal doesn't do you any good. We still think there must be a benchmark. I think it is your terminology, a safeguard that the appraisal will help form the context of making a decision if the auction price is at all acceptable, but we certainly recognize the fact that there are circumstances here that are beyond the typical situation that property is bought and sold.

Mr. VENTO. Well I grant you that, clearly, and I recognize in your testimony talking about auctions and other means of disposal, but do you think the RTC—now, they have experienced some problems, at least based on the fact that they are going through some hand-wringing on this appraisal issue and saying that we are looking at other means to deal with that where we have it.

Do you think that they have prudently purchased appraisal services? In other words, there are different levels of appraisals, are there not? We talk about some that have more information, some that have less, other means. Do you at least describe in some sense the fact that more detailed appraisals are necessary for properties that have generally a higher book value than those which might have a lower book value?

Do you see the RTC differentiating the type of appraisal by asset values? Mr. Seidman says everything above \$15,000 has to have an appraisal and some detailed type of appraisal and I think that has a prohibitive cost.

Mr. LEGRAND. The difficulty in being able to respond to the rumors and the accusations and the war stories that we hear sometimes are that we have no specifics to be able to sound and really analyze the situation. That is why we have proposed to the RTC that we form some kind of a task force of appraisers and the appropriate people at the RTC. So that we can deal with a few specific examples to get a better idea of what it is they are encountering. To this point in time, it is just simply somebody's comment that we have had this problem and we don't really understand what has gone on behind the scenes. What has been the marketing of that piece of property, what are some of the other circumstances involved?

We want to be a part of the solution. We have the tools in our tool box to be part of the solution, but we need to have greater

degree of communication at this very crucial time so that we can help be part of that process.

Mr. VENTO. We are trying to understand what they are doing and where they are moving, but you would grant that some differentiation based on the book value of property and the depth or the detail of the appraisal would be matching that with the cost of the appraisal.

It isn't prudent to spend \$10,000 on an appraisal of a property that has a minor value.

Mr. LEGRAND. Not only isn't it prudent, it is not good for the appraisal profession if those kinds of things are going on.

Mr. VENTO. I don't know what they are doing, but clearly they are troubled. What about the recommendations that we had? Mr. LeGrand, I think you mentioned, too, that after holding property you have some sort of market value set, some sort of appraisal. However you come at that, the fact that after 3 months if something doesn't sell at that price to reduce that price rather dramatically.

Do you have any comments about that policy that is being proffered by the RTC here today and recommend to them?

Mr. HAND. Yes, I do. I think it is important to point out here that the appraisal process is the key issue in this self-governing regulating way that properties come to market in the system. An appraisal done on an individual property after being ordered, our experience it may take anywhere from 60 to 80 to 120 days and even longer in some cases, but whether you sell by auction or sell through brokers or sell at any other form, if the law requires an appraisal, the appraisal timing is the self-governing regulator as to when you can move those properties to market, and part of the maturation process that I spoke of is this process.

Mr. VENTO. Ms. Lewis, how many minority realtors are active in the Arlington market in the greater Dallas-Ft. Worth area? Are there a large number?

Ms. LEWIS. Arlington has a population of a little over a quarter of a million people, and to my knowledge at this point in time I have the only minority-owned brokerage firm in the city.

Mr. VENTO. Do you have any that have—and you have not been approved by or worked for the RTC or the FDIC in the area; is that correct?

Ms. LEWIS. That's correct. Many times when you approach individuals to do business, then one, it becomes a question of a degree of familiarity, if someone knows you or feels comfortable with you, often times dealing with the major franchising firms and those sort of things, then the degree of acceptability is bound to be greater.

There is a perception that there is an inability to perform where a minority business is concerned. Several institutions that I approached in terms of doing business said, well, we have already got someone we are doing business with and we are quite comfortable with that.

That is where the major problem lies, so if there is going to be that minority participation, and even when that question has been addressed specifically to them, it seems to be a moot point that the whole thought process on that is negligible, and it really serves no purpose. Mr. VENTO. You made some specific suggestions; you pointed out that in September 1989 there were some individuals that were approved within 5 or 6 weeks after the law was signed.

Were they individuals that had worked for the FDIC before. I don't know if you know that they were or not, but then my question to you was, you have said the other process has taken—the process of approval and utilization has taken almost a year.

Do you think you are going to be approved or not?

Ms. LEWIS. It leaves a lot of doubt in my mind in terms of the process. Going back to the first part of the question—

Mr. VENTO. Pull that mike over so we can hear you.

Ms. LEWIS. Going back to the first part of the question, with respect to the contracts being let in September, several of the real estate journals that I received, and I don't remember if it was Texas Realtor or Real Estate today, which is the National Association of Realtors publication, and it alluded to contracts being let since September 1989.

Now, who received those contracts and what the nature of them were or what the relationships were that existed within FDIC, I don't have that information, but that was information that I read, that is, letting of contracts. In looking at the data base with respect to the minority participation, when I was here a couple weeks ago for our legislative conferences for NAR, I had gone over to the office, the RTC office building and was trying to get information and understand what the process was and was informed that it would take 8 to 9 months to load the data base.

When you look at if contracts have been let since September and it may be a year conceivable before I could even be a party to the data base, then it questions the opportunity. And when you look at the properties that are going out to be disposed of with respect to real estate properties, you think in terms of any other inventory product on the shelf, the best of it goes first and the rags are left on the corner, so then it prohibits or at least limits the opportunity to do the better part of the business.

When RTC first was enacted, then I saw it as a great opportunity, particularly if there were going to be considerations for minority businesses, particularly in Texas where, as you know, I am from. That has been the heaviest hit area where this whole process has been concerned, and in my opinion a lot of the reasons why they have been what we call, and most people I am sure are familiar with the good ole boy policies and procedures.

Certainly a lot of other major factors in the economy have contributed to that, but when you look at resources of those that you know and are comfortable with and familiar with, a lot of that has been a large impetus to the problem, so I thought that, well, now we have got RTC and this is going to eliminate some of that. Mr. VENTO. Well, I think one of the problems is that they are not

Mr. VENTO. Well, I think one of the problems is that they are not putting the assets out in the hands of an asset manager as yet, but they should obviously be certifying realtors like yours based on a certain background.

Basil?

Mr. KATSAROS. Chairman Vento, the RTC apparently does not approve contractors per se. Contractors are placed on a registration list and it is from that registration list. It is not an approval process per se. I am in the same boat where I have had an application in since last fall, and to my knowledge have not yet been placed on that registration list.

Mr. \tilde{V} ENTO. The same is true of asset managers, incidentally, but of course it is a much higher threshold.

Mr. KATSAROS. The other thing I think you should be aware of is there have been appraisals conducted for what is generically referred to as RTC, and some comments have been made to me that these were done by appraisers who never submitted an application.

Apparently there is a difference in the utilization of contractors whether a particular savings and loan is in receivership or in conservatorship. If it is in conservatorship, there is no need to utilize a contractor from that registration list.

Mr. VENTO. Well, of course, most of them in conservatorship, that is to say there are a greater number of assets, \$179 billion are in conservatorships, and only \$12 million is in receivership, so it gives you some idea what the differences would be, the relative values in the RTC.

I notice that Ms. McFarland wanted to comment on this list of asset managers. Do you want to use the microphone, please?

Ms. McFARLAND. I would like to comment on the appraisal situation as well as the contractor list. Another aspect of the appraisals has to be considered, and that is the requirement of the FDIC which currently is two appraisals on every asset that is resolved or sold, and every loan that is settled. It doesn't matter what it is.

The RTC has a similar program in place. From conversations with them yesterday, they appeared to be considering good sound business decisions and when they should resolve an asset situation with or without two appraisals. It is a situation in the system now, however, where appraisals are required where you are trying to do settlement agreements that are driven by legal issues.

This is something that should be of concern to the RTC to see that the system does not prohibit obtaining payoffs on loans or settlements that are, as I said before, driven by legal issues. As far as the contracting procedures and the lists are concerned, from information I have obtained, approximately 39,000 applications have either been received or they are in the process of being entered into the data system right now in Washington, DC.

That doesn't include other applications out in the system, in the regional offices. It is also my knowledge that approximately 25 percent of them have been entered into the system.

Now, why is that happening? Perhaps lack of personnel. I don't know, but I do know I addressed earlier that if minorities and women are to be brought into the system as contractors, perhaps those applications should have priority.

Mr. VENTO. I hear you. Mr. Hand, I wanted to ask a question here—do you think we ought to develop or that there ought to be a program of alternative dispute resolution to help facilitate or eliminate some of the legal costs?

Mr. HAND. I am not sure I understand your question.

Mr. VENTO. Well, an alternative dispute resolution process would provide for an expedited procedure where someone is making a claim against a property and would expedite it outside of a courtroom setting if both parties would agree to the conclusion. Do you understand that?

Mr. HAND. Absolutely. I think that one of the difficulties of gaining assets, and as an asset manager in the marketplace is that those assets come to you in varying degrees of market readiness.

Some have just concluded their foreclosure process. The liens are still against those assets, and negotiations for those liens should be pursued forthwith. Unfortunately, I think the propensity in the past has been to litigate most of those problems and the time that it takes in which to litigate out runs and defeats the smaller contractor that would have put the lien on in the first lace, so an expedited process would be appropriate, yes.

Mr. VENTO. Ms. McFarland, did you have a comment on that as well?

Ms. McFARLAND. Yes, sir. I believe that many business decisions are delayed within the system while legal opinions are obtained. It appears very reasonable in many resolutions that I have been a party to with virtually all the acronyms that we have represented that if there was a willingness in the system to accept a strong business decision, in many cases legal decisions are not even necessary.

I also believe that arbitration, which is a procedure that you are describing here, is a very, very good thing to implement and to encourage in the RTC as well as the FDIC if it is possible.

Considerable amounts of money would be saved.

Mr. VENTO. Do you see a lot of spurious claims being made on some of these properties in the jurisdiction in California, in Sacramento?

Are you familiar with that or not?

Ms. McFARLAND. Yes, we are court appointed receivers by the Superior Court System of the State of California also.

Mr. VENTO. And you get a-I just want you to characterize it.

Ms. McFARLAND. Are you speaking from the standpoint of the lender or the borrower?

Mr. VENTO. Any claim against the property that comes under the RTC or comes into a receivership in this particular circumstance.

Ms. McFARLAND. I feel from my personal experience, representing a small company where we are management intensified, we tend to negotiate first rather than consider litigation with borrowers and claimants of all types.

There is not enough of that in the system. Every borrower who attempts to take their case to court, not every, a majority could be negotiated prior to litigation.

Mr. VENTO. My point is, do you think that some of those are really not valid claims but are made in a spurious or in a cavalier manner because the Federal Government has stepped in in terms of this issue?

Are these all the same sort of circumstance that would have occurred in the absence of the Federal Government? I am trying to ask you to characterize the quality or the cases that are actually being made against the institution.

Ms. McFARLAND. Oh, I see. I feel that many of the cases that are being made against the institution are perpetuated by the legal system itself that are hired by the Government entities. Claims against titles and so forth, and wrong dealings of owners of the institutions you are representing, I again reinforce the fact that a majority of those owners and borrowers are willing to negotiate with you, and would prefer not to have it go through the court process.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Hand, did you have any comment on that?

Mr. HAND. My summary on that, Mr. Chairman, would be that I think——

Mr. VENTO. Pull the mike up a little bit.

Mr. HAND. I think there could be claims attributed that could be in terms of a spurious nature in terms of the foreclosure process and in the loan relationships. As far as the real estate property, the claims that we see as to encumbrances as to mechanics and material men's liens in Texas have been numerous, and they tend to be accurate claims.

Mr. VENTO. Mr. LeGrand, you may want to comment about that, but—do you want to comment about this?

Mr. LEGRAND. There is another comment on the appraisal issue. Mr. VENTO. On an earlier issue. Yes, please.

Mr. LEGRAND. I want to make a point before it gets lost here. There was a statement made, first of all, about requirement of two appraisals. It is our understanding that the policy has been adjusted on a case-by-case basis. A second appraisal may not be required, but depending on the circumstances of the property and the quality of appraisal, if it is properly done, adequately reviewed by a qualified person, there may very well be instances where that second appraisal would be unnecessary. But certainly we stand behind the need for that benchmark.

I would also submit to you that I don't think the RTC should be afraid or back away from the requirement of an appraisal for various types of property. It is more an issue of what type of an appraisal is ordered. As you know, there are form appraisals done for residential property that are more than adequate for that purpose.

There is a form that has been developed for smaller commercial properties now. That is more than adequate for that particular purpose, and so the RTC should not feel it is going to get into a situation or the task force shouldn't feel that every appraisal that is ordered has to be one that looks like a book when it is completed.

That is certainly not the case. I would like to point out to you, however, one of the problems on the appraisal side of it is standardized requirements for what goes into appraisal are not really standardized. We have discovered that in various parts of the country there are different guidelines or amendments to the guidelines published here in Washington, and that is something that needs to be investigated and looked into.

I think the solution to this problem really is for the RTC to get more appraisers on their list. This can significantly reduce the time.

Mr. VENTO. One of the titles of FIRREA, of course, dealt with the whole appraisal process, and has not been noticed and has caused some shock waves, throughout the organizations and in each state, and of course that is another matter of how that is progressing for somebody else's oversight like Doug Barnard.

But that is the concern. There has been an appraisal, and we heard Mr. Sprague, former FDIC Chairman, point out that the lack of appraisals, of good appraisals had been one of the major factors involved in the S&L problems, and I take it that you agree with that, Mr. LeGrand.

Mr. LEGRAND. There is no question that appraisers have been part of the problem, but it is a very complicated situation, as you know better than I.

Mr. VENTO. We in Congress admit we didn't write enough laws. We should have written some more so they could have broke those, too. Mr. Katsaros?

Mr. KATSAROS. If I might point out that some of the earlier problems with the appraisal process were not the appraisal process itself nor the appraisal reports that were rendered. It was the fact that those appraisal reports were not read.

There were certain contingencies in those reports, for example, that they were subject to final completion or they were subject to remodeling. Many lenders through the underwriting process only look to the bottom line, only look to the conclusion of value and not what went into the conclusion of value.

I am not sure that that same phenomenon isn't happening today. We have a requirement that an appraiser is to report the marketing time that it will take to achieve that appraisal value. In Colorado, specifically in Denver, that marketing time may take 3 years to achieve.

In some cases we have written 5 years to achieve at that appraised value. And yet the appraiser is receiving criticism that his appraisal conclusion of value is too high because the property has been on the market for 6 months or a year, and it still hasn't sold.

Well, these appraisers are saying it may take 3 years to sell it to get that appraised value.

Mr. VENTO. The point is, I think, don't ask for appraisal if you are not going to sell the property because of legal constraints or other factors, asking for an appraisal is not money well spent.

Mr. KATSAROS. That may be true. An appraisal-

Mr. VENTO. Let me just ask one more question. I want to ask Ms. McFarland and Mr. Hand one more question. Mr. Hand where do you come down in terms of the RTC or its contractors investing money in properties to enhance their value or to fix them up before they sell them?

Mr. HAND. I think "as-is" principle is a good principle. My problem in the system to date has been the inability to maintain the property on what we would consider to be a care-taking position. The delegation of authority has not allowed the decision-making process and the delegations of authority and budget has not allowed the decision-making process to even do leases that would not cost the Government anything.

To renew leases we have had a difficult time getting lease provisions into any of the properties that had tenants. I think that is a consistent problem and has been throughout the FADA FSLIC.

Mr. VENTO. So if you can't give a lease, you can't keep the tenant?

Mr. HAND. What we are saying is not only can you not keep the tenant on a renewal, but you limit your occupancy, the product di-

minishes in value, the tenancy diminishes in value. We have had occasions when response to maintenance issues were critical and needed to be addressed with someone with authority levels.

Now, I might point this out, that even though, and we made the comment earlier, and I know on Mr. Seidman's testimony you made the comment that the RTC had delegated authority to its regional levels.

Our experience with those regional levels means that they have asset managers or have in the past that have had 60, 70, sometimes 120 assets, and simply to delegate it to the regional level committee is not sufficient because the regional level cannot deal with that property on a day-to-day basis.

You are in the policy business. We are in the reality business. We have tenants that go every day and unlock their door. They need a roof over their head. They need to have responses to their requests in maintenance, their responses to lease renewals. They need to be dealt with on a daily basis.

The on-field supervisory people that I employ deserve to have those answers so they can tell those tenants or, as I indicated, it does impugn our reputation in the marketplace, and I think the system can do it comfortably but delegations of authority need to get down to the asset.

My fear with RTC is that we will go back into a centralization of policy-making instead of a delegation of authority to the hub, from the hub to the spokes.

Mr. VENTO. I know that is one of your major points. I recognize that moving it down to the regional level isn't quite decentralized yet.

These regions make up a quarter of the country, and one is just two States, and so I understand. Ms. McFarland, do you remember by question?

Ms. McFarland. You were asking if——

Mr. VENTO. About fixing up the properties and about how much we put into them and whether that is a good idea. You worked as a receiver, so——

Ms. McFARLAND. I believe that funds that are attributed to the asset should only be attributed to the asset in the event money is spent to either preserve or to prevent it from deteriorating further, or to enhance the value.

However, we have seen trends in certain receiverships and conservatorships where the FDIC decides or whatever entity that they will complete construction loans, and in many cases are not set up to do that. This goes beyond the point of preserving the assets. So I think at times, yes, it is appropriate, at other times, no, a prudent business judgment must be made.

Mr. VENTO. It seems to me the policy had been one where they had a partially completed building and there was no market for it, to scrape the lot, that was the other. So there are a lot of tough decisions. Unfortunately, with all this controversy over in the administration, they haven't started to move the stuff.

I can hardly wait to see what happens when they do. All hell ought to break loose then. This panel has been very helpful, I think, in presenting some of the real problems down in the trenches of trying to deal with this and coming up with appraisals.



We have to say what we want and then when we get something more or less, we should know. So I think it is helpful to me and to others that are trying to monitor and follow the RTC. Thank you all very much for your patience and your responses. We appreciate it.

[Whereupon, at 1:30 p.m., the hearing was adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.]



APPENDIX

•

May 4, 1990



¢

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

TESTIMONY OF

THOMAS E. BENNETT, JR.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT STILLWATER NATION BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

FOR THE

INDEPENDENT BANKERS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

ON

THE DISPOSITION OF ASSETS BY THE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

BEFORE THE

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE AND URBAN AFFAIRS

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION, REGULATION AND INSURANCE

RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION TASK FORCE

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 4, 1990



2

. ..

. . .

Mr. Chairman, my name is Tom Bennett, Jr., and I am appearing today on behalf of the Independent Bankers Association of America (IBAA). The IBAA is the only national trade association that exclusively represents the interests of community banks. I am a member of the Association's Federal Legislation Committee and am their elected national director from the state of Oklahoma. I am also Executive Vice President of the Stillwater National Bank and Trust Company, a \$225 million bank headquartered in Stillwater, Oklahoma.

I am pleased to have this opportunity to discuss with you the strategy we recommend to the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) so that it can maximize asset values in economically distressed states. We reject the idea that RTC must choose between dumping assets and holding them for an extended period. This is not the choice. Neither of those strategies will maximize the RTC's return or help local economies. Instead, the RTC should follow a more creative route:

- The RTC should return the assets it acquires to the control of local people as quickly as possible. We recommend that the RTC sell branches of failed thrifts to local banks or savings and loans on a "whole" thrift basis. That means that branches would be sold with both assets and deposits. These sales will have to be on a branch basis to make it practical for smaller institutions -- which predominate in states like Oklahoma -- to have successful bids.
- 2) The RTC should operate like the major market player that it is in distressed economies, not merely as a liquidator of assets. We recommend that the RTC use assets to help local economies expand, instead of contributing to a continued downward spiral of real estate values.

THE IMPORTANCE OF LOCAL CONTROL

The Collapse and Reaction

The magnitude of our economic collapse in the Southwest is hard to understand unless you were there as it took place. Imagine the following events in the state of Oklahoma between 1982 and 1988:

- Nearly 200,000 people lost jobs ... almost 20 percent of the work force.
- o Over 100 banks and savings and loans failed.
- o Real estate values dropped at least 10 percent statewide and in some places 50 percent or more.
- o Bankruptcies hit new post-1930s highs.



The people of Oklahoma, and of other states with distressed economies in our region, have begun the enormous task of rebuilding ravaged economies. For example, in Oklahoma:

- In 1987 the legislature passed comprehensive economic development legislation creating a new Oklahoma Department of Commerce and several financing programs to facilitate economic growth.
- In 1988 the public-private statewide board of directors for economic development, established by the 1987 Economic Development Act, adopted the state's first comprehensive Five Year Economic Development Plan.
- In 1989 a special session of the legislature was called to revamp the Oklahoma Education system to improve the foundation of our state's economy, and
- In 1990 a New Education Reform and Funding Bill passed the Oklahoma Legislature and has been signed by the governor.

Oklahomans and citizens of other depressed states have taken the steps necessary to begin to rebuild their economies. They have created new agencies, restructured existing systems, raised taxes to fund improved programs, and begun the difficult task of building new lives.

In many ways, we are like our parents and grandparents who, having survived the Depression and the Dust Bowl, rebuilt our part of the country following World War II. We need the cooperation and assistance of federal government agencies that are major asset holders in our state to make sure our efforts are not derailed by actions that are too abrupt or otherwise inappropriate for the fragile nature of our current recovery.

Local Banks Have Begun to Recover

1

The following figures show the progress local banks have made:

- At the end of 1986 Oklahoma banks' non-performing loans were 3.72 percent of assets, while the national average was 1.65 percent. We were working through more than twice as many problem loans as our peers across the country.
- Also in 1986 the banks in Oklahoma <u>lost</u>, in the aggregate,
 0.8 percent assets at a time when the average bank in the country was <u>earning</u> .61 percent.
- By year end 1989 the banks in Oklahoma had reduced their non-performing loans to 1.78 percent of total assets -- over a 50 percent reduction. Importantly, this is now below the national average of 1.87 percent.
- o Also as of year end 1989, the banks in Oklahoma earned 0.48

65

2


percent on average assets; almost exactly the national average of 0.50 percent.

The following table details this improvement:

NATIONAL AGGREGATE (\$MILLIONS)

	December 1989	December 1986
Total Assets	\$ 3,287,392	\$ 2,930,167
Total deposits	2,535,367	2,271,766
Total Loans & Leases (net)	2,049,599	1,748,530
Average Assets	3,180,018	2,801,999
Primary Capital/Assets	7.93/NA	7.58/NA
Nonperforming Loans/		
Total Assets	1.87/NA	1.65/NA
Return on Average		
Assets (ROA)	0.50/NA	0.61/NA

OKLAHOMA AGGREGATE (\$MILLIONS)

	December 1989	December 1986
Total Assets	\$ 26,282,687	\$ 29,249,487
Total Deposits	23,057,965	25,436,184
Total Loans & Leases (net)	12,293,613	14,944,694
Average Assets	25,479,643	28,692,352
Primary Capital/Assets	8.79/NA	8.57/NA
Nonperforming Loans/		
Total Assets	1.78/NA	3.72/NA
Return on Average		
ROA	0.48/NA	-0.80/NA

(Data provided by Sheshunoff Information Services, Inc.)

Why Put Assets Back Into Local Banks?

Obviously, the bankers of Oklahoma have made significant progress. Those who have survived in this market, remained profitable, retained their equity capital, reduced the levels of problem assets and loan losses and increased their profits to normal ratios are experienced, successful bankers. They are exactly the kinds of people that the RTC and Congress should want to have in charge of the problem assets acquired by RTC.

If the RTC tried, directly or through a national firm, to hire staff to manage all of the problem assets being acquired it would probably hire former officers of failed banks and savings and loans or very bright young people with very little experience. It is unlikely that the RTC would be able to hire experienced and successful bankers away from existing banks for short-term jobs. It is in the taxpayers' interest to utilize those people with proven track records in order to achieve optimum results by giving their institutions the opportunity to manage the assets.



The RTC might be able to attract capital from outside of distressed regions and experienced managers might follow that capital. However, as we have learned recently in Oklahoma, a lot of experienced bankers who did well in strong markets did poorly when the markets collapsed. Before bringing in outside talent you must keep in mind that the nation has experienced, on average, an <u>increase</u> in the sales price of single family homes of nearly 30 percent since 1980, while in Oklahoma -- and other parts of the Southwest -- the average sales price of single family homes <u>dropped</u> from 10 percent to 50 percent during the same period. Therefore, even experienced bankers from the outside have had very different experiences than we have had in the Southwest.

The existing local financial companies have both the experience and the vested interest in maximizing the value of local assets. This experience and motivation would well serve both the RTC and the public policy. Local bankers who have existing loans on properties that have already dropped significantly in value will not be quick to sell RTC properties at discounted prices. This would only further reduce the value of the collateral for their other loans. At the same time, they will not want to hold the property off the market, because they know the effect that kind of overhang has on values. Their incentive is to work to maintain stable values and to work to expand the local economies so that all assets will begin to rise in value.

Putting assets in the hands of local firms would make a dramatic difference to people who owe money on the loans acquired by the RTC, or who occupy the properties the RTC holds. The ongoing local financial company can restructure existing debts and, if necessary, loan additional capital so that business can go on as usual.

Conversely, the RTC, like its sister the FDIC, does not loan new money to the borrowers it acquires. Their primary goal now is asset liquidation. This is very unfortunate for a borrower whose loan is only considered to be a liquidating asset. A growing company probably needs additional capital. It will not get it from the RTC or FDIC.

The attitude taken by these agencies is that the good borrowers will refinance with existing banks and only the weak borrowers will remain with them. The reality is that virtually everyone doing business in a distressed economy has been hurt in recent years, and refinancing any business is a difficult task. Therefore, it would be better for these borrowers, and their local economies, if they could work with ongoing local banks and not temporary federal employees.

How To Place Assets Back In Local Hands

Digitized by Google

The simplest way to accomplish this would be to sell the failed and failing savings and loans to existing companies as

4

total package deals, including both assets and deposits. The FDIC has established a system for doing this in the case of failed banks.

One of the difficulties that RTC will have in selling failed savings and loans to banks in our part of the country is that the average bank has less than \$50 million in assets and the average savings and loan has \$139 million. Therefore, in order to sell failed savings and loans to local banks, the RTC will have to sell the savings and loans as branches or in other smaller pieces.

Despite their size, our banks do have the strength to do the job. As of year end 1989, there were 529 banks in Oklahoma with average assets of \$49.7 million, average loan to deposit ratios of 53 percent, and average equity capital of 8.79 percent. The 529 banks had total assets of \$26.3 billion. There is significant capacity for these banks to buy loans and to assimilate other assets of failed savings and loans.

The RTC will need to use a great deal of this capacity to do its job in Oklahoma. At the end of 1989, the RTC owned \$2.5 billion in Oklahoma assets. At the same time, FDIC owned another \$2 billion. The RTC owned assets valued at almost 10 percent of total bank assets. Combined with FDIC, the two agencies held assets nearly equivalent to 20 percent of total bank assets.

At the end of 1989, there were still 72 savings and loans in Oklahoma, with total assets of \$10 billion. Obviously, not all of these assets will come into the hands of RTC, but, even if a significant portion did, the task of assimilating a large percentage of these assets into the existing banks will require the broad participation of many banks. So the assets acquired by the RTC must be broken into packages small enough for local banks to buy.

ACTING LIKE THE MAJOR ASSET HOLDER IN LOCAL MARKETS

Even if the RTC is successful in placing a large percentage of its assets acquired into the hands of local companies, it is likely it will still have an enormous number that it must sell itself. In doing this the RTC should keep the following points in mind:

RTC Is The Largest Player In The Market

The Resolution Trust Corporation is the largest player in many local markets. In Oklahoma, there is no bank as big as the RTC! And, unfortunately, it will probably grow much larger. The RTC and the FDIC dominate the market in economically depressed states. If you add their position to the assets of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Small Business Administration, the Farmers Home Administration, and other federal agencies, it is fair to say that the federal government agencies define the market in states like Oklahoma. Therefore, it is critical that the policies of RTC and the other agencies be

5

Digitized by Google

coordinated and implemented so that they expand local markets. It is equally critical that they not pursue strategies that cause a downward spiral. This would only result in continued losses for everyone involved.

Case in Point Oklahoma as of 12/31/89 Total Assets Owned By:

All Banks

RTC FDIC

<u>2,060,103,000</u> \$4,560,000,000

\$26,282,687,000

Ratio of RTC/FDIC assets owned to total assets of all banks = 17.3%

As illustrated by these numbers, the federal presence and actions in the Oklahoma market will determine market values. If the RTC had an auction to sell all of its assets, it would be similar to liquidating 17.3 percent of the banks at one time. It is impossible, unthinkable!

The RTC Needs To Help Create Demand For Its Assets

\$2,500,000,000

The RTC should act like an investor trying to maximize the value of its assets. Obviously, if a private firm realized that it was the dominant force in a given market it would not liquidate its assets, it would develop them. The firm would work to expand the local market in order to increase demand for its assets and at least stabilize, if not increase, the total value that it would receive from subsequent or simultaneous sales.

Legislation introduced by Congressman Wes Watkins of Oklahoma (H.R. 3627) and recommendations to the FDIC by Oklahoma Governor Henry Bellmon indicate how the RTC and FDIC can do this. These are their primary recommendations:

- The RTC should break the performing loans that it acquires into pools small enough so that local banks can purchase them.
- The RTC should create categories of real estate and set priorities to market and sell properties based on their type, as follows:
 - A. <u>Strategic Commercial Real Estate</u> These would be properties (such as a commercial building or industrial property) that could be developed and sold to either expanding local companies or companies recruited to relocate to the area. New jobs would be created, increasing demand for local housing and, as a result, for other real properties owned by RTC. The development and sale of these strategic properties should be the first priority of the RTC.

6

. . .



- B. <u>Non-Strategic Wasting Assets</u> These are properties (such as single-family houses, apartments, shopping centers, or other facilities), which are not of strategic commercial value but which will deteriorate in value if not properly maintained or occupied. These properties should be sold if possible. If not, they should be promptly leased to so that they will be properly maintained while strategic properties are being developed and sold. The sale of these properties would not be of the same priority as strategic commercial properties. Their values will tend to rise as local economies grow. Therefore, their sale should be of intermediate-term priority.
- C. <u>Non-Strategic, Non-Wasting, Assets</u> These are properties, such as raw land, that will not deteriorate if held in inventory for an extended period of time. They could be sold by RTC, but that should be the lowest priority for marketing efforts. As strategic commercial properties are developed and sold and existing buildings are occupied, these properties will rise in value.

An Example of How This Might Work

As an example of how this might work, imagine a typical small town or poor section of a metropolitan area in which the RTC acquires a large block of real estate. The largest percentage will likely be single-family houses and raw land. However, they are also likely to acquire two or more significant commercial properties or industrial sites nearby. If the RTC focuses its initial efforts on selling the commercial or industrial building to a new company that will hire 100 new employees in the area, it will create a new demand for local housing and have a better opportunity to sell the houses. As new workers start bringing home paychecks and the RTC sells its inventory of existing homes, there will be increased demand for the development of the RTC's raw land. At that time, the RTC can sell its raw land to local developers and be out of business there.

3. The RTC should work closely with state and local economic development organizations to ensure that its efforts are consistent with local efforts to expand the economy.

THE RTC SHOULD AVOID LOSE-LOSE OUTCOMES

The RTC has a major obligation to the taxpayers of America to maximize the value of its assets. Included among those taxpayers are the several million residents of the economically depressed states. One of the RTC's top priorities should be to avoid of causing a downward spiral of real estate values in already fragile economies. Not only would this reduce the value of the RTC's unsold assets but it would probably lead to the failure of more insured financial institutions. The RTC would then acquire more assets with lower value. In the process, the



several million taxpayers who own homes, farms, and businesses in these states would experience further economic collapse and suffering.

CREATING WIN-WIN RESULTS

The Resolution Trust Corporation should pursue policies and actions which create maximum value for RTC and increase value in local economies -- thus creating win-win situations. This is both desirable and possible.

Let me summarize our recommendations to the RTC on how this could be accomplished:

- 1. Try to get as many of the assets acquired as possible back into the hands of experienced surviving financial companies as quickly as possible. This will have to be done in small transactions.
- Immediately identify all strategic commercial properties owned immediately and work with state and local authorities to sell these properties to companies that will create new jobs. This may require creative financing, but it will pay big dividends!
- 3. Find occupants for non-strategic wasting properties as soon as possible, and make little distinction between selling or leasing. Expect these properties to be heavily marketed and sold after the strategic commercial properties are occupied and new jobs are created.
- 4. Place raw land in inventory. Make it available for sale, but anticipate marketing and selling most of it after the local economy begins to expand and the demand for new housing is up.

I believe that following these priorities will result in win-win results.

- The RTC will maximize value of assets acquired;
- The taxpayers nationwide will pay the minimum amount necessary to resolve this crisis;
- The taxpayers in distressed regions will not continue to lose value in their homes, farms, and businesses, and
- More Americans in distressed economies will get jobs and be able to begin to rebuild their lives.

We are not asking for a handout; we are asking for cooperation and the pursuit of mutually desirable goals.

Thank you for considering my testimony.



GAO

÷.

United States General Accounting Office

Testimony

For Release on Delivery Expected at 10:00 a.m. EST May 4, 1990 Asset Management: Resolution Trust Corporation Needs to Build a Strong Foundation to Support its Asset Disposition Efforts

Statement of J. William Gadsby Director, Federal Management Issues General Government Division Before the RTC Oversight Task Force Subcommittee on Financial Institutio

Before the RTC Oversight Task Force Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs House of Representatives



Digitized by Google

GAO Form 160 (12/87)

SUMMARY OF STATEMENT BY J. WILLIAM GADSBY DIRECTOR, FEDERAL MANAGEMENT ISSUES U.S. GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

The asset disposition job facing the RTC is unprecedented in both magnitude and complexity. To do this job well, the RTC needs an appropriate management structure, well trained staff, and effective contracting procedures. While RTC is making progress in these areas it is not yet ready to aggressively dispose of assets. For example, GAO believes the following critical elements should be in place before RTC embarks on an aggressive asset marketing and sales effort:

- -- contractor incentive strategies and performance standards;
- -- alternative methods for estimating market value;
- -- management information systems needed to help monitor compliance with laws, regulations, policies and procedures.

In addition, GAO believes that guidance and training is needed for RTC staff who handle the contracting and monitoring functions.

RTC is using the flexibility provided by the strategic plan to develop a variety of marketing strategies for asset disposition. These include securitization of loans, real estate auctions, and a national marketing program. GAO supports these efforts and believes such strategies should be tested and evaluated before they are used nationwide.

As the RTC gets more involved with the actual business of asset management and disposition, it will need to focus its attention on at least three other items: environmental issues, monitoring contracts, and keeping the strategic plan up-to-date. Mr. Chairman and Members of the Task Force

We are pleased to be here today to discuss the progress being made by the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) in disposing of assets and to suggest some steps it might take to improve that process. My testimony will cover three topics: the asset disposition process, marketing and disposal strategies being tested by RTC, and certain operational issues needing attention.

74

ASSET DISPOSITION PROCESS

The asset disposition task facing the RTC is unprecedented in both magnitude and complexity. To do this job well, RTC must have an effective management structure in place to manage the assets under its control, a cadre of well-trained staff, and sound contracting procedures.

Structure

Digitized by Google

The RTC has organized its asset management activities into three major functions--marketing, asset and contract management, and disposition. A field structure has been set up to do much of the work, but it is not fully staffed yet. Overall, RTC has about 36 percent of its planned 5,400 staff. As of April 30, the staffing levels at the consolidated sites, where most of the asset contracting and monitoring work will take place, varied

greatly from about 55 percent of full capacity in Kansas City to 14 percent in Phoenix, which was the last site to be set up.

While RTC is not yet ready to aggressively dispose of assets, as of March 31, it has reported liquidations through sale, loan collections, and debt retirements, of about \$40 billion in assets. Of this total, about \$33 billion, or 83 percent, had been liquidated by the thrifts in the conservatorship program. Further, about 90 percent of the assets sold out of conservatorships have been loans and securities. However, there have been some fairly substantial real estate sales. For example, the Hyatt Grand Champion Hotel in California was sold in March for \$66.5 million, which was 104 percent of its appraised value. Also, a garden apartment complex in Houston was sold for \$13.6 million, or 117.5 percent of its appraised value.

Asset dispositions have occurred primarily in conservatorships because those thrifts usually had a structure in place to market assets. We believe that liquidating certain assets while a thrift awaits resolution is expedient and, because it is being done sooner, can reduce ultimate resolution costs.

Training

2

RTC recently established a Training Task Force which is responsible for assessing the overall training needs and

Digitized by Google

identifying where training should be strengthened. We believe this is a necessary step in the contracting area because (1) RTC's mandate is to contract out as much work as possible, and (2) much of RTC's staff is either new to the government or from FDIC where the normal practice was to do its own asset management and disposition rather than working through contractors. As RTC intensifies contracting efforts, it becomes more important that field personnel are adequately trained to handle the contracting and monitoring functions and to develop information systems which will permit them to adequately oversee and monitor contractor performance.

Procedures

The strategic plan called for the RTC to submit to the Oversight Board most of its procedures for implementing the policies in the plan by March 30. The target dates were met for many implementing procedures. But some important implementing procedures in the asset disposition area related to contractor incentive strategies, contractor performance standards, and alternative methods for estimating market values are still being developed. As mentioned earlier, we believe these critical procedures need to be in place before RTC embarks on an aggressive asset management and disposition program.

3

76



Digitized by Google

Other related areas where RTC is still finalizing implementing procedures include:

- -- a national sales program for financial assets held in conservatorship,
- -- conveyance of assets to state and local governments participating in certain HUD programs,
- -- determining the use of assets where no reasonable recovery is anticipated, and
- -- management information systems needed to help monitor compliance with laws, regulations, policies and procedures.

ASSET DISPOSITION STRATEGIES

Recognizing the magnitude and complexity of the asset disposition task, the strategic plan directs RTC to try alternative approaches, learning from experience what works and what does not work. It further emphasizes that nothing in the plan is intended to preclude such flexibility.

RTC has been using the plan's flexibility to identify and test several marketing strategies. These include the securitization



of loans, real estate auctions, a national sales center, and alternatives for estimating market value. We think these are positive steps and we endorse the concept of testing and evaluating them before nationwide implementation. Such action will better equip RTC for the asset disposition task that lies ahead.

Securitization Projects

RTC has retained the services of an investment banking specialist and dealers in asset-backed securities and mortgages to assist in developing an asset securitization program. This program will cover the sale of both performing and nonperforming mortgages, and commercial and consumer loans. RTC expects to announce a securitization demonstration project in about 3 months. This project will pool and securitize one-to four-family mortgages from thrifts located in each of the four RTC regions.

In addition, RTC's Eastern Region is also testing a program to securitize mortgage loans to make them more marketable. The region is currently working through a due diligence process with a goal of removing much of the uncertainty over the quality of the loans to be sold.

5

Digitized by Google

Auction Project

÷

In March 1990, the RTC announced it was soliciting proposals to auction real estate properties. This solicitation has closed and RTC officials say the award should be announced shortly. RTC expects to hold a real estate auction sometime this summer. About \$250 million worth of real estate from around the country will be offered for sale.

National Marketing Project

RTC is also working on a solicitation for services to retain a firm to help develop a national marketing strategy. It expects to have this firm under contract by mid-summer. Other related planned actions include a national sales center, and a nationwide advertising campaign.

Further, RTC is exploring alternatives to appraisals for establishing the market value of assets. In our opinion, having such alternatives readily available could be valuable to RTC if either the ability to get timely appraisals or the appraisal results, become a bottleneck in the asset disposition process.

We further believe RTC also needs to work with other government agencies such as GSA, VA, and FHA to identify and pursue asset disposition approaches or strategies that are in the best

6

Digitized by Google

interest of the government as a whole. Indeed, a number of federal agencies have large inventories of similar assets for disposal in the same markets, and it is important that these agencies avoid undue competition with one another. It is also important that they work together to see if the assets they are managing could be used for public purposes that would otherwise have to be met in whole or in part with federal appropriations.

OPERATIONAL ISSUES

NEEDING ATTENTION

As RTC gets more involved with the actual business of asset management and disposition, we see three important items it will need to focus on: environmental issues, monitoring contracts, and keeping the strategic plan and implementing procedures up-todate. We plan to review or monitor each of these areas to assess what RTC is doing.

Environmental Issues

An emerging issue RTC will face relates to what effect environmental substances such as toxic wastes, asbestos, and PCBs may have on its ability to dispose of real estate. Environmental Protection Agency regulations may require RTC, as receiver, to remedy environmental problems before property can be used or sold. RTC will need to determine the extent to which

7

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google



properties in its inventory are contaminated and will require corrective actions. We were told that RTC has begun the process of identifying affected properties. Once this has been done, RTC will need to develop action plans for any contaminated properties. The removal or containment of contaminating substances could be very expensive, greatly increasing the RTC's disposition costs for the affected properties.

Contract Monitoring

With respect to contracting, it will not be good enough just to have well written contracts. RTC will have to adequately monitor the performance of these contracts to be sure the interests of the government are adequately protected. Given the expected magnitude of the assets to be liquidated, the Comptroller General has identified RTC as one of 14 high risk areas vulnerable to fraud, waste, and mismanagement. We will therefore pay close attention to the contracting area.

Updating Policies and Procedures

Finally, the Oversight Board has said that the strategic plan will be revisited and revised over time as the Board and RTC gain greater experience regarding the scope and nature of the challenges they face. We endorse this concept. We would also emphasize that the Oversight Board and RTC should periodically

8

Digitized by Google

update the strategic plan to reflect current policies and procedures, especially during the initial start up period when changes tend to occur more frequently. This updating is very important because the plan (1) serves as a basic tool for managing RTC and for informing the general public and contractors how RTC operates (2) provides the Board and RTC with criteria for evaluating progress and (3) enables the Congress to exercise its responsibility to monitor the progress of both the Oversight Board and RTC.

- - - - - -

That concludes my statement. We would be pleased to answer any questions you may have.

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access use#pd-google ł



TESTIMONY OF

RICHARD A. HAND PRESIDENT, FORTUNE ASSET MANAGEMENT CO. ARLINGTON, TEXAS

ON

DISPOSITION OF ASSETS BY THE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

BEFORE THE

TASK FORCE ON THE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION, REGULATION AND INSURANCE

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE, AND URBAN AFFAIRS UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

10:00 AM May 4, 1990 Room 2128 Rayburn House Office Building



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

.

. .

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the Task Force. Mr.Chairman, I commend you and the other members of this Task Force for holding these hearings and your participation in monitoring the emergence of the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC).

Fortune Asset Management

My name is Richard A. Hand and I serve as President of Fortune Asset Management Company. I feel privileged to appear before you today to discuss the experiences that our firm has had in the management and disposition of government assets.

The scope of our engagements has included various asset management and asset contract relationships with FADA, FSLIC, FDIC as Manager for the FSLIC Resolution Fund, many of the Southwest Plan S&Ls established in 1988 and a growing number of the "asset banks" created by the NCNB and Bank One purchases in Texas. With offices in both Texas and Florida we have had the opportunity to interact with both the Eastern and Southern regions in the management and disposition of government assets.

RTC

Although my testimony loosely follows the order of your letter of invitation, I would briefly like to make some comments concerning the RTC. Our prayer is that the RTC is the last regulatory conduit between the private sector and the S&L and banking crisis. Our fear is that the RTC may go the way of its predecessors. Our concern for RTC is not born out of criticism. The mandate is clear and the RTC structure for success as

1

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Digitized by Google

presented to this body by RTC on March 27, 1990 gives rise to guarded optimism. I must say that one of the most encouraging portions of that testimony confirmed RTC's commitment to using private-sector asset management contractors as a primary weapon in its arsenal.

85

My conversations with RTC personnel are equally upbeat as they await the onslaught yet to come from the resolution of the conservatorships. And yet the fear persists.

Delegation of Authority

Digitized by Google

In our business relationships today, and the reality of our daily real estate existence the common thread of government involvement and regulatory supervision is evident. Our experience over the last five years contracting for the federal deposit insurance funds and government supervised savings and loans have made us apprehensive about the evolution of the <u>('delegation of authority"</u>. The RTC must avoid the tendency of becoming too centralized in its approval processes. The RTC must allow both its liquidators-in-charge and its private-sector contractors to retain a liberal autonomy to operate and fund predetermined budgeted items. As elemental as this may sound I must report to you that the major source of practitioner discomfort is in the "delegation of authority" arena. Lack of authority at the asset level extends and inevitably paralyzes the approval process, demoralizes the asset manager and depresses the value of the asset. As a direct result of lack of authority at the asset level the private-sector contractor compromises his ability to "get the job done" for his market and impugns his reputation in that marketplace. It is our belief that when the appropriate and timely "delegations of authority" are in place, the integrity of each asset is better served

through the asset management system whether administrated by a liquidator or a private-sector contractor. The asset is better maintained, experiences increased occupancy, is more attractive to an investor or in a bulk sale. The RTC has currently established liberal "delegations of authority" for each Regional office, Consolidated office and Liquidator-in-charge but the dissemination of this authority to the day to day asset level through the asset manager is a critical component to the success of the RTC real estate asset maintenance and sales program.

Strategic Plan

The RTC Strategic Plan could be modified to clarify how the general goals for the disposition of affordable housing will be achieved. The procedures for transfer or availability for low and moderate income applicants and the interface with local housing authorities would lend itself to further clarification and augmentation.

Asset Disposition

Digitized by Google

The overriding consideration for RTC should be the unsecured creditors of each resolution or liquidating receivership. If, for instance, real estate or loans backed by real estate were not liquidated by institutions, factors such as accounting for claims against the receivership and loans to one borrower for civil and/or criminal prosecution may become impaired. Bankruptcy and other legal claims against the institution would

preclude movement of asset categories from one institution to another or at the least make planning a population of loans and real estate for assembly cumbersome.

Valuation System

÷

The RTC must create an efficient and effective valuation process applied consistently and uniformly to its real property portfolio. The FDIC system seems to be burdensome for the size and pace at which the RTC has projected results. The RTC has elected to engage outside appraisals by third party appraisers. An efficient valuation system would entail qualification of an approved list of professional appraisers that have met RTC qualification standards. The further establishment of a standard valuation work product like FHLBB R41-C is necessary. Finally, the implementation of a reevaluation procedure that would be market driven indicated by offers to purchase or by lack of activity after an initial period of marketing and exposure.(90-180days) An added complication comes from the finite resource of qualified appraisers. In Dallas/FT.Worth, for instance, the RTC will be in competition with the FDIC, FDIC as Manager for the FSLIC Resolution Fund, the Southwest Plan S&Ls, NCNB, Team Bank(FAMCO), Bank One and...... well the backlog is now critical and RTC's ability to respond to the market and increase dispositions will depend on their ability to revalue quickly. And gentlemen, that is if you want one appraisal. The RTC wants two, as does every other entity supervised by the same requirement. This is a very difficult problem that needs immediate attention. The appraisal process and RTC's ability to control it has a further reaching impact and relates to the carrying costs for an asset in relationship

Digitized by Google

to the assessment for ad valorem taxation, fire and extended insurance coverage and is instrumental in determining the bid price at foreclosure in the case of a loan.

Seller Financing

Seller financing is and will be crucial in the disposition of assets. I am aware that the RTC has a bias for cash. Unfortunately, the reality of the product base needs to be inspected. The overwhelming majority of the asset base that the RTC will inherit is not what we would commonly refer to as class "A" or national caliber product. On the contrary, most of this product was developed by middle tier regional or local developers, funded by regional or local institutions with regional or local use and scope. Much of the asset base is not well built and has limited appeal to investors nationally and by nature will most likely remain a local or regional product. If one includes an overwhelming abundance of raw land or partially developed land and add the name Texas, you have a need for seller financing. The RTC is now tracking lending institution activity in real estate lending and knows or will know soon and given that FDIC is committed to repress real estate lending in areas that are determined to be risk regions, a local anomaly can likely occur. The RTC has an oversupply of real estate product that it wants to sell into a risk region, on a cash basis, where no financing exists. If seller financing does not exist in some marketplaces it will likely extend any optimistic disposition strategy. Seller financing, with reasonable terms (one year is not long enough) is a crucial component to the success of RTC's strategy. The added burden of non-performing real estate loans in lenders' portfolios across the country will

5

further serve to depress the investors ability to finance real estate purchases on reasonable terms.

Retention Costs

The obvious costs associated with the retention of assets are physical maintenance costs, ad valorem taxes, insurance, operating costs and management costs and recurring appraisal costs. The real hidden costs relate to the settlement or litigation of claims. A large percentage of these assets will be loans and will be subject to title acquisition problems. Historically the government is not in a position to make deals on the settlement of claims and has chosen to litigate and therefore contribute to the cost of title acquisition.

Asset Managers

Digitized by Google

The asset management contractors must have a profit and incentive motive in order to assist the RTC in its sales and marketing strategy. Clear concise and consistent delegation of authority should be given to the asset management contractors. The RTC, as a safeguard against abuse would maintain the appraisal and valuation system in-house and administrate the reevaluation process. The assets must be realistically priced and a profit over a benchmark could help increase the pressure to get the highest disposition price possible. Authorization of the asset management contractor to sell assets under specific guidelines up to a specific dollar amount without prior approval from the RTC should be appropriate if RTC maintains appraisal and audit controls. It will be difficult to find and compensate contractors fairly without incentive. The larger question may be how does RTC find and compensate outside contractors to administrate loans. The difficulty in both cost and time and the risk of acquiring title because due process and expedited relief provision favor the borrower and not the lender in relation to time.

Price Adjustments

Digitized by Google

Our experience has been that an REO assets fresh from the foreclosure list are generally immature properties in the market and require a maturation process. This is a general rule and is created by the original book value less loan loss reserves which never seem to reflect current market value in the time that it takes to complete the foreclosure process. The appraiser in this case almost always gets debtor in possession information which tends to put upward pressure on the value. After reassembling accurate poverty data and supporting material, the property will be marketed. In many cases like the above example it would not be unusual for this property to require two and even three price adjustments before the property can become attractive to the marketplace. Therefore, any aggressive sales system like the RTC will require a maximum reevaluation every six months.

7

Out-Guess Economy

It occurs to me that the business of the RTC as the RTC currently perceives it is to convert the assets in its charge throughout the private-sector to private investors/owners for cash, receiving the highest price as quickly as possible. An investor in real estate would be thinking about leveraging his monopoly by holding his office land off the market therefore creating a demand for office land and gaining a higher price. To artificially manipulate the pool of assets also prolongs the agony of many markets that may have an excess of this problem real estate. We can't overlook what I am going to characterize as a self-regulating feature and the capacity of the system to mature market ready assets to the time these properties can be marketed from the diverse portfolios.

Telemarketing

The complexities of commercial real estate and certainly loans may preclude the effective use of traditional telemarketing efforts.

Auctions

RTC should use auctions for some product categories, including homes, home lots, resort properties and resort condominiums.

8

30-239 0 - 90 - 4

Digitized by Google

Documentation

The lack of documentation from the S&Ls is legendary. If the asset is an REO the information must be generated and increases the takeover cost associated with the asset. If it is a loan, there may be not information at all and, given an uncooperative borrower, little means of retrieval.

Bulk Sales

The RTC has made tremendous strides in identifying its original portfolio base and has set in motion the solicitation of services (SOS) to acquire the necessary equipment and services to monitor the pooling and standardization of like-kind assets. Effective tools, including securitizations, secondary market sales, open auctions and sealed bids, are all potential bulk or multiple sale techniques. When the smoke clears the RTC will be left with commercial real property, and land. Bulk sale opportunities are available in better communication and the maturing of the asset base housed on site in the conservatorships. Each time RTC resolves a conservatorship the personnel familiar with those assets will normally change, thereby leaving a knowledge base that only time can cure. The more resolutions that are completed, the more difficult it will be to package and have investor due diligence for any bulk sale portfolio. Bulk sale purchases will require the largest discount, or RTC will have to provide a substantial part of the

9



purchase price in seller financing. To sell at the RTC value RTC may want to consider a reversionary interest on the performance of the assets and whole portfolio.

Summary

Like many other firms Fortune Asset Management Company is following the emergence of the RTC with optimism. The market deeply needs creative and consistent leadership and we hope and pray that RTC and the Oversight Board can provide that leadership. We are elated that contractor ethics and qualification standards are in place and encourage strict adherence unilaterally.

Let me say in closing that we are aware of the monumental size of the undertaking. The direction and progress of RTC is surprising. We hope that the implementation of the private-sector is allowed to run its course and the disposition of billions of dollars in assets is realized.

Mr. Chairman, I thank you again for this opportunity to address this task force and we again appreciate your service in monitoring the emergence of the Resolution Trust Corporation.

Digitized by Google

Public Securities Association 1000 Vermont Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 898-9390



,

STATEMENT OF W. HAL HINKLE CHAIRMAN, MORTGAGE BACKED SECURITIES EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE PUBLIC SECURITIES ASSOCIATION

> HEARING ON THE DISPOSITION OF ASSETS BY THE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION TASK FORCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION, REGULATION AND INSURANCE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE AND URBAN AFFAIRS

MAY 4, 1990

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Task Force, good morning. My name is Hal Hinkle. I am Vice President and National Product Manager in the Mortgage Securities Department at Goldman, Sachs & Co. This morning, however, I do not represent my firm, but the Public Securities Association (PSA), where I serve as Chairman of the Mortgage-Backed Securities Executive Committee. The PSA is an international trade association of over 300 banks and brokerage firms that trade and underwrite mortgage-backed securities, U.S. government and federal agency securities, municipal securities, and money market instruments. On behalf of the PSA, let me thank the Task Force for the invitation to testify at this hearing.

We will limit our comments about RTC asset disposition to the disposition of existing securities and other fungible assets that are compatible with disposition in the secondary mortgage capital markets. Although this avoids some of the pressing issues about the disposition of real property and certain other assets, given the general area of our collective expertise we believe it appropriate to restrict the scope of these comments to capital market related disposition. Further, we estimate that at least half, and quite possibly more, of the RTC's assets will ultimately be disposed of in the mortgage capital markets that the PSA represents.¹

¹This estimate is derived as follows. In Table 2 of the March 27 testimony of Lamar Kelly, William Roelle, and Elisabeth Specter, the total gross value of assets of institutions in conservatorship totalled \$128.6 billion at the end of January

Headquarters: 40 Broad Street, New York, N.Y. 10004 • (212) 809-7000



With the magnitude of these assets in mind and the direct dependence of the RTC on the capital markets, we would like to first discuss two overall themes that bear on a number of the specific issues relating to disposition of the RTC's assets in the capital markets. These themes include the importance of two-way information flow and the dependence of the capital markets upon commercially reasonable representations and warranties and indemnifications. After setting out these general themes, we will discuss how these issues bear on some of the specific questions raised by the Task Force in its invitation to testify.

Information

It is almost a cliche to say that capital markets need reliable information, but it bears repeating nonetheless. Capital markets simply do not function efficiently without a steady stream of information about the volume, type, and timing of securities coming to market. The RTC needs to provide regular and timely information about asset disposition on an ongoing basis. For each particular asset class, the market will operate most efficiently if the RTC: (1) reports the potential size of the assets to be liquidated; (2) establishes a regular, periodic, and staged liquidation schedule (whether negotiated or competitive); and (3) provides regular updates to the volume and schedule. In general, the markets will continue to function effectively as information changes at the margin as long as it knows that it will continue to receive regular information. The markets never operate efficiently or provide effective liquidity for sellers when they are surprised by new information.

If the markets need information from the RTC, the RTC, in turn, will need information from the capital markets when structuring its transactions. Since the RTC has not begun substantial asset securitization, it may have yet to perceive the need to receive information back from the capital markets. To help facilitate the flow of information and insight back to the RTC, the PSA has offered its services as a liaison between the RTC and the capital markets. Since the PSA represents substantially all of the players in the secondary mortgage capital markets, it has the ability to provide the RTC with the collective breadth of knowledge about the markets.

As an example of how such a vital liaison function has been performed by the PSA for the RTC, we cite the PSA's work regarding the post-insolvency interest issue that arose several weeks ago. After the RTC announced that it might not honor the interest owed on certain collateralized obligations of insolvent thrifts, the PSA assembled a group of leading market participants and representatives of the major rating agencies to work with

- 2 -



^{1990.} The value of mortgage loans was \$66.2 billion and the value of cash and securities was \$30.8 billion. Assuming that 1/2 to 2/3 of the mortgage loans are able to be disposed of in the secondary mortgage market, which seems likely, and that the amount of cash is negligible, since it is needed to pay off depositors, it is not difficult to arrive at a figure that is half of the total value of assets in conservatorship.

senior RTC staff and counsel to devise a solution that might calm the capital markets. Quick work, and the ability to present a unified market voice to the RTC, helped to avert a crisis in the capital markets, which could have affected over \$25 billion of thrift liabilities.

The PSA has offered to provide this liaison function to the RTC on a regular, and if necessary, formalized, basis in order to deal with both unexpected issues and anticipated obstacles that may impede the RTC's asset disposition efforts. We look forward to a positive response from the RTC's Directors. The establishment of a liaison body should not be taken as a substitute for the necessity of the RTC to hire private contractors or financial advisors to provide it with advice and services specific to the disposition of individual asset classes.

Representations and Warranties, and Indemnifications

The secondary mortgage capital markets rely on a tradition of commercially reasonable representations and warranties,² and indemnifications³ for efficient operation. The purpose of representations and warranties is to provide the marketplace with a means of avoiding a reliance on a theoretical need for perfect information about an asset's characteristics and quality. Similarly, indemnification by the seller serves to facilitate the selection and performance of the financial advisor in asset disposition. If these issues are not dealt with by the RTC in a manner consistent with efficient operation of the capital markets, then the RTC will, in all likelihood, be thwarted in its parallel goals of achieving the highest realizable proceeds and the most expeditious disposition.

Traditionally, these representations and warranties are provided by the seller to expedite the sale. If the RTC is unwilling to provide appropriate representations and warranties, buyers will either bid in an overly defensive manner or need much longer to complete an exhaustive due diligence process. The first event will frustrate the RTC's goal of achieving the highest realizable proceeds. The second event will potentially extend the disposition process to a timeframe far longer than originally conceived in the FIRREA legislation.

³In financial advisories covering assets sales, it is customary for the selling party to indemnify the financial advisor relative to third party claims and litigation. It is not, however, generally customary for the financial advisor to indemnify (sometimes called cross-indemnity) the selling party.

3 -

. . .

²Generally, sellers of mortgage loans are required to make a number of representations and warranties concerning themselves as an institution (<u>e.g.</u>, they are authorized to sell the loans, all documents delivered are validly executed, no lawsuits are pending which might adversely affect the sale, etc.) and concerning each mortgage loan (<u>e.g.</u>, the mortgage is a valid first lien, the mortgaged property is in good repair, the note is enforceable, the mortgage is covered by a title policy, the file contains an appraisal, etc.).

A typical purchaser, in the marketplace, expects representations and warranties to be provided by an entity with sufficient capital to comply with its repurchase obligation in the event of a breach. Obviously, an insolvent thrift could not credibly comply with this obligation. Further, it is critical for the entity to remain viable for as long as it will take the purchaser to confirm the accuracy of the representations, if not for the life of the loan. In some respects, this runs counter to the intention of FIRREA, which was to create the RTC as a shell corporation with no corporate assets, to be dissolved after seven years. To substantially expedite the disposition and securitization of assets, representations and warranties will have to be forthrightly provided in a manner consistent with the commercially reasonable expectations of the marketplace.

Relative to indemnification, there are two issues involved in the RTC's need to hire competent outside financial advisors. First, if an insolvent thrift indemnifies the financial advisor, then the value of that indemnification will be seen as illusory at best. Second, the more capital the financial advisor has at risk, the more difficult it may be, in a litigious world, for the financial advisor to provide cross-indemnity. As an extension, in the event that the financial advisor would be willing to provide cross indemnity, it would be illogical for a well-capitalized firm to provide cross-indemnity to each the insolvent thrift, the RTC, and the FDIC, if the financial advisor is only being provided indemnity by the insolvent thrift. This may result in an unintended bias for the RTC to select contractors with little or no capital at risk, thereby thwarting the value of the cross-indemnity cap (possibly as a function of the fee) that would give the RTC the broadest access to the greatest range of expertise and experience.

<u>Ouestions</u>

Having set out these important themes relating to RTC asset disposition, we would like to try to bring them to bear on some of the questions raised by the Task Force in its invitation to testify.

<u>Question #1</u>: Should the RTC's Strategic Plan be augmented by defining in more detail how the general goals for asset disposition are to be achieved?

Following on the discussion of representations and warranties, and indemnification, it may be desirable for the RTC to provide representations and warranties as indicated in the discussion above. This will likely require some provision for the transfer of the RTC's contingent obligations, perhaps to the FDIC, once the RTC dissolves. Such a strategy might go beyond the current Strategic Plan and require modifications.

<u>Question #2</u>: Should the RTC dispose of assets in all or most of the thrifts under its control by category of asset across the nation or by attempting to deal with all assets institution by

- 4 -



institution or through a combination of these methods? What factors or criteria should the RTC consider in deciding which approach to take?

This question is best approached by recognizing that the RTC has two needs relative to its assets -- to manage them and to dispose of them. Generally, assets that need to be managed locally should be sold locally, and assets that are best not managed locally are not best disposed of locally. The management and disposition of loan servicing, high-yield bonds, residuals and derivative securities, corporate debt, and potentially unsecured consumer receivables should be consolidated nationally. Successful disposition of these sorts of assets may require the capabilities, experience, and resources of a private contractor or financial advisor with demonstrated experience and expertise. On the other hand, homogeneous securities, <u>e.g.</u>, generic GNMA or Treasury securities, can be managed and sold locally. Finally, despite the general rule, some assets, <u>e.g.</u>, 1-4 family loans, can be managed locally but disposed of nationally through securitized products.

<u>Question #3</u>: What safeguards should be put in place to insure that the appropriate price is received? How would these safeguards work?

The best safeguard is to choose capable asset managers to handle the complicated assets along the lines discussed above.

<u>Ouestion #8</u>: Should the RTC attempt to out-guess the economy as to the timing of sales of various types of assets?

Definitely not. Instead, the RTC should adopt a disciplined, staged, and periodic approach, and provide the financial markets with a steady stream of information, including all incremental changes, about the assets it has for sale and its timetable for their disposition. If the RTC tries to out-guess the market, there will be skepticism and uncertainty about the value of the assets the RTC has to sell and its plans for doing so. As a result, to avoid catastrophe, market participants will prepare for the worst scenario, with the likely result being much weaker prices for RTC assets.

<u>Question #10</u>: Should the RTC make use of auctions, at least for some types of assets?

Generally speaking, the harder and more complicated it is to evaluate the asset, the less suitable the asset is for an auction. There are also some inherent problems that can arise in an auction process. Auctions are most appropriate when the assets being sold are seen as homogeneous and when bidders have uniform access to information regarding the asset's characteristics and quality, notwithstanding the need for representations and warranties. It will likely be difficult for the RTC to effect an efficient and realistic auction if individual bidders are repeatedly required to negotiate confidentiality agreements, pay

- 5 -



for and undertake expensive and comprehensive due diligence in a short time frame when they are but one of a larger number of bidders.

- 6 -

As an alternative, the RTC can hire one firm to perform the due diligence and provide the necessary information to all qualified bidders. As long as the RTC is willing to provide the accompanying representations and warranties, the RTC will have likely effected the most expeditious disposition of its assets. It is important to acknowledge that there may be instances in which the auction process may not result in the highest realizable proceeds. Examples may be found in volatile markets and extremely complicated products, or the awarding of a bid in a competition to a party which does not have the material means to complete or close the transaction.

<u>Question #12</u>: What are the benefits of securitizing (as contrasted to selling) loans or groups of loans directly?

Securitizing assets is the central means to expedite the sale of loan assets. However, it should be recognized that the underwriting of securities in the capital markets requires a set of commercially reasonable representations and warranties, and indemnifications, with respect to securities underwriters, issuers, servicing, and loan origination standards. The rating agencies, as well as investors, will expect to see these representations and warranties in place in order to have the confidence necessary to rate or hold these products.

Therefore, in order for the RTC to be able to securitize its assets with any success, it will need considerable expertise and advice from the private sector. In this regard, if formally requested by the RTC, the PSA is willing to assist in providing collective feedback with respect to appropriate representations and warranties.

<u>Ouestion #13</u>: In packaging assets, is the lack of documentation from the S&Ls a problem?

Quite definitely, yes. In the absence of any representations and warranties to compensate for the lack of information, the lack of documentation may slow down the disposition process substantially and discourage aggressive bidding.

<u>Question #14</u>: What action might be taken by the RTC to expedite securitization or otherwise promote bulk sales?

The best way to expedite the process would be for the RTC to provide adequate representations and warranties with respect to its assets. As in the capital markets, when information is lacking or highly uncertain, the RTC should not expect to receive the highest realizable proceeds without the appropriate accompanying representations and warranties. The RTC should also provide a list of potentially securitizable assets to capital markets participants.

- 7 -

Conclusion

It is likely that at least half of the RTC's assets will ultimately be disposed of in the capital markets. If the RTC is to complete this disposition successfully, it will have to maintain disposition procedures that are accepted as commercially reasonable.

First and foremost is the need for ongoing information and confidence in that information. The provision of information from the RTC to the markets is in the RTC's hands; the provision of information back from the markets to the RTC can be facilitated by both private contractors and a liaison body established to present a collective view from the marketplace. The PSA has offered its services in that role. Access to the broadest range of financial advisory talent may require some resolution of the indemnification issues.

We believe that addressing these questions is directly in the RTC's interest. One could postulate that the capital markets might provide the RTC with sufficient liquidity without procedures of the sort advocated in this statement, but it is unlikely that market participants will bear this additional risk without direct cost to the RTC. Unless there is accurate and reliable information, appropriately buttressed by representations and warranties, capital market participants will likely adopt a defensive posture towards participation in the disposition of the RTC's assets, thereby frustrating the RTC's goals.

Again, we thank the Chairman and the Members of the Task Force for the opportunity to testify.

0465M



Statement of

JAMES A. JOHNSON

VICE CHAIRMAN FANNIE MAE

Before the

TASK FORCE ON THE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION, REGULATION AND INSURANCE of the

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE AND URBAN AFFAIRS of the

UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

May 4, 1990



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
My name is James A. Johnson, Vice Chairman of Fannie Mae. I am pleased to have the opportunity to address the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) Task Force today.

Fannie Mae is a congressionally chartered, privately owned company whose sole business is to support residential lending by making a secondary market for mortgages. Because of our public mission to aid home finance and our major investment in home mortgages, Fannie Mae is vitally interested in assisting this Task Force and the RTC in every feasible way.

With our congressional mandate of providing assistance and liquidity to the primary market, Fannie Mae occupies a pivotal place in the home finance delivery system. We are the nation's largest investor in mortgages secured by properties for low-, moderate- and middle-income families and a major issuer of mortgage-backed securities (MBS) backed by those mortgages. As of March 31, 1990 we had almost \$112 billion of mortgage loans in our portfolio and had outstanding approximately \$246 billion of mortgage-backed securities. Together, our portfolio purchases and MBS finance about one out of every seven mortgages in the United States.

The benefits Fannie Mae brings to the mortgage market derive from combining efficiencies granted by our charter with the large scale of our operations. This includes tapping the capital markets both at home and abroad across a range of maturities to fund our business, expanding the pool of housing investors. Together, these factors allow us to assure a consistently high level of liquidity for home loans across the nation, in all economic circumstances. Similarly, the high volume of our securities issuance transforms an array of illiquid mortgage instruments gathered from far flung lenders into homogeneous, highly liquid securities that have great appeal to investors.

Most recently, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have helped the housing market weather the effects of major changes in primary lending patterns following the enactment of the thrift reform law of last August. Since then, to meet tougher new capital requirements, many thrifts have significantly curbed portfolio holdings of home loans and increased sales to secondary market investors. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have successfully ensured the continued flow of mortgage credit to home buyers by increasing their share of new mortgages funded and guaranteed.

We can meet such extraordinary demands, and routinely serve home credit needs throughout the country, by using a highly streamlined approach that supported an average daily purchase of about 4200 loans in 1989 (for a volume each business day of about \$348 million). We can combine high volumes with high credit quality because we delegate underwriting and approval of our loan purchases to the originating lender. We buy only those loans that



have already undergone comprehensive compliance checks by the originating lenders to make sure their product conforms to our extensive uniform underwriting standards. We also require lenders to make a contractual warranty to us, acknowledging compliance with our guidelines and with all applicable local and federal laws governing their mortgage lending. We further safeguard the integrity of the process through lender monitoring programs and post-purchase reviews of selected loans.

Since becoming a private company in 1968, Fannie Mae has financed homes for over nine million families -- and over seven million were financed in the 1980s, after we adopted the streamlined operating mode of delegated underwriting. In 1989 alone, our net new business volume of \$87 billion helped more than one million families afford homes. We expect to finance homes for another ten million families during the 1990s.

The vast scope of the RTC's task assures that it will be a critical factor in the health of our general financial system over the next five years, as well as the real estate sector in many areas of the country. Hardly a day goes by without the media focusing on the RTC's monumental task, its \$4 billion junk bond portfolio, its telephone-book like list of residential properties. All told, the RTC must deal with an estimated \$190 billion in total assets for the thrifts now under management, and that number is likely to rise even higher as more institutions are placed in the RTC's care.

Fannie Mae and other investors in the housing market have a great interest in the RTC's progress as it disposes of some \$70 billion in residential mortgages. The RTC's ability to maximize these assets' value depends on preserving their performance, which in turn depends on continual professional servicing. Unfortunately, the conservatorship process often compromises the institution's ability to provide quality servicing, and this fact has already placed the RTC's major loan portfolio in a high risk status.

The lack of financial resources of a receivership or conservatorship generally leads to a declining capacity to service loans. The quality and maintenance of automated systems, controls, and staff expertise to properly service loans generally deteriorates. The results are poor management of funds, poor service for borrowers, higher delinquency rates and an overall loss of control. It has been our experience that if left unchecked, the value of a troubled lender's servicing portfolio has less market value because it must be "cleaned up" to produce the positive servicing income expected. This cleanup process can be expensive and time-consuming, thus postponing the profits expected from the servicing cash flow. All these problems tend to increase in direct proportion to the time an institution remains in financial distress.



Because Fannie Mae's business interest is to buy and securitize residential mortgages and to encourage affordable housing, I would like to discuss three topics today on which our experience directly corresponds to the RTC's mandate.

First, we have a number of comments about mortgage asset sales management. In managing the size and the composition of our mortgage portfolio, Fannie Mae is frequently a seller of mortgages. Since 1981, we have sold \$34.5 billion of mortgages. We have established an eighteen member group of investment bankers that compete for loans sold from our portfolio. In addition, we work with numerous regional investment banking firms through our customer service trading desk.

Second, I would like to comment on the RTC's mandate to integrate its asset management task with its goal of supporting affordable housing. In 1987, Fannie Mae established a special unit to focus and enhance our efforts to deal with housing affordability problems. This unit has committed to provide over \$3.5 billion in specialized financings to assist some 70,000 low- and moderate-income households to rent or buy quality housing they can afford.

Third, I will examine some options for RTC's real estate disposal efforts. Fannie Mae assumes a certain degree of credit risk as a mortgage investor and MBS guarantor, and we actively buy loans in all geographic markets within the United States--even those which have experienced economic downturns and gualify as distressed areas. As a result, Fannie Mae has had significant experience managing and disposing of real estate acquired in foreclosure or other default remedies.

I would like to commend you, the members of the Task Force, for participating in these hearings to explore the range of challenges facing the RTC. Your efforts to date have been important to monitor and inform the public on such evolving issues as RTC's needs for working capital, the benefits of a more comprehensive asset management information system, and the pros and cons of various alternative approaches to bidding procedures and case resolutions. I hope that our expertise will be helpful to you in further assessing ways to enhance the RTC's performance.

Mortgage Asset Sales

The RTC faces an asset sale task of unprecedented scope. But the secondary mortgage market has become extremely efficient, and I believe that it can handle the volume of mortgage assets of which the RTC must dispose. The secondary mortgage market in 1989 absorbed an estimated \$280 billion in single-family mortgage loans. That compares to a total of only \$55 billion as recently as 1977. Today's secondary market includes an array of private investors, as well as Fannie Mae, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) and the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae).

3

.



The strength and resilience of the secondary mortgage market today reflect the critical role mortgage securitization has come to play since 1970, when Ginnie Mae issued the first mortgage security using government guaranteed collateral. Overall, during the 1980s the secondary mortgage market grew at a rate of 18 percent per year. That was twice as fast as the growth of the primary market. At the end of 1989, the secondary market represented 43 percent of the \$2.5 trillion of home mortgage debt outstanding. Of this total \$2.0 trillion is conventional and \$500 billion is government insured. Ginnie Mae has securitized over 90 percent of the governments. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have financed 30 percent of the \$2.0 trillion of conventional loans.

The secondary mortgage market has also proved a well spring of innovations that has benefited investors, lenders and consumers. For instance, Fannie Mae during the 1980s helped establish a national secondary market for adjustable-rate (ARM) mortgages and second mortgages. Fannie Mae bought \$20 billion of these loans from 1982 through 1985, and issued almost \$8 billion of ARM MBS in swap transactions that enabled thrift institutions to convert whole mortgages into MBS.

Similarly, secondary market players developed a whole new type of security in the mid-80s -- multiple class MBS that offered mortgage investors the option of "floating" terms or fixed terms much shorter than the traditional 30-year duration. The market for these securities grew to a record \$96 billion in 1989, of which Fannie Mae issued \$37 billion.

The RTC can sell significant volumes into this very efficient and highly adaptable market. It can achieve this in the most timely way, with the best returns for the taxpayer, only if it conforms to secondary market practices and accepts the responsibilities that the marketplace typically assigns to sellers of loans. By conforming to standard secondary market practices, a seller reaps the benefits of:

- o higher prices on the assets sold;
- o increased sales volume; and
- o the speediest sale process.

I would like to emphasize that the seller responsibilities to which I refer are not responsibilities unique to Fannie Mae sellers. Neither are they unique to sellers of mortgage assets. Rather, in virtually all cases they pertain to all sellers of all financial assets. A seller of financial assets has two particularly important responsibilities.

<u>First</u>, a seller is responsible for <u>knowing</u> what it has to sell and for making the relevant information regarding the assets available to prospective buyers for their evaluation.



A mortgage is an investment in a stream of monthly cash flows. To best assess the likely return on a mortgage, a buyer needs an array of facts beyond the principal balance and the interest rate. These include how well the loan was underwritten (which affects the likelihood of its default and loss associated with default if it occurs), how seasoned is the loan, and the location of the mortgaged property (factors which affect the likelihood of prepayment). The more information known about the mortgage loan, the better the buyer can assess the investment and the more attractive the price it can offer.

The secondary market can price and process an enormous volume of loans precisely because sellers make relevant data available in a format enabling buyers to evaluate and purchase loans on a wholesale basis. The buyer makes its decision based on data tapes provided by the seller. At the time of purchase, loan files are transferred. Samples of the loan files are reviewed in a post purchase review process. If buyers were obliged to review each and every loan prior to bidding, the secondary market would be much less efficient. A much smaller volume of mortgages would be bought and sold and a much longer time period would be required to effect each sale. In addition, the price paid for any group of loans would be reduced by the cost of reviewing the files and creating the database. If every prospective buyer had to perform this function itself, fewer would take a serious interest and the seller would face havoc prior to each sale trying to assist prospective buyers separately.

<u>Second</u>, representations and warranties are an essential element in all loan sales. Without these, a seller cannot expect to obtain a price anywhere near the true value of the assets. The most important ones assure the buyer of facts in four areas: 1) the seller is authorized to sell the loan; 2) the loan documents are genuine and enforceable; 3) the information made available to the buyer is accurate; and, 4) the loan transaction is not in violation of applicable statutes. In addition, the seller typically represents and warrants that the loan has been serviced properly prior to sale.

As a rule, the buyer expects the representations and warranties to be given for the life of the loan, and the buyer expects them to be given by a seller in whose creditworthiness the buyer has confidence. The most typical remedy in event of a breach is to require the seller to repurchase the defective loan. Obviously, the representations and warranties only enhance the sales price if the buyer has a reasonable expectation that when a breach is discovered, a party will still exist with the obligation and ability to repurchase the defective loan or otherwise to make the buyer whole for any loss caused by the breach. Just as clearly, if the RTC acts solely on behalf of an institution which is insolvent and scheduled for liquidation when it gives representations and warranties, they add nothing to the value of the loans being offered for sale.

`5[`]

For these reasons the government asset sales that began in 1987 after passage of the 1986 Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act, and continue today, provide representations and warranties substantially similar to those required by Fannie Mae and other investors in residential mortgage loans. The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 directed a number of U.S. government agencies, including the Farmers Home Administration, Department of Education and Veterans Administration, to sell loan assets on a non-recourse basis to private investors. Servicing on the loans was also to be transferred to the private sector.

The loan sales began in 1987 with a \$1.9 billion Farmers Home Administration transaction (Community Program Loan Trust) and the sale of \$237 million of loans by the Department of Education (College and University Loan Trust). Farmers Home Administration followed with the sale of \$2.4 billion of home mortgage loans also in 1987 (Rural Housing Trust). In June 1988 the Veterans Administration initiated its loan disposition program with the sale of \$204 million of loans (American Housing Trust). The most recent transaction consisted of a \$305 million loan sale by the Department of Veteran Affairs in January 1990. In total since the inception of the assets sales program, the U.S. government has successfully sold over \$6 billion of loans, many of them home mortgages, without recourse back to the government. A central element to the success of these transactions was that they included representations and warranties.

The RTC has come a long way in developing an understanding of how the secondary mortgage market operates. The RTC has empowered its Atlanta regional office to sell a significant volume of single-family mortgage loans through a pilot program. The RTC Atlanta regional office has already awarded a number of contracts to outside contractors who will prepare loan information in formats intended to be useful to prospective purchasers. While this task will take some time to complete (typically about 60 days), the marketplace will pay for that time and effort through the price it will offer for the mortgages. The RTC has consulted with a broad range of secondary market buyers regarding the type of information they expect for optimal pricing and the format in which that information should be presented. The RTC advises us that the Atlanta pilot now includes 18 institutions. Through the pilot the RTC expects to offer for sale approximately \$11 billion worth of residential loans or nearly 20 percent of its total single-family inventory.

The RTC is currently considering the scope of representations and warranties that it will provide to purchasers. This could be the single most important determinant of how many buyers will participate, the price they will pay, and how many loans are sold.

Fannie Mae applauds the efforts of the RTC with respect to the Atlanta pilot program. And we hope that the RTC will resolve the representation and warranty issue in a way that enables major

investors in the secondary mortgage market, such as ourselves, to take part in the bidding.

I would like to make a few other general comments with respect to the RTC's conduct of loan sales. First, Fannie Mae encourages the RTC to set uniform policies and procedures for the sales and to empower its regional offices and institutions to make sales decisions quickly. Loan data become stale at the end of each month, when they require the expense and effort of updating, and capital markets change by the minute. A buyer of mortgages cannot wait weeks, days or even hours to know whether or not its offer is accepted and an investment has been made.

Second, policies such as size limitations on delegations of authority that make sense with respect to the sales of real estate, for which there is a much less liquid market than for mortgage loans, are not necessarily appropriate for mortgage loan sales. It is our understanding that regional offices have been empowered to sell up to \$25 million worth of assets. A \$25 million sale of real estate is a large transaction; however, a \$25 million mortgage loan package sale is a relatively small sale in the efficient secondary mortgage market.

Fannie Mae supports the approach being utilized by the RTC in its Atlanta Pilot program. The RTC is preparing a large volume of loans for sale with bidding to take place as soon as loan data is compiled. Importantly, the regional director is empowered to make the sales decision. Fannie Mae encourages the RTC to duplicate the Atlanta process in its other regions.

Affordable Housing

Another important responsibility of the RTC is to support affordable housing by offering their lower-cost housing units for sale to low- and moderate-income home buyers and to groups and individuals that will make them available as rental housing for lower-income families.

The RTC's efforts in this area have evolved steadily since the corporation was established and have recently expanded. Early this year the RTC issued a set of preliminary guidelines to govern the sale of 100 homes to low- and moderate-income home buyers on a pilot basis in selected communities. On April 16, the corporation published regulations expanding the low-income disposition program to 1000 properties, including both ownership and rental housing. This effort is being managed by a separate staff in Washington and by designated staff in the field offices.

The new regulations enhance the RTC's low-income disposition effort in several ways. They specify that the corporation will compensate nonprofit clearinghouses for their expenses in disseminating information about available properties. In addition, the regulations extend the period for a buyer to complete a formal purchase proposal for a rental property from 90



to 135 days, and they lay out terms to govern bulk sales for low-income use. On one key issue the new regulations adhere to earlier policy of the RTC Oversight Board--prohibiting special price discounting or concessionary financing to facilitate low-income sales. It is our understanding that the RTC will operate under these guidelines at least through this spring and will report back to the Oversight Board by June, describing its initial experiences and, as appropriate, recommending changes in policies and practices.

To date, Fannie Mae's Office of Low- and Moderate-Income Housing has assisted the RTC principally by helping it finance the sale of low-income properties under its single-family demonstration program. During this pilot phase, we met with RTC's Washington and regional low-income staff on several occasions. We have assisted them by providing financing information on two of our basic products: (1) the Community Home Buyer's Program, and (2) our public finance product utilizing tax-exempt mortgage revenue bonds.

The Community Home Buyer's Program, which with \$600 million in commitments outstanding is our largest single-family community lending program, enhances affordability for low- and moderate-income households by lowering closing costs and allowing more income to be used for housing expenses than conventional loan products would normally allow. We provided the RTC with a description of the program and a list of participating lenders in its demonstration cities and states.

In the public finance area, we also made available low-cost mortgage funds financed with tax-exempt mortgage revenue bonds that we purchased from the Houston Housing Finance Corporation. More recently, we have been discussing with the Texas Housing Agency the possibility of purchasing a large bond issue that the RTC is considering locking in exclusively to finance low-income sales in that state.

Finally, we have shared with the RTC our experiences in selling some of our own foreclosed properties for low-income use, including the sale of single-family homes to local public housing authorities and the sale of rental properties to public agenciesand nonprofit groups in Texas, Arizona, Utah, and Washington State.

We commend the RTC for its efforts to date in this area, including its active dealings with state and local housing finance agencies, which occupy increasingly important positions in the housing assistance delivery system. We have learned from our own experiences that designing successful low- and moderate-income housing programs is time consuming and labor intensive. It requires staff with specialized skills and the patience to hand-craft transactions to fit local needs.

8

. . . .

Ń

Digitized by Google

While it is too early to draw firm conclusions about the efficacy of the RTC's efforts, I would like to offer a few recommendations based in part on our own experiences. First, special effort is required to arrange for the sale of rental properties for low-income use. Multifamily transactions, by their nature, are more complex and time consuming to arrange. In that vein, even the 135 day window called for in the April regulations might prove inadequate for a potential buyer to complete a firm financing package. The RTC should monitor closely whether this period is sufficient.

Second, the RTC should designate some properties as likely candidates for low-income use when they are still in the corporation's inventory as nonperforming loans. This could allow the corporation to work with potential buyers during the lengthy process of obtaining clear title. Also, as discussed earlier, the RTC should provide buyers with standard representations and warranties in order to enhance value.

Third, the RTC should fully evaluate the advantages of price discounts and seller financing for low-income property dispositions. In some cases, this might involve a tradeoff between maximizing the return to the government and supporting affordable housing. In other instances, however, lower prices or financing on favorable terms could prove to be in the government's economic interest by reducing holding costs and by forestalling the erosion in value that can occur when properties are held vacant for extended periods.

Suggestions for Real Estate Disposition

On broader issues of real estate disposition, we have provided formal comments on the RTC's Strategic Plan and have made suggestions directly to the corporation's staff. We have recommended that the RTC's disposition strategies include rentals with the option to purchase, sales to individuals, and sales of several properties in a single transaction (bulk sales).

We have also suggested that the RTC adopt a general policy of repairing properties prior to disposition. Although such repairs do not in all instances maximize the net present value of each particular property, they may maximize the aggregate net present value of all properties sold over the long-term. Refurbished properties remain on the market for a shorter period of time than do "as is" properties. Additionally, repairing properties contributes to neighborhood stability and thereby reduces the likelihood that other properties in the nearby geographic area become lender held.

Adopting the options discussed above have been important ingredients of Fannie Mae's successful program for disposing of properties. We sold more than 54,000 homes over the past four years, and we take pride in the fact that approximately 78 percent of those were sold to purchasers intending to occupy the

property. The new home owner has received good value while the financial interests of Fannie Mae shareholders have been responsibly protected. Distress sales are avoided.

We are encouraged that the Oversight Board has recently loosened its restrictions on seller financing. Below-market financing frequently results in a correspondingly higher purchase price and/or reduced property carrying costs for the seller. In addition, market rate seller financing can frequently facilitate a sale of real property. We also recommend that the RTC develop guidelines for seller financing of lender held properties with the intention of reselling such debt into the secondary market.

Conclusion

Fannie Mae has unique experience which is applicable to the mission of the RTC. And we are fully committed to being part of the solution to the challenges faced by RTC, and by our financial system as it continues to absorb the many changes following in the wake of the thrift reform and rescue bill.

We are interested in helping to resolve the RTC's cases by buying and securitizing mortgage loans and encouraging affordable housing. Where Fannie Mae has existing products and programs that complement the assets that RTC has to sell, we have made those products and programs available. We are also willing to explore new concepts and ideas with the RTC.

We have set up a separate office within Fannie Mae, headed by an officer whose experience includes developing our mortgage-backed securities program and running our mortgage capital markets area, to work with the RTC in their asset disposition efforts. We have established contacts and relationships with all of the four regional RTC offices and all of the functional areas of the four Washington RTC office. In addition, our officers responsible for our affordable housing initiatives are working with the RTC on meeting their affordable housing goals.

I would be pleased to answer any questions regarding my testimony or other aspects of our present or future relationships with the RTC at this time.

10

Digitized by Google

Statement of

.

RITCH LeGRAND, SREA

PRESIDENT SOCIETY OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS

Before the

TASK FORCE ON THE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION, REGULATION AND INSURANCE of the

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE AND URBAN AFFAIRS of the

UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

May 4, 1990



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Statement of

Ritch LeGrand, SREA, President SOCIETY OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS

May 4, 1990

The Role of the Appraisal in the Disposal of RTC Assets

The Society of Real Estate Appraisers strongly supports the current policy regarding the necessity of the performance of an independent appraisal in the disposition of RTC assets. As is stated in the April 24, 1990 draft RTC Policy Statement on Determination of Distressed Real Estate Markets, "The purpose of an appraisal is to provide an objective and realistic opinion of market value. The RTC requires appraisals performed by independent third parties, with the goal of providing objective and independent estimates of market value from individuals not associated with the disposition of the real property asset...the valuation is useful in making the determination whether to sell the property for a specific price."

Determining the appropriate offering price for real property assets is critical to the financial stability of distressed real estate markets and to the financial well being of the RTC. An accurate independent appraisal greatly assists those responsible for marketing assets in their determination of the offering price. The appraisal is the most reliable indicator available regarding the current market value of the asset and should serve as the cornerstone of the relevant information upon which the price is determined. Without a current appraisal it would be extremely difficult to determine the correct offering price for real property assets especially those in distressed markets that have seen property values fluctuate or decline in recent years.

There is, however, some concern as to the accuracy of the appraisal process as relates to the needs of RTC. To best understand these concerns, a discussion of the definition of Market Value is essential, along with its implications to the appraiser. RTC Appraisal Reporting Standards define Market Value as, "The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeable and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus...."

This definition has several areas which are of importance to the appraiser in the estimation of Market Value. First, both the buyer and seller must not operate under undue stimulus. As relates to RTC, the seller (RTC) is often motivated to a higher degree than the typical seller in its attempts to liquidate all assets in an expeditious manner. Second, the buyer is not acting in a typical manner, as a foreclosed property in a distressed market carries a stigma which may attract what has been referred to as "scavenger buyers." Thus, the RTC, in its attempts to seek Market Value, actually may be seeking some other value or price, i.e. liquidation or fire sale price.

Digitized by Google

Marketing Time

1

Implicit in the definition of Market Value is the concept of marketing time. For example, item 14 of the RTC Southwest Region's Minimum Standards for Appraisal Reports, require the appraiser to estimate the normal marketing time of a property. In some markets, the typical marketing time may exceed three years on any given asset. The directive is in conflict with the RTC desire to dispose of the asset as quickly as possible. The appraiser can render a value conclusion to a specified marketing time, but there is a negative impact on the conclusion of value when the marketing time is less than typical. Thus, Chairman Vento's concern regarding the marketing time is a critical question regarding the quickness of disposition balanced against the achievement of Market Value with a typical (and more lengthy) marketing time. Holding time will vary depending on the asset, the extent of market competition (including non-exposed RTC inventory), and the specific market conditions. It should be noted that the Southwest Region's policy is not uniform within the RTC system and is indicative of the lack of a uniform appraisal policy within the system.

Perhaps the Task Force should consider the possibility of the appraiser concluding Market Value with a typical marketing time and then allow the regional RTC office to apply a discount rate based on cost of funds or other appropriate means. The process would accomplish a "quick sale" price. The discount rate can be varied as local market conditions improve or the quality of remaining assets decline to a point where additional stimulation is required.

Auction

There has also been some discussion relating to the utilization of auctions to assist in the disposal of property. In many classifications of REO property, this may be an appropriate approach. The question is what will be the impact on local market conditions? If the asset is determined to have limited market appeal, then the impact on the local market will be minimal. These assets would attract a different type of investor than the better grades of property. The concept of an auction is acceptable, but the investment grade properties should be reserved for normal market sale disposition. Mixture of quality properties with marginal properties may negatively impact on marketwide values. The Task Force should also recognize the potential of auction sales becoming future comparables. Inclusion of such comparables may reduce future value conclusions.

Financing

Digitized by Google

The definition of Market Value also requires the conclusion of value to be based on a cash transaction "or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto..." The difficulty here is not in the appraisal process but in the marketing of the property. Properties located within distressed areas have no alternate means of financing, and an investor is generally forced into a 100% equity position. Thus, RTC financing would help speed the sale of assets.

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Market Value without Appraisal

It has been suggested that RTC consider establishing market value without appraisals. The suggestion should raise several concerns to the Task Force. Can individuals, other than trained, qualified appraisers, fulfill the RTC appraisal reporting requirements? Would a non-appraiser be able to meet the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP)? In taking the average of the bid prices, what is the profile of the bidder and does it meet the criteria of a typical buyer? Are they bidding the Market Value of the property or does the bid price reflect the aforementioned stigma of a foreclosed property? For example, simple capitalization of the first year's actual income is contrary to appraisal theory. Value of a property can be stated as the present value of the future benefits. The process just suggested would not give any consideration to those potential benefits. The method may become a valid indicator of value in conjunction with the other two approaches to value, but only after sufficient evidence that it is a methodology of the typical purchaser. A conclusion of Market Value should become the benchmark from which other "values" may be developed. The alternative approaches should not become the exclusive conclusions of Market Value.

Other Issues

An additional criticism of the appraisal process is the amount of time it takes to obtain a report. Several reasons for this are as follows:

- Since the former Federal Home Loan Bank Board's transition to the more stringent appraisal guidelines of the 80's, appraisers have been required to document their appraisals in greater detail. Reports typical of the 70's have doubled and tripled in size. In part, increased requirements were responsible; but, also, the review process has increased. One appraiser recently submitted a 125 page report which received a five page reviewer critique. The appraiser responded, only to receive an additional 9 page critique two months later. Appraisers are, in some cases, writing in anticipation of extensive review. Fees are also reflective of the situation.
- 2) Appraisers are finding it difficult to gain approval by RTC. The application form has changed on several occasions, extending the application time. In addition, practicing appraisers do not seem to be aware of the registration list "approval" process, and that it is discretionary as relates to exclusionary factors, other than fraud.
- 3) Many more qualified appraisers would be willing to do RTC work if concerns over the penalties associated with assignments incorrectly performed and the review process were addressed and clarified.

- 3 -

I

As documented in the 1986 House Government Operations Committee report on the Impact of Appraisal Problems on Real Estate Lending. Mortgage Insurance. and Investment in the Secondary Market "alarming numbers of lending institution officials regard appraisals as an obstacle to be overcome or a rubberstamp necessary in order to make a real estate loan under consideration." The level of knowledge among users of appraisal services regarding the critical function or role of the appraisal is certainly improving but is still lacking. Instead of a troublesome detail involved in the loan approval process, the appraisal is essential to provide a accurate basis for a determination that the asset guaranteeing a loan has a value that exceeds the loan amount at origination.

The regulatory environment of the appraisal industry has come a long way since the Commerce, Consumer, and Monetary Affairs Subcommittee hearings several years ago shed the first real light on the significant problems involving, and losses caused by, appraisers, lenders, and regulators. The passage of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA) has prompted the efforts of states, regulators, lenders, and the appraisal industry to set standards for the performance of appraisals, minimum qualifications of appraisers, and rules governing the use and regulation of appraisals.

Recommendation

I have appointed a committee of practicing appraisal experts, available to work with the highest levels of the RTC. An open exchange of information and ideas can assist in accomplishing the goal of the proper and most effective disposition for RTC assets. This group can be instrumental in helping the RTC identify the type of valuation needed for effective asset analysis and disposition. Other areas of assistance will include the distribution of information and facts to the appraisal industry, proposals for the effective organization of a data base for RTC sales information, creation of a universal form for reporting a "Summary of Salient Facts," updating of "Appraisal Guidelines and Policies," and act as a liaison between the appraisal industry and the RTC in encouraging timeliness, reliability, and completeness of the appraisal product. This group stands ready to meet and act in a joint effort with the RTC at its earliest convenience.

Conclusion

Digitized by Google

The importance of the appraisal process in FIRREA is clear. RTC and this Task Force should recognize the importance of the appraisal process and the implications of the required definition of Market Value. The appraisal report is not only the safeguard for an appropriate asking price, it is also a useful tool in supplementing asset information for utilization in marketing brochures and other functions. The appraiser can perform a multitude of services provided the scope of the assignment is clear. Should a "quick sale" price be required, the appraiser can, and has provided such, a conclusion. The appraisal industry is willing and able to assist in the task at hand. All parties must, however, have a common understanding of the implications of the law as it exists versus what appears to be the desired effect in the real world.

- 4 -

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

STATEMENT OF

BEVERLY N. MCFARLAND

PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

THE BEVERLY GROUP, INC.

BEFORE THE

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION,

REGULATION AND INSURANCE

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE AND URBAN AFFAIRS

MAY 4, 1990



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

117

· .

•

.

. . .

ا و العا

4 SUMMARY OF STATEMENT BY BEVERLY N. NCFARLAND PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER THE BEVERLY GROUP, INC.

It has been established that the disposition of RTC assets can best be accomplished through the joint efforts of the RTC and the private sector. Assets from failed institutions and conservatorships are dynamic models and, in many cases, are rapidly declining from lack of attention or changing market conditions. Ready and able purchasers do not tolerate long delays and approvals when they could also choose to invest in real estate that is not problematic. Many borrowers of troubled loans want a resolution in the form of settlement agreements or workouts, but the system has not fostered expeditious decisions either with the FDIC or the RTC.

The items below summarize areas discussed within this Statement:

- Overview of Asset Management and Disposition Activities
- Contractor/RTC Relationship
- Small Business Versus Large Corporations
- Asset Management and Disposition Agreement
- Method of Disposition of Assets
- Pricing Safeguards of Assets
- Financing Options

Digitized by Google

Interim Minority and Woman Owned Business Outreach Program

The private sector may contribute creative alternatives to enhance the resolution of loans and the sale of asset portfolios. Within the statement is discussed the areas of concern in contracting with both the FDIC and the RTC.

Introduction

Mr. Chairman and members of the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance of the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs. I am Beverly N. McFarland, President and owner of The Beverly Group, Inc. ("TBG") from Sacramento, California. Personally, I have 20 years experience in the real estate and financial industries that has included institutional positions in commercial loan administration and the management and training of personnel for troubled loan portfolios and owned assets.

The corporation was formed in 1983 for the purpose of providing asset management and disposition services to banks, savings and loan associations, and governmental agencies. TBG is registered as an Investment Advisor by the Securities and Exchange Commission, is a California Corporate Real Estate Broker, and owns a subsidiary corporation which holds a General Contractor's License.

Services in excess of \$700,000,000 have been performed by TBG on assets for institutions and agencies that include the Federal Asset Disposition Association, Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation ("FSLIC"), Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), and a Resolution Trust Corporation ("RTC") portfolio which is currently under the management of the FDIC, Division of Liquidations.

It is a privilege to appear before you to discuss my views on the management and disposition of assets by the RTC.



Digitized by Google

OVERVIEW OF ASSET MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSITION ACTIVITIES

The private sector may contribute creative alternatives to enhance the resolution of loans and the sale of asset portfolios. However, it should be considered that successful resolutions to complex issues associated with the assets require an element of risk for the sake of preserving their values.

Since many of the RTC's management staff are from the FDIC conservative banking system rather than in the savings and loan industry, time has been required to understand the entrepreneurial manner of doing business with the private sector. The savings and loan portfolios have offered a tremendous challenge to those of us who have worked within that particular industry for many years.

The issues of obtaining appraisals, delayed legal opinions, or simply locating files on the assets which were transferred from one location to another, plus hiring and training new personnel that are required to be knowledgeable in every aspect of real estate, is overwhelming at best for both the FDIC and the RTC. Added to the above responsibilities, staff is expected to contribute to the work involved in taking over additional associations each week. As a result of several months of delay for organization and reorganization, numerous transactions have been placed on hold or left with actions pending. Delays have allowed smart borrowers of loans in default to gain greater knowledge on how to capitalize on available opportunities or just to give up and wait for the inevitable foreclosure.

In the interim, who is managing the properties while the various agencies are getting organized? Those of us currently under contract with the FDIC or RTC have not noticed an increase in any new business from either agency. Requests for three Solicitations of Services ("SOS") have been received by our company from the RTC even though our contractor registration form was accepted as submitted in February, 1990.



There should be a concerted emphasis toward the negotiation of issues within real estate loans for the purpose of obtaining payoffs rather than litigation, and gaining control over the asset where it is appropriate. These may be the primary and perhaps most important steps to maximizing the present value of assets.

A strong force remains in the system which is driven by the need for legal opinions. Obvious caution must be taken to prevent transfers of real estate involving inherent risks such as environmental and toxic hazards and potential lender liability issues. However, obtaining legal opinions in some cases has caused needless delays with transactions that require only a strong business decision and the willingness to make it.

There has been, however, a change and willingness during the past 30 days by the FDIC, Western Region (location of TBG's asset portfolios), to make an asserted effort to cooperate with the private sector that manages portfolios of assets. The processing time for resolutions and action on cases presented has improved. This is an example of successful communication between people working in a cooperative spirit to accomplish a common goal.

CONTRACTOR/RTC RELATIONSHIP

A spirit of entrepreneurial cooperation must exist between the contractor and the RTC in order to accomplish the ultimate goal of maximizing the net values of the RTC assets assigned to each contractor's portfolio. An appropriate financial incentive plus latitude in decision making must be present within each contract to motivate the contractor to function within a governmental system that requires intensified management activities.

Although it is the intent of the FDIC and the RTC to negotiate on loans or assets in good faith, several barriers often prevent successful transactions. They include the unresponsiveness of governmental agencies pertaining to decisions involving sales or the



negotiations of loans or assets which result in additional accrued interest which may not be collectable; rescinded sales transactions; deterioration of property values; and potential litigation. It may be in the best interest of the RTC and save valuable time if the contractor were to have specific authority, up to a dollar limit established by the RTC, to act on certain loan settlements and sales transactions.

Also in order to best utilize the expertise of the private sector, it would save time if contractors were required to report to someone within the appropriate agency at a senior level. This may minimize the chance for errors in the interpretation of information provided and expedite required decisions on assets and transactions.

Contractors should also be allowed to present cases for resolution or sales transactions to the appropriate agency committees for approvals. This would benefit all concerned by having the individual that prepared the document available to answer any questions that may arise.

It is extremely important for the RTC to establish a nationwide, uniform policy for periodic reporting requirements, presentation of case studies or proposals to committees, required financial data, and all forms necessary for the contractor to do business with the RTC. In previous and current experiences, with the FSLIC and FDIC, reporting requirements and forms between regions varied in consistency creating confusion, redundant paperwork, and delays in managing the asset.

Quarterly meetings between the RTC representative and the contractor will greatly enhance progress towards the disposition of assets within the contractor's portfolio. Periodic reports required by the RTC provide a meaningful overview at a point in time; however, faceto-face meetings will greatly enhance movement by reviewing tactics, strategies and progress of the assets.



Semi-annual RTC seminars in each region would assist in the education of the contractor on new RTC forms, requirements, and other changes that evolve to enhance the system. These mandatory meetings should be at no cost to the contractors with the exception of its travel and lodging expenses.

Within the FDIC and the RTC, there should be resource people that can be directly accessed by contractors to answer technical questions dealing with forms, data processing, accounting, loan service and other non-critical administrative issues thereby allowing account officers to concentrate on asset management and disposition matters.

SMALL BUSINESS VERSUS LARGE CORPORATIONS

The current trend within the RTC is to package portfolios of assets in increments of \$50,000,000 to \$100,000,000 plus for the purpose of obtaining proposals through a competitive bidding process. The RTC's Asset Management and Disposition Agreement (the "Agreement") is structured to attract large companies that may be cost effective in appearance, but may lack the highly technical expertise required for some assets and loans. There certainly is ample work for all segments of the real estate related business community for the managment and disposition of assets.

A small business, that either has the potential for expansion of its services or has proven track records of success in the field of asset management and disposition, cannot afford to competitively bid a contract with the RTC. Current strict requirements within the sample Agreement reviewed created the need for substantial up front costs for fidelity bonds, errors and omissions insurance, computerized electronic information processing systems which are compatible with the RTC's, plus assumption of liability for all subcontractors, etc.

Often, small companies can offer the most experienced work force to resolve complex issues quickly. It is not uncommon to have a working president, with many years of experience in the real estate, banking,

6

30-239 0 - 90 - 5



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA and savings and loan industries, performing hands on management and negotiations. The utilization of this expertise can result in the successful negotiations versus litigation of loans, or the timely consummation of a sale of assets.

A well run, cost effective, small asset management company can and should be considered for \$100,000,000 to \$500,000,000 plus portfolios as it would allow them to grow and expand their systems and services. Portfolios of this size will permit the smaller asset managment firms to obtain greater economies of scale, narrowing the competitive price gap between large and small companies. The RTC would benefit by preserving technical expertise within the private sector work force. However, concessions must be made in areas previously discussed, or up front funds provided for assistance to permit growth.

The expertise that is offered by the small business as stated within the SOS must be given consideration over the request for a higher monthly management fee. It is reasonable to believe that small enterprises can complete the work assigned sooner due to the management intensity of the operations. It may be more or equally cost effective in comparison to work performed by the larger companies for less management fees over a longer time period.

ASSET MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSITION AGREEMENT

۱

Digitized by Google

Under the terms of RTC Agreement reviewed, a fixed monthly management fee is bid on each portfolio with disposition fees paid on the sale of assets, which is based upon the net proceeds, at the rate of 3% the first year, 2% the second year, and 1% the third year of the contract. All costs of the asset management function are deducted from the gross proceeds of the sale of an asset or payoff of a loan including, but not limited to, monthly management fees paid to the contractor; all costs for mandatory subcontracts; maintenance and other operating costs; sales and rental costs; construction costs; brokerage and other fees; insurance costs; and all other management and disposition costs allocable to the particular asset plus legal



fees. It is apparent that no net disposition fees may result after the above costs are deducted.

The Agreement is structured to the benefit of large companies. Large companies can afford the up front capital requirements as previously stated (see SMALL BUSINESS VERSUS LARGE CORPORATIONS). They can also afford to bid lower monthly management fees, carry overhead for a longer period of time, and delay profits until disposition net proceeds are received from the sale of assets.

Since all fees, including legal expenses are ultimately deducted from the gross proceeds when an asset is sold or paid off, prior to figuring the contractor's profit, it seems reasonable to obtain cost effective, competitive bids pertaining to all outside legal work required by the RTC. Legal fees consistently are one of the most expensive items contained within the asset management and disposition function. The RTC expects to spend up to \$500,000,000 in outside legal services in 1990. Further, there is no provision for an appeals process within the Agreement in the event a contractor does not agree with the selection and performance of counsel. It appears unfair to the contractor that, while complete cooperation with legal is required, limited access to counsel is provided through the terms of the Agreement.

Legal assistance is a valuable tool required in protecting the RTC's interests in the management and disposition of assets. However, the system would function most effectively by using legal opinions to expedite sound business decisions.

Under the terms of the Agreement, contractors shall award subcontracts only to third parties that have filed certifications with the RTC and are included in the RTC Contractor Database. If requests for subcontractor certifications are not expeditiously processed, the management of the asset by the contractors could be

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA seriously compromised and deadlines mandated by the Agreement may not be met.

Also, the competitive bidding process required of the contractors in order to obtain RTC business is time consuming and lengthy. Portfolios of assets, which are located in unique markets requiring special expertise or are management intensified, may be an area that should be considered for direct, no-bid assignments to qualified contractors such as small and minority and women owned businesses.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION

4

In regard to the disposition of assets by category, institution by institution or a combination of methods, the latter may be the most successful in obtaining the highest yield from markets across the nation. Local investors who purchase assets or portfolios in rural areas tend to have more pride of ownership thereby minimizing the effect of the distressed real estate on local economies. However, real estate portfolios within institutions, located in metropolitan areas, may be packaged in bulk and sold to foreign or institutional investors (e.g. pension funds, insurance companies, etc.) that can afford to manage and hold the asset for long term appreciation.

Currently, the greatest hindrance to selling assets in any market is the frustration expressed by the investors due to the lack of information provided by the government for the due diligence process and the earnest or deposit monies committed, which do not earn interest, during the extensive time and effort required to complete a sale.

Factors or criteria that the RTC should consider in deciding the approach to take in the disposition of assets includes the location of the real estate, the quality and size of the portfolio, the availability of a work force both within the RTC and the private sector to package the assets for sale, and the economic conditions of the region.





PRICING SAFEGUARDS OF ASSETS

Safeguards that could be considered to ensure that an appropriate price is received for an asset are to hire only appraisers located in the market or sub-market; to obtain at least two real estate brokers' opinions of value; and to consider an independent narrative market study which would include a pricing analysis and recommendations for disposition.

Since many markets are totally unique, it is risky for appraisers outside of the region to understand and project the appropriate pricing of assets. Also, correct input must be provided by the asset manager or account officer within the RTC to the appraiser projecting the exact purpose for which the asset is to be appraised, e.g., bulk sale versus retail value, impact of foreclosure, etc. Two or more appraisals may not be any more accurate or cost effective than the alternatives stated below.

Real estate brokers can be a good source of checks and balances to an appraisal that is questioned, as it has been my experience that often brokers' values will concur within 10-15% of an appraisal's statement of market value.

A narrative market study is a source of valuable information which can also provide a confirmation of value, a recommendation for the disposition of the asset, and the identification or projection of problem areas which are not reflected in an appraisal.

FINANCING OPTIONS

There is insufficient historic information regarding the sale of RTC assets and those requiring financial assistance due to the infancy of the program. Unless the RTC can or desires to create meaningful financing alternatives for a minimum of three to five years to assist buyers in the purchase of assets, they may be preparing the asset for the next foreclosure the day a sale is closed. Many assets may demand the length of time stated or more to recover in overbuilt



markets. The market may dictate that a financing vehicle is critical to the disposition of assets and portfolios, but the other financial resources available should be tested for a period of time first.

INTERIM MINORITY AND WOMEN OWNED BUSINESS ("MNOB") OUTREACE PROGRAM

The Interim MWOB Outreach Program is conceptually sound. To further enhance this program, the following comments and observations are for your consideration. They are based on my experience as a woman owned business, a federal asset management contractor; a review of related programs in the Department of Transportation ("DOT"), Small Business Administration, and California State Teachers' Retirement System; and discussions with numerous affected parties.

In response to a request for comments on the interim MWOB outreach program, on February 20, 1990, The Beverly Group, Inc. recommended a set-aside of 10% of all work which is eligible for competitive bidding for the MWOB program; the allocation the \$2,000,000 MWOB subsidy for entry level MWOB contractors; and commented on the effect of fee structures on MWOB contractors. These topics will be addressed in greater detail below.

PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

Consideration should be given to establishing an advisory committee for RTC's MWOB program that would include representatives from related trade associations, professional societies and assistance organizations. The DOT has utilized such a committee as a means of keeping its Minority Business Enterprise program on track.

EFFECTS OF AGREEMENT PROVISIONS

Significant up front capital is required to set up and properly manage a new account. This presents a distinct bidding advantage to larger companies since smaller companies seldom have cash reserves or lines of credit to front the startup costs. For example, the RTC's Asset Management and Disposition Agreement (the "Agreement") requires expensive fidelity bonds and errors and omissions insurance policies.



Some coverages required by the RTC may not be available to small businesses. The Agreement also calls for contractors to have a computerized electronic information processing system that is compatible with the RTC's.

While we agree that report formats must be consistent throughout the system, the burden of time, expense and training that may be necessary if all contractors are required to have electronic data processing systems that are totally compatible with RTC's will be reflected in the bid pricing.

Large companies, on the other hand, have greater access to capital for expansion and are able to benefit from the economies of scale as a result of managing significantly larger portfolios.

Therefore, in a competitive bidding process, it is anticipated that most contracts will be awarded to a few large companies, while smaller business, including MWOBs will be awarded small portfolios of management intensive properties. Opportunities must be made available to small and MWOB companies so they too can attain economies of scale in the long run.

Currently, RTC requests for proposals are for portfolios of properties in the \$50,000,000 to \$100,000,000 range. We urge consideration of smaller size portfolios that can be competitively bid by smaller businesses. Also, a set-aside program, as described in this Statement, should be instituted for competitive bidding by MWOB companies. As presently structured, most small businesses and MWOB companies would have to joint venture with large companies to remain competitive.

CERTIFICATION

In keeping with the spirit of reducing redundant paperwork, the RTC should give consideration to certifying those companies which had previously been approved by the FSLIC as MWOB contractors. Further,

12

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access use#pd-google



contractors should not be approved that have only State approved status since individual State requirements usually are not as stringent as the RTC's.

Self policing by the RTC contractors may help prevent abuses by companies that use others as a front to obtain contracts. We recommend annually publishing a listing of contractors and those qualified for the MWOB program. There is special concern with unqualified companies posing as MWOB's in order receive a bidding advantage.

MWOB CONTRACT AWARD GUIDELINES

The RTC's proposal states that MWOB contractors would be allowed a 3% advantage on competitively bid services. The subsidy is \$2,000,000 per year or \$6,000,000 for the total program over a period of three years. Annually, this means that about \$67,000,000 in assets and/or services are eligible. This subsidy should be substantially increased in light of the tremendous amount of contracting work that will be required by the RTC. The 3% bid advantage should be increased to a 5% advantage to lessen the competitive gap between MWOBs and large companies since the cost of overhead to gross income is significantly higher for small businesses than for large companies.

Criteria should be established by the RTC to limit participation in the MWOB program by giving the bidding advantage only to small and medium size MWOBs. There is a precedence for this limitation within the DOT for participation by Minority Business Enterprises. This restriction would negate large companies taking advantage of MWOBs solely for the purpose of obtaining additional business through joint ventures.

The subsidy program should be reserved for entry level MWOB asset managers, brokers, et al, who are willing to joint venture their small business with other small companies already in the system in order to gain the required skills and qualifications. An incentive



could be offered to managing partners to take on entry level companies.

SET-ASIDE PROGRAM

It is estimated that 10%-16% of the contractors that are qualified to work with the RTC can be classified as MWOB companies. Therefore, we believe that 10% of the assets in the RTC portfolio should be setaside for bidding by MWOBs. The mix of assets for bidding by MWOBs should be fairly representative of assets in the entire RTC portfolio. It would not be fair if this program contained only small, management intensive assets.

The Agreement requires contractors to engage the services of subcontractors. Consideration should be given to setting a goal of 10% of total fees received by prime contractors to be allocated for MWOBs. DOT has a similar program in place.

Attention should also be given to subcontracting legal work as only \$2,000,000 of the \$99,000,000 spent by the FDIC on legal fees in 1989 went to MWOB firms.

The set-aside program would be directed toward "qualified" MWOB companies to handle asset management and disposition so that they can grow independently under the set-aside program rather than being given a subsidy. Doing so would help MWOBs grow into larger firms with professional services that would further enhance the RTC's resolution of the management and disposition of assets and improve their chances of getting into the mainstream of larger contracts as primary contractors.

Thank you again for this opportunity to present my views. I would be pleased to answer your questions.

Digitized by Google



TESTIMONY OF

L. WILLIAM SEIDMAN CHAIRMAN

RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION WASHINGTON, D. C.

ON

DETERMINING MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS

BEFORE THE

TASK FORCE ON THE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION, REGULATION AND INSURANCE

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE AND URBAN AFFAIRS

UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

10:00 A.M. May 4, 1990 Room 2128 Rayburn House Office Building



Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Task Force. I am pleased to appear before you today to discuss the RTC's proposed policy for determining the fair market value of assets.

As I have stated on many occasions, the magnitude of the RTC's challenge to dispose of assets, whether in conservatorship or receivership, dictates that we seek new and cost-effective ways to sell assets. On February 28, 1990, the RTC's owned real estate asset inventory totaled \$16.4 billion. From the RTC's inception through the end of March, sales of owned real estate exceeded \$2.1 billion--a substantial amount, but not as much as we would have liked. Clearly, the vast majority of our asset sales lies before us, and I will discuss with you proposed policies that will enhance the RTC's ability to accomplish our asset marketing objectives.

I. CURRENT POLICY

Currently, the RTC relies on appraisals to determine the fair market value of real estate assets. All commercial properties are appraised in accordance with the RTC's Uniform Appraisal Instructions to Appraisers (See Attachment 1), which were adopted in November 1989. These instructions state that an appraiser will provide an estimate of current market value, which is defined as "the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus." Furthermore, these instructions provide that an



appraiser is to estimate a normal marketing period, based on market evidence for the property, and is instructed not to assume fire sale or liquidation values.

Due to the subjective nature of appraising, the RTC generally requires two appraisals for any property exceeding \$500,000 in value. The RTC has instituted a policy of waiving the second appraisal requirement on a case-by-case basis if timely appraisals cannot be obtained and if there is sufficient evidence (such as brokers' opinions, analysis of existing appraisals, etc.) to support the single appraisal's valuation. The appraised value, adjusted for holding costs and other costs not reflected in the appraisal, becomes the established fair market value for the asset, assuming the appraisal is deemed adequate and conforms to RTC standards. If two appraisals are obtained pursuant to RTC policy--and rarely are the value conclusions identical--the average of the appraised values, adjusted for holding costs, becomes the basis for the RTC's established fair market value for the asset.

In most cases appraisals are redone annually and we seek updated appraisals from different appraisers where possible. As a result, the established fair market value of an asset is also usually revised annually, unless market evidence or changes to the asset indicate the need to obtain a new appraisal sconer.

We now sell real estate at 95 percent of market value (as determined by the RTC) in the "distressed" states of Arkansas,

Digitized by Google

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access use#pd-google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

134

3

- - - - • •

Colorado, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas. The RTC has set 90 percent of fair market value as the lowest sales price available in other areas. These percentages will continue to apply to the new determination of fair market value under our proposed new policies.

II. PROPOSED POLICY

Our proposed policy on establishing the fair market value of real estate (See Attachment 2) has two parts. One pertains to conventional marketing, which allows maximum reductions from the appraised value of 15 percent at the end of six months and another 5 percent at the end of nine months. The second part pertains to establishment of reserve prices for auctions. We expect to take this proposed policy to the RTC Board next week for approval.

Under both policies, the RTC will continue to rely on independent, current appraisals for the initial establishment of fair market value. The new policies differ, however, from the current policy in that the new policies give the RTC the flexibility to determine a lower fair market value where there is sufficient information to support the determination made. The purpose of these policies is to save the RTC and hence the taxpayers money by allowing the sale of property--whether by conventional marketing methods or by auction--at the earliest possible date, rather than having the RTC absorb its carrying



costs and other expenses due to an unrealistically high appraisal.

A. Conventional Marketing under the Proposed Policy

Similar to the current policy for estimating fair market value, the proposed policy, as applied to the conventional marketing of properties, requires one or more appraisals to be obtained and reviewed for each real estate asset, in accordance with the RTC's existing appraisal instructions. As noted above, if the appraisal conforms to the RTC's standards, then the appraised value, adjusted for holding and other costs not reflected in the appraisal, will become the RTC's established fair market value and the basis for establishing a sales price. Each real estate property will then be exposed to the widest appropriate market for a minimum of six months or, for single family residential properties, four months. If few or no offers are received, or if all offers received are significantly lower than the asset's adjusted appraised value, the asset manager may reconsider the market value of the property, established initially by the appraisal, in light of this evidence from the marketplace.

Other evidence, such as brokers' opinions or recent comparable sales, also may be used by the asset manager to substantiate a reduction of an asset's established fair market value as initially estimated by an appraisal. As measured against the appraised (or average appraised) values, the value as initially established may be reduced by a maximum 15 percent after the

136

5

Digitized by Google

applicable four or six month time period. Subsequent reductions of up to 5 percent could be made after an additional three months of active marketing. The maximum reduction permitted will be a total of 20 percent in the absence of another appraisal. In any event, these are not automatic markdowns. Each new valuation will depend on the circumstances of the individual property, and the new valuation will be documented. Consequently, we believe that these proposed guidelines for revising the initial value will ensure that an appropriate value is being set for each asset.

B. Auctions

The second part of the new RTC policy pertains to our use of auctions. Most auctions require extensive marketing efforts with large-scale regional, national, and possibly international, exposure. Minimum marketing efforts will include extensive advertising in newspapers and appropriate trade journals and publications, and the distribution of brochures and press releases, as well as solicitations to prospects in the RTC's data base of potential buyers.

Auctions offer an excellent method for efficiently selling real estate properties. The theory behind auctions is that, if marketed correctly, properties will be exposed to many potential purchasers--far more than would be possible for each property when marketed individually--and that an early sale will enable the RTC to forgo actual holding and opportunity costs.


Consequently, it is expected that, in the aggregate, the RTC will have as high a net present value return from auction sales as from individual sales. This has been the general experience from auction sales by the FDIC. In the FDIC auction conducted by Cushman & Wakefield last year, for example, the FDIC recovered, of the properties sold, approximately 99 percent of the total appraised value of those properties.

Under the RTC's proposed policy, properties auctioned may be sold absolute--that is, with no minimum reserve price--if the property has an established market value set by appraisal below \$100,000 and the property has been widely exposed to the market. The RTC will reserve the right to reject any and all offers which are made in the absence of a competitive bidding environment. Also, the RTC will not sell at auction the properties in conservatorship which satisfy the eligibility requirements for the RTC's Affordable Housing Program.

All other properties may be sold at auctions with reserve prices set at levels to take into account the benefits of an expedited sale, including savings of holding and marketing costs. Furthermore, to stimulate active bidding associated with the auction process, the RTC may set reserve prices at less than the appraised value. The lowest reserve price that can be set is 70 percent of current appraised value, as adjusted for any savings of sale's expenses or other costs resulting from an expedited sale. Again, there will not be automatic discounts. Each property will be individually analyzed to determine its reserve

138 7

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA price and that price will have to be rigorously documented prior to its inclusion in the sale.

III. BENEFITS

These proposed changes in valuation policy will allow the RTC flexibility in determining true market price and will more closely match private sector methods of determining market value. An initial fair market value will be made through an appraisal by an independent party. However, this development of fair market value is only a point-in-time estimation, untested in the marketplace. After testing the initial estimate of market value through extensive marketing efforts, the proposed RTC policy will permit the RTC to adjust prices to reflect the realities of the market. These new estimations of market value will be used both in the conventional marketing of properties and in setting reserve prices for auctions.

By contrast, the RTC presently must incur, in order to realign market values, the cost of obtaining a new appraisal, and again, these appraisals are only judgements. We must also incur additional holding costs, such as property taxes, management expenses, asset deterioration costs, costs of risk exposure caused by a delayed sale, and the cost of borrowing working capital. These costs easily exceed 10 percent annually (working capital costs alone are running 8 percent) and are probably closer to 15 percent. Although these costs for any particular asset may not be substantial, these costs become significant for

8

139



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA a \$16.4 billion and growing real estate portfolio. At 10 percent per year in holding costs, selling assets just six months sooner will save the RTC \$825 million; at 15 percent per year, the savings would be \$1.24 billion. It should also be noted that these savings are on an asset base that is certain to grow rapidly as our resolution pace quickens. In addition, in some parts of the country, particularly Texas, the demand for qualified appraisers for commercial properties is so great that substantial delays can occur simply in scheduling an appraisal, further delaying the sale of properties and thus increasing costs.

The principal benefit of the proposed policy will be to increase the rate of RTC real estate sales. This will reduce the RTC's direct and indirect holding costs. Also, like most other merchandise, the longer S&L assets set on the shelf, the more they deteriorate. If a property does not sell, probably it is because we are asking too much in the marketplace.

Also, it is simply not prudent for the RTC to hold properties in the hope that market prices will rise to meet the appraisal. We are in business to sell assets, not to bet on market movement.

IV. CONTROLS AND INCENTIVES

To attract customers, you must provide them with a potential for profit. We have yet to find citizens who will buy assets out of a sense of patriotic duty. The amount of profit investors expect

9

Digitized by Google

to receive from RTC asset sales will vary from property to property. We want to sell properties resulting in a reasonable profit for our customers, but not a windfall profit. Our policy requirements that market values, based on an independent appraisal, can only be lowered after market testing on the individual asset, as well as the limits that are placed on the size of the change in values, will help assure that an appropriate price will lead to a reasonable profit.

We believe this to be the case because, as the FDIC's own experience has shown, asset sales that are conducted in an open and competitive environment will result in investors receiving a fair return for their purchase and the RTC receiving fair value for the sale. To promote an open and competitive environment, RTC staff has published a guideline booklet, <u>How To Buy Real</u> <u>Estate</u>, and will be providing investors with computer-based access to the RTC real estate asset inventory later this month.

Further, in using the private sector to provide the vast majority of asset management services required, the RTC has designed asset management contracts so that the contractor has a number of incentives to sell RTC properties at maximum value in the minimum amount of time. These incentives thereby ensure the protections necessary to maintain appropriate pricing strategies.

For example, the RTC's asset management fee structure for contractors varies depending on the composition of the portfolio. Where the RTC holds marketable title, we expect to pay asset

10

141

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA managers a minimal management fee to cover their overhead, along with a substantial disposition fee. This disposition fee, earned upon the close of sale of an asset, is a function of three variables: a) the sale price, adjusted for expenses; b) the length of time a contractor was associated with the asset; and c) the percentage the price exceeds a target sale price. These contracts, with their incentives to maximize sales proceeds, give the RTC additional protections against selling properties at lower than market prices.

To monitor the asset contractors, the RTC oversees the activities of contractors chiefly through its Standard Asset Management Agreement (SAMA) and its Asset and Management Disposition Manual. The SAMA outlines the nature and scope of the contractual relationship between asset managers and the RTC. The Asset and Management Disposition Manual guides the RTC staff and the contractors as to the RTC's expectations and procedures.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, consumers in the marketplace know that we own these properties and that they must be sold. Holding these properties off the market because of unrealistically high asking prices only lengthens the marketing process and increases our costs. The only way we are going to get the government out of the S&L asset sales business is to sell these assets as quickly as possible at current market prices.

11



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Uniform Appraisal Instructions to Appraisers For RTC Real Estate Properties

(Not Required for Residential Single Family Properties)

- Appraisals are to be made assuming a sale on a cash basis; however, if the appraiser is uncomfortable with supplying a valuation on a cash basis because of lack of comparable sales on a cash basis, a valuation based on typical terms, as provided for in item 2 below, may be used instead. If the appraiser prefers, he/she may also value the property on both a cash basis and on a typical terms basis. RTC does not want fire sale or liquidation value appraisals.
- Appraisals are expected to reflect values based on sales prospects considering existing economic conditions.
- When an appraiser supplies a valuation on typical terms, these terms must be precisely defined and their contributions to or negative influence on market value must be described and estimated.
- 4. All appraisals are to be on an "as is" basis.
- . Estimates to complete essential repairs and to cure code violations should be provided, and the appraiser should specify whether those expenses (with a breakdown) have already been considered in estimating the property's "as is" appraised value.
- 6. All appraisers must clearly state the estimated marketing period in their analysis, based on comparable sales, or other market evidence such as listings and discussions with local brokers or other informed market participants.
- 7. The RTC expects the appraiser to provide an estimate of current market value as opposed to future market value.
- 8. All appraisals, including updated appraisals, must be in writing.
- 9. Generally, appraisals should be based on existing zoning, however, the appraiser may value the property based on both current zoning and any other zoning the appraiser feels is likely obtainable within a short period. This likelihood of the alternative zoning should be explained in the report for all cases in which the appraiser feels it is appropriate to supply both valuations.
- 10. When an appraiser is valuing distressed property solely on a land value basis that implies demolition or removal of improvements, the cost of demolition and/or removal should be netted against the land value with the estimated cost of removal so reflected.



ge 2

- 11. The account officer is requested to supply the appraiser with a copy of the property's legal description, the survey, leases for income producing properties, and a three (3) year sales history including offers, listings, and options if known.
- 12. The appraiser should provide the amount of annual property taxes and any past due taxes in the appraisal report and comment as to their reasonableness. If high, explain the impact on appraised value.
- 13. The appraisal may be used for multiple purposes, such as bids at foreclosure sales, large protective advances, operating budgets, and sale of the property.
- 14. The appraiser should note any potential environmental hazards. For example, underground storage tanks, storage containers of known or unknown contents, cvidence of waste disposal such as sludge, paints, chemical residues, oil spillage, asbestos content in building material, etc.
- 15. Appraisers (RTC instructions only) should note in the cover page of their appraisal report any property which has significant Natural, Cultural, Recreational or Scientific value. The criteria are as follows:

<u>Natural Value</u> - Properties of special significance are identified as properties within or adjacent to, National Landmarks, National Wilderness Areas, National or State Parks, National or State Wildlife Refugees, areas identified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife service as "Critical Habitats" or other special natural features which include Wetlands, Ocean and Lake Shores, Caves, Dunes, Coastal Barrier Islands and estuaries.

<u>Cultural Value</u> - Properties of cultural special significance are based on criteria established by the National Register of Historic Places. (See Attachment D)

Recreational Value - Properties of recreational special significance are identified as any property that is within or adjacent to existing public recreation area or adjacent to rivers, oceans, or lake shores.

<u>Scientific Value</u> - Properties of scientific special significance are properties valued for scientific value or archeological importance.

A copy of these instructions should be made a part of the appraisal report.



RTC Market Value Definition:

The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is consummation of a sale as of a specified date and passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- o Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- Both parties are well informed or well advised and each acting in what he considers his own best interest;
- o A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- o Payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale."



CRITERIA FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

Properties, built before 1941, which have special significance in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering and culture and which having buildings, structures, and objects that posses integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling and association and:

- a. that are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or
 b. that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; œ
- that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual c. distinction; or
- that have yielded, or may be likely yield, information important in d. prehistory or history.



ATTACHMENT 2

DRAFT

DETERMINING MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 ("FIRREA"), the RTC has been charged with establishing "an appraisal or other valuation method for determining the market value of real property." [Section 501(b)(12)(D)(ii)] FIRREA imposes certain limitations on the RTC with respect to disposing of real property assets in relationship to market value, especially with regard to disposition of these assets in "distressed areas".

Title V of FIRREA permits some latitude in the method(s) used to determine the market value of properties. Title XI, however, mandates specific appraisal requirements on the RTC. The RTC's proposed regulation 12 C.F.R. Part 1608 - Appraisals, developed pursuant to FIRREA, requires that any real estate-related transaction (with a value greater than \$15,000) including the sale, lease, or purchase of property or the use of real property as security for a loan or investment, requires an appraisal performed in accordance with the RTC's standards.

The RTC will normally rely on independent appraisals for determining a real property's market value. However, the RTC may use alternative valuation methods, such as appraisal reviews, brokers' opinions, competitive market analysis, and market evaluations based on offers received and comparable sales, to supplement appraisals when determining an asset's market value. The alternative techniques should be used on a case-by-case basis in order to maximize the RTC's use of independent and objective sources.

II. SIGNIFICANCE OF APPRAISED VALUE

The purpose of an appraisal is to provide an objective and realistic opinion of market value. The RTC requires appraisals performed by independent third parties, with the goal of providing objective and independent estimates of market value from individuals not associated with the disposition of the real property asset. Although appraisals do not provide indisputable evidence of value, they do provide a reasonable basis for determining the value for a given property. In particular, the valuation is useful in making the determination whether to sell property for a specific price.

III. POLICY

The following general policy will be performed by RTC staff and contractors when determining market value:



- One or more appraisals will be obtained for each real estate asset in accordance with RTC's existing Appraisal policy. The appraisals should be reviewed and if appropriate, substantiated by brokers' opinions and other market analysis.
- 2) If the appraisal conforms to the RTC's standards, then the appraised value adjusted for holding costs and other verifiable costs not reflected in the appraisal will be the RTC's established market value.
- 3) If two current appraisals were obtained for the asset pursuant to RTC policy, then the average of the appraised value adjusted for the aforementioned costs will be the RTC's established market value.
- 4) Results of the RTC's marketing of the property should be monitored by RTC asset staff or the RTC's asset management contractor. If the property has been aggressively marketed and either i) no offers were received; or ii) all bona fide offers received were significantly lower than the appraised value, the asset manager should explore revising the RTC's established market value. Other evidence such as recent brokers' opinions or an analysis of recent comparable sales, should be obtained to substantiate a revision of market value.
- 5) Upon analysis of the marketing efforts and other substantiation, the asset manager may recommend revising the established market value. However, a revision greater than 15% of appraised (or average appraised) value adjusted for any savings of holding or other verifiable costs, will not be allowed at any one time. Furthermore, two revisions totaling more than 20% will not be permitted without obtaining new appraisal(s). The first revision will not be allowed if marketing efforts are deemed inadequate and if the asset has not been actively marketed for at least six months (four months for single family homes). Any subsequent revision would require at least three months of additional marketing since the prior revision.
- 6) If the asset manager believes that either i) a revision at any one time greater than 15%; or ii) revisions totaling more than 20%, are substantiated and appropriate, then a new appraisal(s) should be obtained.

IV. PROCEDURES

Approval of the revised market value may be made by the level of authority which approved the original sales price.

- 2 -

149

v. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The RTC's establishment of market value will rely on sound appraisals, since the appraisal process requires an in-depth market analysis by an independent and objective party. However, appraisals only provide an estimate of market value, and do not necessarily offer indisputable evidence of value. These guidelines allow the RTC some flexibility for determining market value of assets, based on sound appraisal practices and market analysis valuation techniques.

jam:4-5develoard



ESTABLISHING PRICES IN AUCTION SALES

I. INTRODUCTION

Auctions offer an excellent method for efficiently disposing of real estate properties, especially useful for an organization with the scale of disposition activity of the RTC. The theory behind auctions is that if marketed correctly, the properties will be exposed to many potential purchasers (far more than would be possible for each property when marketed individually) and that an early sale will enable the RTC to forego actual holding costs and opportunity costs. There are three general methods for conducting auctions:

- Selling properties absolute, that is, to the highest bidder without regard to a minimum price;
- Selling properties absolute with minimum bid absolute prices, that is, to the highest bidder as long as the final bid price is greater than a pre-determined price established for the asset; and
- Selling properties with the right of reserve to accept or reject any offer.

While the last method results in the greatest protection to the seller, it is a general belief that selling properties absolute will generate the greatest interest among potential investors, since they know that the seller is obligated to sell the properties, and hence, result in attaining true market value. Similarly, setting minimum prices high, rather than low, discourages participation and thus reduces actual bidding.

The RTC will explore conducting auctions on specified properties as an alternative to marketing properties through local, regional, or national brokers. Well conducted auctions can approximate the sales prices obtained by other methods of sales, in aggregate, if not on each property. Key characteristics of successful auctions are:

- Large scale, national or international marketing of the properties so that the auction brings even greater market exposure than would normally be attained through a normal listing arrangement;
- Accurate, sufficient information on each of the properties available to potential purchasers; and
- Ample time and opportunity for prospective bidders to inspect the property and property records.



Well conducted auctions with extensive marketing, and which enable the RTC to reduce its actual and opportunity costs of money, are consistent with the RTC's mandated objectives of: 1) maximizing the net present value return from the sale of assets; and 2) minimizing the impact of such transactions on local real estate and financial markets.

The pricing policies for auctions stated below are believed to maximize net present value return for the RTC.

II. POLICY

The following policy shall be followed by RTC staff and private sector contractors for establishing prices in auctions:

- Auctions will require extensive marketing efforts with large scale regional, national, and possibly international, exposure. Minimum marketing efforts will include extensive advertising in newspapers and appropriate trade journals, publication and distribution of brochures, press releases and solicitations to prospects in RTC's data base of potential buyers.
- 2) Properties may be sold absolute in auctions if (i) the property has an established market value below \$100,000; and the property has been widely exposed to the market. Property in conservatorship which satisfies the eligibility requirements for RTC's Affordable Housing Program may not be sold at auction. Finally, RTC will reserve the right to reject any and all offers which are made in the absence of a competitive bidding environment.
- 3) All other properties may be sold at auctions with reserve prices set at levels to take into account the benefits of an expedited sale, including savings of holding costs, and marketings costs. Furthermore, to stimulate active bidding associated with the auction process, RTC may set reserve prices at less than the expected sale price excepting under no circumstances can reserve prices be set at less than 70% of the current appraised value, adjusted for any savings of sale's expenses or costs as a result of an expedited sale.

III. CONCLUSION

The auction pricing policy outlined above gives the RTC reasonable flexibility when conducting auctions on real estate properties. If an auction exposes property to the market sufficiently and is otherwise properly conducted, disposition prices will establish the true market value, and if any discount is received, the cost savings resulting from the expedited sale compensates for any discount from market value.

-2-

It is thus expected that, in aggregate, RTC will have as high a net present value return from auction sales as from individual sales under existing policy. The sale prices on individual properties may vary up or down from that standard. The 70% reserve price floor for the larger properties has been established to meet the needs of the expected few properties which may sell well below established appraised value. A higher reserve figure would discourage participation and probably reduce aggregate net present value yield.

jam:auction1

1

-3-



STATEMENT OF IRVINE H. SPRAGUE

BEFORE THE

TASK FORCE ON THE RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SUPERVISION, REGULATION AND INSURANCE

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, FINANCE AND URBAN AFFAIRS UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

May 4, 1990



Mr. Chairman:

I've testified before the Congress many, many times over the past 30 years but this is my first appearance as a private citizen. This means you will be getting the unvarnished truth today, or at least opinion, without any concern about what is the Administration's party line.

You asked me here today because of my ten year's experience as chairman of the FDIC Liquidation Committee and because the asset disposal problems we now face are the same, albeit on a much larger scale.

A coal mine on fire, race horses that can't run fast, professional sports teams that seem reluctant to win, unfinished motels and shopping malls, unoccupied office buildings, thousands of private homes, and most of all, acres on acres of land that was purchased on speculation.

This is no time to be second guessing the progress of the liquidation and I will not do so. Besides, Bill Seidman and I saw eye-to-eye on just about everything when we served together on the FDIC board and the people holding all of the key positions on the RTC and the FDIC worked for me and with me when I was FDIC chairman. They clearly are the best in the business and they have spent their entire careers in preparation for this moment.

This is a classic case of the right people being in the right place at the right time.

- 1 -



I am here mainly to answer your questions but I do have a few observations to set the stage. The closing or selling of the bankrupt savings and loans seems to be proceeding in an orderly fashion so I will concentrate on the asset disposition problem which is the real key to how much of a hit the taxpayers are going to take. Whether savings and loans are closed or sold, the end result is the same -- all of the junk assets remain in government hands.

If you were to ask me to list 10 policies that should be followed, I would reply that the first nine on the list -- the overwhelming necessity -- is to sell quickly, take your losses in a hurry.

Any effort to delay the disposition of bad assets only increases the loss. The alternative is an ever increasing carrying cost, ever multiplying fees to attorneys, appraisers and accountants, and an ever growing bureaucracy.

It is sometimes agonizing to sell quickly, particularly when the return appears to be very low. When I first took on the job of liquidation oversight, it concerned me greatly that we would be criticized for selling too cheaply, for sweetheart deals, for not waiting to see if the market would rise, for dumping property on the community. This apparently is the mind-set today of the Administration and the Congress with RTC rules that slow down sales. I am talking about rules on downpayment size, limiting the time for a purchaser to put back assets, and decrees that sales cannot go below a certain percentage of appraisal.

- 2 -

30-239 0 - 90 - 6



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA I surely would have agreed with all these constraints when I was a novice in the business. But a hard look at the numbers finally convinced me that there was no question -- by far the cheapest approach is to get what you can, quickly.

As Lamar Kelly testified to you earlier, 85 percent today is far better than 100 percent a year from now. I think the real figure is much lower, anything you can get today is better than a problematical return in the future where the only certainty is that you incur enormous expense during the holding period.

Aside from protecting the taxpayers by getting the best possible return, the argument is made that we should protect the community by not dumping. I agree, the community should be protected but the best way to do that is to move everything into private hands quickly. The market knows of the overhang of government controlled assets and makes the appropriate discount. Holding them off the market only serves to create uncertainty. The rule that assets must be sold at 95 percent or more of appraisal in eight distressed states only compounds the problem in those states.

Remember, it was inept and crooked appraisals that was one of the major factors that got us into this mess in the first place.

Over the years I learned to mistrust appraisals, which, after all, are just guestimates. Or else numbers cooked up to the specifications of the client.

The best test of value is the marketplace. Market value is whatever a willing buyer will pay in an open competitive market to a

- 3 -



willing seller. All we need now is a willing seller. The law makes this approach possible. It says:

"The corporation shall establish an appraisal <u>or other</u> <u>valuation method</u> for determining the market value of real property."

Now that we have disposed of my first nine rules, let's take a look at a few others.

-- Profit is important. Unless there is a realistic opportunity for a substantial profit, there is no opportunity at all for a sale.

-- Don't look over your shoulder. If you do the job right, there will be mistakes, of course, but nothing you can do will protect you from second guessing and politically motivated criticism.

-- No special deals. Sometimes there is a desire to help out someone in the Administration or a powerful legislator, but any time you make a concession, you are creating the precedent for more bad deals and in addition, setting yourself up for more pressure. Remember the HUD scandals.

-- Be innovative. The best ground rules are no rules, the best weapon is innovation and the willingness to try new approaches. The plans for a worldwide auction of \$1 million and up parcels this summer is such an idea. If properly merchandised, a satellite sale will interest buyers from Europe, Japan and Saudi Arabia, as well as from all over the United States. .

- 4 -

Digitized by Google



What do we do now?

My answer is nothing.

In a recent speech to the National Bureau of Economic Research, the leading economists of the nation were taken aback when I proposed that the best medicine today is to keep the Administation and the Congress from doing anything. Whatever damage is done is already done and I fear that any tinkering with the law will inevitably open up new problems. I say that as one who spent a good part of his life working for legislation of one kind or another.

Creating a political RTC and thus allowing amateurs to hamper the efforts of the professional FDIC was a mistake. But leave it be.

Look at the record.

In the 1970's at the time of Penn Central, we regulators lifted the interest rate ceilings on deposits of \$100,000 and over. In 1980 the Administration and the Congress, yielding to demands of the savings and loan industry, upped Federal insurance from \$40,000 to \$100,000. This triggered the disaster. Money brokers entered the picture and could guarantee investors an above market rate at absolutely no risk. "If anything goes wrong, the government is the patsy," they could say. How right they were.

After saddling us with \$100,000 insurance (which we should leave alone now), the Congress -- following Administration demands for deregulation -- began opening the doors for exotic investments.

- 5 -

Digitized by Google

٠. .

The savings and loans argued that now they had all this new money, they had to find a way to use it. Once this happened, the sharp operators and outright crooks jumped into the business. It was too good a deal to pass up. Unlimited money available at no risk, unlimited opportunities to gamble with depositors' money, unlimited prospects for high living. After all, who could possibly lose? Except the taxpayers. The Attorney General has said that 30 percent of the failed savings and loans were tainted with illegal behavior. The FDIC figure is a little higher. There is nothing like an insider deal to make one's day.

Finally, delays in addressing the problem have compounded and multiplied the cost. We all know that the Administration delayed admitting thre was a substantial problem until after the 1988 elections were safely behind them. The very week after the election there was an admission that there was indeed a problem, a whopping one, and the numbers of the cost appear regularly on an ever rising scale.

Thank you.

7

- 6 -



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

159

J.E. ROBERT COMPANIES

11 Canal Center Plaza Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Tel (703) 739-4440

May 1, 1990

Donald W. Crocker President and Chief Operating Officer

The Honorable Bruce F. Vento U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs Room 212, House Office Building Annex No. 1 Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Vento:

I appreciate your request to appear before the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance of the House Banking Committee to discuss the Disposition of Assets by the RTC. I must be on the West Coast for a long-standing commitment on May 4th and regret that I will not be able to testify at the scheduled hearing. In addition to responding to the questions raised in your letter, I have attached hereto my thoughts on several additional matters.

Should the RTC's Strategic Plan be augmented by defining in more detail how the general goals for asset disposition are to be achieved?

I feel the RTC has done an excellent job to date in issuing detailed guidelines regarding many important subjects, including: low and moderate income housing interim rules, seller financing, ethics, appraisal policy, qualified financial contracts and other critical issues to supplement the policies and procedures established in the Strategic Plan. These comprehensive procedures take time to define and write as policy. I feel the RTC is making reasonable and substantial progress and producing a work product, which from my experience, is the proper approach to protect the taxpayers.

The RTC responsibility is so broad and complex that it will take significant additional time to address all the issues raised now or in the future. An analogy is the income tax regs issued after a major tax bill. It takes years and many refinements to cover most issues and there are always new ones arising which must be studied, resolved or changed.

2. Should the RTC dispose of assets in all or most of the thrifts under its control by category of asset across the nation or by attempting to deal with all assets institution by institution or through a combination of these methods? What factors or criteria should the RTC consider in deciding which approach to take?





Digitized by Google

Page 2 May 1, 1990

Under the claims procedures mandated by FIRREA (which has as a precedent the procedures developed over four years by the FSLIC), it is necessary to establish the net recovery on the assets from each <u>separate</u> Receivership. Creditors from each Receivership include parties whose contracts were repudiated, who had litigation or other claims against the institution, insured and uninsured depositors, as well as debt and equity holders.

Many of these claims are legitimate and must be handled fairly and receive their pro rata distribution of recoveries. The claims process is somewhat analogous to a Chapter 7 bankruptcy. Therefore, all expenditures directly related to liquidation of the Receivership's assets, plus all overhead and expenses of the Receivership reasonably allocated to its administration, should be charged against the net recovery from the sale of all assets. This net recovery is pro rated to creditors, including the RTC for paying off the insured depositors. It was FSLIC's, and is the RTC's policy, that any "premium" earned on the franchise value from the sale of deposits does not belong to the creditors, but to the Insurance Fund.

The enormous challenge which faced the FSLIC, and the RTC must now address, is how to keep track of all such expenses and account for what the net recovery from liquidation is, in order to accurately distribute it pro rata to the unsecured creditors. In "depositor preference" states, uninsured depositors, and the RTC to the extent that it paid off insured depositors or transferred their deposits to an Acquirer, are entitled to reimbursement up to 100% of their claims <u>before</u> junior unsecured creditors get any recovery.

However, there is one recent court decision that states that a claims process must still be administered even in a depositor preference state in order to establish a fair allocation of net recoveries among preferred depositor creditors and whether in fact there was no net recovery available to non-depositor, unsecured creditors.

The accounting for a portfolio is an extremely challenging task. Accounting for portfolios divided into very many different parts and managed in concert with assets from other Receiverships or Conservatorships throughout the country may make proper cost allocation and net recovery accounting unauditable and merely a rough approximation. So, in answer to your question in 2., to the extent that portfolios of assets coming out of a Receivership can be managed as a "portfolio" rather than split up into numerous parts, I would recommend that the portfolio approach be used. However, I would concur strongly that performing loans should be handled by experts in liquidating such assets and should generally be managed separately from non-performing loans and REO.

Normally, there are personnel within the failed institution who have been doing reasonably capable accounting work in connection with the portfolio and might be retrained to follow the RTC's accounting system where the portfolio is held together. This would offer the best hope, on an interim basis, in keeping track of the costs and expenses and



Page 3 May 2, 1990

recoveries relating to asset liquidation. Private contractors might also be phased in to help on this critical responsibility.

3. What safeguards should be put in place to insure that the appropriate price is received? How would these safeguards work?

The current RTC safeguards are sales must be at not less than 90% of market value outside of distressed areas and 95% within. Please see my discussion re obtaining various Opinions of Value attached. These are tough standards and safeguards. However, defining market value needs further examination. I would support a more flexible definition of "market value" and permit use of brokers' opinions for smaller assets and short form appraisals by one appraiser for all but the largest, most complex assets. <u>Ultimately, however, value should key off the best qualified bid where there has been proper exposure of the asset to the most logical market over a reasonable period of time and where at least several competing qualified offers have been obtained. That is, "market value" should not absolutely be the appraiser's opinion but what the actual market dictates. For distressed areas and low and moderate income housing provisions, this definition likewise should be utilized as "market value".</u>

4. Should financing options be made available to purchasers, such as one-year RTC credit?

One year financing is not going to solve either the RTC's or the buyers problems. The buyer needs permanent financing "over a period of years" (even if the rate is adjustable) and not a "bullet loan" which becomes all due in one or a couple of years. For the RTC to offer financing which will facilitate sales to qualified purchasers it will need to offer real estate financing similar to what is available in the market place. However, it is important to note the difficulty which will probably be experienced in liquidating, except at a deep discount, seller-financed paper generated by the RTC. Even well written loans will probably sell at a deep discount from market value in the absence of some kind of government guarantee.

5. What are the costs associated with the retention of various types of assets, in particular the varieties of real property: commercial, land development, residential?

There is an abbreviated budget format commonly used by asset managers to evaluate the "cost of hold" strategies under various scenarios. The major costs are real estate taxes, insurance, management costs and fees, net operating deficits, curing and keeping current senior liens or leasehold costs, depreciation, vandalism and cost of capital. Obviously, raw land generally incurs much lower holding costs to manage than a mostly empty office building or a failed condo project with only 25% of the units leased.

There may also be heavy costs of securitization and weatherization of the property, completing construction, and/or funding leasehold improvement costs to put space in shape for tenant lease up. A net realizable value budget is estimated in connection with most



Page 4 May 1, 1990

assets and guides the RTC as to the discretionary and mandatory costs and the sense of emergency in liquidating troubled assets. It is not uncommon to have an asset which burns up a million dollars of net realizable value a year. It's almost an absolute, the sooner the sale after proper exposure, the higher the net recovery.

6. How should the RTC monitor asset managers so that they have an incentive to sell? Should asset managers be authorized to sell up to a specific dollar amount without prior RTC approval?

This may be a subject for another hearing. I suggest you wait until the finalization of the RTC Asset Management and Liquidation Contract which is to be used in contracting with the private sector. When it is finalized you can then see to what extent the above questions are resolved by the contract.

A single important point which I would make is the critical need for a reasonably broad indemnification provision by the RTC and not just a Receivership, for contractors who are required to interface with delinquent borrowers, lawyers, etc., and who would be targeted for litigation.

7. What is a reasonable time to hold an asking price for various types of assets?

With proper exposure to the market for most assets, approximately 3 months should be plenty of time to develop qualified offers. As to very special and difficult assets in very weak markets, it could take up to a year, but that would be the exception. Some assets will be unsalable because the cost to hold exceeds the market value. After the establishment of the marketing plan and exposure to the market, and a reasonable period has expired, the RTC should be permitted to establish "market value" as being the best of the multiple qualified bids which it has received and negotiated as high as possible.

8. Should the RTC attempt to out guess the economy as to the timing of sales of various types of assets?

No! Even the most sophisticated investors have been burned in speculating on the course of interest rates or property values. There is enormous uncertainty in the economy which is compounded in the real estate market by the RTC's activities. With a heavy cost to hold assets, it is almost impossible to cost justify reserving troubled assets off the market. The RTC warehouse of troubled assets is filling up rapidly, with more and more to come. The RTC is correct in emphasizing a sales effort as soon as marketable title is obtained.

9. Would the new techniques of telemarketing be applicable to at least some of these sales?

Yes, hopefully as to all. As I urged in my testimony on p. 14 at a hearing before Chairman Gonzales of the House Banking Committee on April 2, I feel it is essential that all REO assets to which the RTC has marketable title and is in process of selling should



Page 5 May 1, 1990

be accessible through an electronic bulletin board readily available to brokers and investors. This permits immediate inserting and updating of correct information, and eliminates a lot of unnecessary phone calls regarding properties which have been sold or otherwise taken off the market. Only assets where the RTC is ready to take offers and can close should be listed.

10. Should the RTC make use of auctions, at least for some types of assets?

Yes. And "market value" should be the best bid received. Please see my testimony referenced in answer to 9. above, p. 15.

11. At what point should the RTC declare an asset "surplus" so that the provisions of the Surplus Property Act come into play in order to make assets available to public bodies at no cost?

I don't believe that Federal laws as to surplus properties apply to the RTC. The RTC has the ability to and does abandon any asset to which there is no net recovery value ("NRV"). This includes situations where back taxes and/or senior liens exceed the property's NRV. Good money should not be spent on assets with no NRV.

12. What are the benefits of securitizing (as contrasted to selling) loans or groups of loans directly?

Limited securitization of fixed rate mortgage performing loans during the Conservatorship period for prompt sale makes a lot of sense. However, delaying for months the salability of such a portfolio while some esoteric form of Collateralized Mortgage Obligation ("CMO") or REMIC is being constructed is generally unwise. First, during the extended holding period to do a complex securitization, the RTC is speculating on the course of interest rates. If long term rates go up the loss in value to the RTC dwarfs any faction of a percentage point which could be generated in increased value as a CMO or REMIC. So, I would strongly favor just putting a FHLMC Participation Certificate ("PC"), or similar guarantee, on the mortgage portfolio but not doing the much more time consuming complex securitization. In many cases, the files and controls and lack of ability to give important warranties makes any securitization very difficult to achieve and results in much more delay.

13. In packaging assets, is the lack of documentation from the S&L's a problem?

Yes.

14. What action might be taken by the RTC to expedite securitization or otherwise promote bulk sales?



Page 6 May 1, 1990

Please see answer to 12. The most important suggestion that I could make in this regard is that while an institution is under a cease and desist order or in Conservatorship, it should be directed to get the securitization done then. Necessary personnel are often still around and know the data processing systems and even the borrowers and can facilitate getting the missing information and documentation pulled together. Once these same loan files are in storage boxes at the Receiver's office, it is much more difficult to securitize them to facilitate their sale.

Very truly yours,

Donald W. Crocker

DWC:cd

Attachments



ADDITIONAL ISSUES

by Donald W. Crocker J. E. Robert Companies

Appraisal Policy:

I. The Sources. Uses and Benefits to an Asset Manager and the RTC of Independent "Opinions of Value" re Troubled Assets

- A. Sources of Independent Opinions of Value
 - 1. Licensed or Certified Appraisers

Approved appraisers are required to follow established rules, have been subjected to training and exam requirements, and often provide a lot of critical information about the asset in addition to an opinion as to value. This includes everything from taped square footage, unit sizes and mix, existing lease analysis, zoning, title, municipal permits, utility moratoriums, prospective bond issues, anticipated competition from projects in the planning stage, out or in migration of the target population, etc.

- Appraisers are currently permitted to use a FHLMC short form format for all completed residential homes and apartment projects.
- b) Long form narrative appraisals are generally used for everything else.
- c) In many instances on significant assets, the RTC already has appraisal valuation and property information in file because of regulatora or auditors having ordered appraisals to be done. These can be used in the asset management.

2. Real Estate Brokers

Digitized by Google

In rendering opinions of value, brokers are less likely to emphasize theory but generally understand local market factors and know of comparable properties which are in escrow and current closing sale prices. (Most appraisers in doing their work contact local brokers for market price information.) A standard format of brokers opinion could be adopted. Obviously, an independent opinion of value should not be obtained from a broker with a listing or a pending

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Page 2 May 2, 1990

offer on the property. Independent broker's opinions are fast and inexpensive (or free).

3. <u>Tax Appeal Firms</u>

In distressed areas where many RTC assets are located, there are major reputable firms who appeal over-assessments of property taxes. These firms have a valuable data base of comparable values and sales prices which could be used on a broad basis to assist in establishing value ranges, especially on generic assets.

4. Development of RTC Data Base

When a national data base can be developed on RTC and FDIC assets reflecting valuations and actual sales of comparable properties, this would be useful information on which to base asking prices for generic assets.

- B. <u>Some Important Uses of Independent Opinions of Value by Asset</u> <u>Managers and the RTC</u>
 - Gives asset manager and RTC important market and nonmarket relevant data. Makes the RTC a more knowledgeable seller. Some of this information is necessary for the marketing data base and due diligence packages given prospective buyers. The existence of a well-prepared opinion of value saves the asset manager valuable time, probably equal to the cost of most appraisals.
 - Need a value estimate to decide whether the RTC should put any more money into a project which is stalled and only partially completed, or which requires expensive leasehold improvements. The same is true where the RTC has an uncertain equity value to protect.
 - 3. The value opinion establishes "par" to shoot against for distressed areas, low and moderate income housing provision application, management fees due asset management contractors, delegations of authority, and comparisons of performance as to sales prices achieved in a timely manner.
- II. Some Suggested Changes in Current RTC/FDIC Appraisal Policy
 - A. One appraisers opinion of value is almost always enough, and any

other should not be mandatory but only be required in special situations or as to very large assets.

- B. Generally, don't stop the foreclosure bid process or commencement or consummation of the marketing process to wait for the opinion of value to be issued. As long as it has been ordered and is in progress, foreclosure and the sales process should proceed. It is often possible to talk with the party preparing the opinion as to the anticipated value ranges and to use those for guidance in the interim.
- C. In most cases, accepted opinions of value should not become unusable after one year. If marketing is actively being done, which has or is likely to generate multiple qualified bids, then no new or updated opinion of value should be required. Even where it has been decided to reorder an appraisal, marketing should not be stopped nor should negotiating a deal be discontinued while the appraisal work is being prepared.
- D. Use "short form" (non-narrative) appraisal format for all completed or substantially completed residential properties (both homes and apartments). There is a FHLMC form widely in use for such properties. Develop and permit short form format for other types of assets such as land and warehouses. Short form appraisals are much faster and cheaper.
- E. Don't require long form "narrative appraisals" except for the largest, most complex or politically sensitive assets.
- F. If more than one appraisal exists, do not require averaging, but only reasonable discretion as to which to rely on. Sometimes it is obvious that one appraisal is seriously flawed and should be totally disregarded.
- III. Broad Exposure of the Property to the Market is Critical to Achieving Market Value

Mr. Siedman is absolutely correct that adequate marketing which generates qualified competitive offers is the true test of "market value." Opinions of value are only that person's estimate. So, aggressive marketing in communities where the assets are located plus liberal use of realtors is mandatory. The RTC might consider defining FIRREA "market value" as the highest of qualified competitive offers or bids which have been obtained after reasonable exposure of the asset to the market (regardless of what the opinion of value said).



Page 4 May 2, 1990

r.

|

A Possible New Matrix of Types of Opinions of Value Required on RTC Assets:

Current Book Value of Asset	Opinion of Value Required•	Time Period Valid*
Up to \$250,000	Two Independent Local Brokers	Two Years
\$250,001 to \$500,000	Two Independent Local Brokers or One Appraiser, Short Form	Two Years
\$500,001 to \$2.5 million	One Appraiser, Short Form	Two Years
\$2.5 million to \$5 million	One Appraiser, generally Short Form	Eighteen Months
Over \$5 million	One Appraiser, generally Narrative Format	Renew yearly, but don't stop foreclosing or marketing while updating value.

*Assumes is ordered on behalf of the RTC or accepted by the RTC.

Digitized by Google

169

Page 5 May 2, 1990

- IV. Long Term Puts with Respect to Troubled Assets Picked up by Acquirers Who Primarily are Purchasing Deposits
 - A. The need for both prompt sales of RTC controlled institutions and interim asset management is acknowledged to be great. However, a standard practice of granting a put on troubled assets for an extended period of time may create some more serious problems. The most important issue is that in most cases, Acquirers do not want the pile of troubled assets they are assigned along with the deposits on which they have bid. Also, with few exceptions, most Acquirers do not have the levels of qualified troubled asset management staff to properly deal with the troubled assets during pendency of the put provisions.
 - B. Troubled assets require intensive management with a view to prompt disposition. This is clearly established policy for both Conservatorships and Receiverships. Generally, there are heavy costs to hold troubled assets, especially considering the opportunity costs for RTC reinvestment of liquidation proceeds. These costs include real property taxes, insurance, funding net operating deficits and/or senior liens or leasehold costs, management and supervision costs, depreciation, vandalism and cost of capital. The party responsible should be either the economic owner at risk or be highly incentivized to aggressively manage and liquidate the asset.
 - C. During pendency of the put, the interests of the Government and the Taxpayer are not aligned with the Acquirer. Any Acquirer would want to hold the asset (and spend the government's money on it) for the entire put period to see if somehow value could be created or appreciation occur so that a purchase could be made at a profit. However, the interests of the Government and the Taxpayer are to actively offer and negotiate the sale of the asset for cash as soon as clear market title is obtained. Pro-active, rather than passive management is critical at all stages, starting with a borrower default, in order to cut losses. Puts are options which won't generate aggressive management to liquidate during the put period.
 - D. Therefore, only Acquirers with strong asset management teams should be assigned assets under a put arrangement which lasts over an extended time period, and there should be some strong incentives to actively manage and sell the troubled assets as compared to holding them through the put period, taking profits



Page 6 May 2, 1990

on a few, passively managing and then dumping the rest back on the RTC.

- E. Obviously, the RTC should be very careful on giving any extended put or call on performing loans since the Acquirer can then speculate on interest rates at the entire risk of the Taxpayer.
- V. The Strategic Plan for Conservatorships indicates that marketable assets should be prepared for sale and sold as rapidly as possible. This is consistent with retaining the maximum value of such assets.
 - A. Assets in this category would include performing mortgages and loan servicing.
 - B. Acquirers of deposits are highly unlikely to pay any premium over the existing market price for such mortgages when matched with deposits. In most cases, because of lack of bidding competition on such assets or lack of desire of the Acquirer, the value ascribed by an Acquirer would be less than the best available price in the open market. This is especially true since most such portfolios become less valuable over time from loan amortization and payoffs with no significant lending to replace this deficit. Holding such performing loan portfolios over an extended period of time until the deposits can be sold is normally not a maximization of the value of such loans and will not make the deposit sale premium high, but the net lower.
 - C. Therefore, prompt liquidation of performing mortgage portfolios and servicing and appropriate relocation or reduction in staff will maximize the net recovery and reduce operating losses on performing mortgage portfolios and servicing.



Resolution Trust Corporation's response for the record to questions asked during the Hearing on Disposition of Assets by the Resolution Trust Corporation before the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance of the House Committee on Banking, Finance, and Urban Affairs, May 4, 1990.

Response to Congressman Leach's question on page 23.

The attached contains information on salaries of senior executives in conservatorships. Our legal counsel has completed its review and opined that, while conservatorship records are not RTC records and conservatorship employees are not RTC employees and have certain legal privacy rights, RTC may compile individual salary data for Congress.

We believe the data shows the RTC is willing to reduce salaries, eliminate positions, and remove any officer who is believed to be either a destabilizing influence or to have caused the downfall of the institution. In many cases, the senior officers are important to the smooth operation of the conservatorship. Also, it is not uncommon that new management was brought in to try and salvage the institution after the damage was done.

Upon further consultation with RTC's counsel, we would like to clarify RTC's authority with regard to employment contracts and administrative leave. FIRREA authorizes the RTC to repudiate contracts that it determines to be burdensome in conservatorships, although it must pay actual, direct compensatory damages. In normal practice, institutions have already been placed through pass-through receivership prior to such determinations, and the executive has to establish a claim for benefits accrued to the point of receivership. Salary contracts are much less common than severance or retirement plans. As indicated above, damages for repudiation are the actual, direct compensatory loss suffered as a result. By regulation, employment contracts are terminated upon receivership and only vested rights are recoverable. In certain cases, waiting for receivership may, in fact, be more cost effective.

As for administrative leave, executives are placed on administrative leave in cases where, in the judgment of the Managing Agent, termination appears warranted pending a review of the bases of the termination and any legal or contractual rights of the employee. Again, the bases for termination my include incompetence or dishonesty, lack of need or destabilizing influence. Currently, there are only three conservatorship officers on administrative leave. Cases are in process to

1



terminate all three of these employees. In the past, a total of 25 employees have been placed on administrative leave. Of these, 15 were eventually terminated, eight resigned, one contract expired, and one was reinstated. The average time that these employees were on administrative leave was about two months.






175

.





Digitized by Google

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



178

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Digitized by Google

	C-0	000	2	00	6	000
131,732	ž	5000 3000 3000	8	000	5	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	Exec. VP John R. Rittenbe 5	/10/50			
Con Date: 04/06/89	1989-2	Exec. VP John R. Rittenberry 55000 3000 0		000		000
Region: SOUTHWEST	1989-1	President Charles D. Acton 104000 3000 0	08/11/89	Exec. VP Pat McElroy 90000 2000 00	68/60/60	Exec. vp John R. Rittenberry 3000 0 0
r. ASSOC. TX	1988	President Charles D. Acton 3000 3000 0		Exec. VP Pat McElroy 2000 0		Exec. vP John R. Rittenberry 5000 3000
8552 HERITAGEBANC SAV DUNCANVILLE		Title Nime Banary Banus Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Name Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

179

ſ



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



181



I

| |



.*

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

183



I



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

185



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



30-239 0 - 90 - 7

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

187



Digitized by Google

,

•



Digitized by Google

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google



190

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

			<u></u> .				
275	1990-2	. & CEO . Schedler 62000 6000		Aquils 42000 6000		surer ahnson 36000 6000	
31,2		Pres. J. T.		8 8 2		S. Jo	
ts:		ter 62000 6000 0		42000 6000 0		36000 6000 0	
Net Asset	1990-1	Pres. & .t0 J. T. Sched		vp V. D'Aquila		Treasurer S. Johnson	
		0 0009 0009		5000 6000 0		00099 90000	
: 03/02/89	1989-2	s. & CEO T. Schedler		D'Aquita		Johnson	
Con Date		12 - -		\$ >		Ξ	
		sr 62000 6000 0		42000 6000 0		36000 6000 0	
EASTERN	1-6861	Pres. & CEO J. T. Schedle		VP V. D'Aquila		Treasurer S. Johnson	
Region:							
				000		000	
AV BANK LA	1988	Pres. & CEO J. T. Schedler		VP V. D'Aquila		Treasurer S. Johnson	
FONTAINEBLEAU FED SI SLIDELL		ary offits us	: Employed Macement Date	ary Refits MS	: Employed slacement Date	ary kefits WS	: Employed blacement Date
8403		Title Name Sal Born	RCP	Title Name Sal Ben	REP	Title Name Sal Ben Bon	RTC

191

B4,10	PEOPLES FS & LA OF T THIBODAUX	HIBODAUX LA	Region: EASTERN	Con Date: 03/16/89	Net Assets:	18,356	
		1988	1989-1	1989-2	1990-1	1990-2	
Nume S B	e Salary Benefits Bonus	President Philip J. Avcoin 5000 0	President Philip J. Accoin 5000 0			000	
* *	RIC Employed Replacement Date		03/17/89				
	e Benefits Benefits Benefits Benefits Replacement Date	Asst. VP Charles Landeche 5000 0	Asst. VP Charles Landeche 3000 0	Asst. VP Charles Landeche 3000 0 0	Asst. VP Charles Lanceche 3000 0 0 0	000	
	e Salary Benefits Bonus Arplacement Date Replacement Date	President (Acting) Lym Hebert 35000 0	President (Acting) Lynn Hebert 35000 0	President (Acting) Lynn Nebert (4000 0 0	President (Acting) Lynn Nebert 40000 000 0000000000000000000000000000		

ļ

192

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

71600 3000 0 CEO/Sr. VP Goodloe Early 1990-2 110,223 71600 3000 0 000 000 Net Assets: CEO/Sr. VP Goodloe Early 1-0661 68000 3000 0 ... 000 Sr. VP Goodloe Early Con Date: 03/09/89 1989-2 Exec. VP • Linda M. Williams 11000 11000 CEO LOESph B. Wood 177000 10000 0 62000 6000 0 03/09/89 03/09/89 Sr. VP Goodloe Early 1989-1 Region: EASTERN ... 000 ... Exec. Vice Pres. Linda M. Williams CEO Joseph B. Wood E 1988 GERMANTOWN TRUST SAV BANK GERMANTOWN RIC Employed Replacement Date RIC Employed Replacement Date RIC Employed Replacement Date Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Salary Bencfits Borws Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Title Name 8540

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

193



104 ,929	1990-2	000		Sr. VP/Corp. Counsel Christopher Curran 10000 10000		VP/Controller Ronald A. Young 46000 8000 8000	
Net Assets:	1990-1	000		sr. VP/Corp. Counsel Chris J. Curran, Jr. 73000 10000		CFD/VP/Controller Ronald A. Young 4600 800	
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	000		Sr. VP/Corp. Counsel Christopher J. Curra 73000 9000		VP/Controller Ronald A. Young 44000 8000 00	
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	sr. vp/cf0 Manuel V. Sicre 72000 9000 0	11/15/89	Sr. VP/Corp. Counsel Chris J. Curran, Jr. 73000 9000 0		VP/Controller Ronald A. Young 4000 8000 0	
IY S&L ASSN. FL	1988	Sr. vp/CFO Manuel V. Sicre 72000 9000 0		Sr. VP/Corp. Counsel Chris J. Curran, Jr. 72000 9000 0		vP/Controller Ronald A. Young 44000 8000 00	
8547 FINANCIAL SECURI DELRAY BEACH		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Nume Salary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

195

í

,

	[]	1
521,521	1990-2	VP VIIIIam C. Roberta 59000 3000 6000	CEOFEACE. VP David N. Lewis 50000 3000 6000	W/Loans Nary L. Elwood 3000 3000
Het Assets:	1990-1	vp villiam G. Roberts 3000 3000 0000	CEO/Exec. vP David H. Leuis 2000 3000 6000	VP/Loans 10000 20005 2000 2000
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989 - 2	ve villiam G. Roberts 5000 3000 6000	CEO/Exec. VP David H. Lewis S000 3000 6000	W/Loans Mary L. Elucod 40000 3000 3000
Region: EASIERN	1-6961	9 villim G. Roberts 3000 3000 6000	CEO/Exec. VP David M. Lewis 5000 5000 6000	VP/Loans Nary L. El wood 40000 3000 0
OF THE FLORIDA KEYS fl	9961	VP VIII im G. Roberts 3000 3000 6000	CED/Free. VP David M. Leuis 5000 3000 6000	0 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
8345 FIRST FED. S&LA (kET WEST		litle Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	litte Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Senerits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

•

ļ

1

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

		0/CF0 Jr. 8000 0 0	42000 4000	36000 00004 0000
51,467	1990-2	Pres/C00/CE H. M. Light	A V Burks	vp A. Vitale
:		7,CF0	42000 4000 0	38000 4000 0
Net Asse	1-0661	Pres/COO/CE(H. M. Light,	WP H. Burks	vp A. Vitale
•		15. 75000 8000 0000	38000 4000 1000	36000 4000 0
Con Date: 03/16/8	1989-2	Pres/coo/cco M. M. Light,	VP M. Burks	vP A. Vitale
5		Jr. Jr. 8000 0	38000 4000 1000	36000 10004 0
n: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres/coo/ceo M. M. Light,	A. Burks	vp A. Vitale
Regio			000	
FL	1988	Pres/coo/cE0/cF0 H. M. Light, Jr.	vP M. Burks	vP A. Vitale
tst venice sål assoc. Nice		is Lloyed ment Date	s Noved ment Date	s itoyed ment pate
ST FIR		tte ne Salary Benefit Bonus RTC Emp Replace	tte Re Salary Salary Bencfit Bonus RTC Emp Rcplaco	ite salary Salary Benefit Bonus RTC Emp Replace
83.		L N	Nar	L N

197

	2	• 1440	C.0001	10001-1	10001-2
	1988	1.4041	7.4941	1-0441	- 120 E
alary crefits cuus CEmployed cplacement Date	Pres./CEO/CFO Nichael Storm 0 0	Pres./CEO/CFO Nichael Storm 82140 4370	Pres./CE0/CE0 Nicheel Storm 82140 4370 4370	Pres./CEO/FO Michael Storm 80000 0 0	Pres./CEO/CF0 Michael Storm 00000 0
alary enefits onus IC Employed splacement Date	Sr. vP Violet Robbins 0	Sr. vP addbins (5683 Violet Raddbins (5683 0	Sr. VP Violet Robbins 45483 0	sr. vP Violet Robbins 4.625 0	Sr. VP Violet Robbins 44625 0 0
alary enelits onus FE Employed eplacement Date	Pres. NO Norg. Originator Barry Brown 0 0 0 03/31/90	Pres. MD Morg. Originat Barry Brown 56539 0 0	or Pres. 100 Morg. Originator Barry Brown 5639 0	Pres. MD Morg. Originato Barry Brown 5639 0 0	000

74,583	1990-2	cEO/CFO/COO Jimmy Gallaher 70000 0				~~~	
Net Assets:	1-0661	ceo/cro/coo Jimmy Gallaher 0		•••		•••	
Con Date: 04/06/89	1989-2	cEo/CFo/Coo Jimmy Gallaher 70000 0		•••		000	
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	CEO/CFO/COO Jimmy Gallaher 0000					
SM	1988	CEO/CFO/COO Jimmy Gallaher 70000 0		00002			
8421 FIDELITY FED. SAV. BANK CORINTH		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Banus Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

199

1986 s toyed ment Date					
bate		1-6961	1989-2	1990-1	1990-2
Date	000	Naraging Officer James E. Davis 30000 0	Loan Officer Shirley J. Boyd 0 0	Loan Officer Shirley J. Boyd 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Loan Officer Shirley J. Boyd 18200 0 0
		02/08/80			
ddate	000	Loan Officer Shirley J. Boyd 18200 0			
Date					000

200



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

8583	MORIZON FINANCIAL, FA SOUTHANPTON	đ	Region: EASTERN	Con Date: 06/08/89	Net Assets:	1,931,758
ļ		1988	1980-1	1989-2	1-0661	1990-2
	ilary mefits mus	Chairmen & CEO Peter J. Farmer 0	Chairman & CEO Peter J. Farmer 300000 173 0	Chairman & CEO Peter J. Farmer 1773 1773	Chairman & CEO Peter J. Farmer 30000 1/73	
zž	LC Employed splacement Date	04/09/90			06/00/70	
	tlary metits sous splacement Date		Pres. James N. Kramer 2010 1210	Pres. James R. Kramer 20000 1719 1719	Fres. Kramer James N. Kramer 20000 120	Pres. James M. Kramer 20000 1218 1218
	alary alary aus aus te faployed placement Date	vice Chairman of Board Gregor F. Mayer O	d vice chairman of Board Gregor F. Wayer 155000 0 0	d Vice Chairman of Board Greev F. Neve 1000 100	Vice Chairman of Board Gregor F. Merer 1008 1008	Vice Chairman of Board Gregor F. Neyer 1908 1008

6 IMANCIAL FA



203

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



293, 188	1990-2		sr. vp/cf0 bougles Johnson 0 0 0	sr. vP/Oper/Adm. David Litton 60000 0
Wet Assets:	1990-1	President/CE0 Sutart Chesi ey 159000 0 0 0 01/31/90	sr. vp/cF0 bougles Johnson 7600 0	sr. vp/oper/Adm. bavid Litton 6000 0 0
Con Date: 09/21/89	1989-2	President/CE0 Stuart Chesity 159000 0	sr. ve/cF0 bougitas Johnson 0 0 0 0	sr. vp/oper/Adm. Bavid Litton 57000 0
egion: SOUTHWEST	1989-1	President/CE0 Stuart Chesity 159000 0 0	sr. ve/cfo bougles Johnson 2000 0 0	sr. ve/oper/Adim. David Litton 57000 0
SSOC., F.A. TX	1988	President/CEO Stuart Chesley 167000 0	sr. vP/CF0 bougt as Johnson 16700 0	sr. VP/Oper/Admn. David Litton
8589 EAST TEXAS S&L A TYLER		Title Name Balary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	litte Mame Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Salary Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

٦

.

	-				
1986	1989-1	1989 - 2	1990-1	1990-2	
Chairmen Richard Collier 402000 12000	Chairmen Richard Collier 250000 0	President Nike Porter 174900 0	Exec. vp James Fatheree 182956 0		000
	05/12/89	10/13/89	06/10/10 06/10/10		
President Paul Yates 402800 12000	President Paul Tates 20000 0	Eace. VP Jean Farely 159000 0	•••		000
	04/29/89	11/08/89			
Exec. VP James Fatheree 10600 10600	Exec. VP James Fatheree 0	Exec. VP James Fatheree 0 0			000

I

85000 6000 26000 26000 000 President Fred L. White 1990-2 Controller New Hire 105'91 26000 0 0 85000 6000 000 Net Assets: President Fred L. White 1990-1 Controller New Hire 85000 6000 24000 24000 000 President Fred L. White Con Date: 08/17/89 1989-2 Controller New hire 85000 8006 800 31500 4900 800 37500 2400 800 08/17/89 08/17/89 Controller Curtis Wunschel President Fred L. White Loan Officer William Brown 1989-1 Region: SOUTHWEST ... 000 000 Controller Curtis Nunschel President Fred L. White Loan Officer William Brown ž 1988 SOUTHSIDE FED S&L ASSOC. AUSTIN RIC Employed Replacement Date RIC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date Title Name Salary Benus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus 84.98

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

	1			
25,420	1990-2	President Maxine 1. Short 2000 2000 0	VP/REO Coordinator George R. Mary 30000 1000 0	Asst. Treasurer Vanda K. Davis 2000 1000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Maxime T. Short 2000 2000 0	VP/REO Coordinator George R. Marry 1000 1000	Asst. Treasurer Vanda K. Davis 2000 1000 0
Con Date: 08/17/89	1989- 2	President Maxime 1. Short 2000 2000	VP/REO Coordinator George R. Marcy 1000 1000	Asst. Treasurer Varda K. Davis 2000 1000 0
Region: SOUTMNEST	1989-1	President Maxine T. Short 38000 2000 0	VP/REO Coordinator George R. Marcy 24000 1000	Asst. Tressurer Varda K. Davis 2000 1000 0
SW., F.A. 1X	1988	President Nasine T. Short 0 0	vP/REO Coordinator George R. Marcy 0	Asst. Treasurer Varida K. Davis 0 0
8597 GUADALUPE S&L ASS KERRVILLE		Title Mane Benefits Benefits Borus RTC Employed RCplacement Date	Title Mane Benciits Benciits Borus Ric Employed Ricpla.cment Date	Title Name Beneitis Beneitis Roloyed Replacement Date

ı

Digitized by Google

		030		000		•••	
124,824	1990-2						
sets:	-	•••					
Net As	0661						
60				000			
Con Date: 07/27,	1989-2						
egion: SOUTHNEST	1-6861	Chairmen Jerry Stiles 120000 3000 0	07/31/69	VP/Interim Loans James McClure 101000 4000 0	09/30/89	VP/CF0/Treasurer Steve Curts 90000 20000 2000	08/31/89
æ		000 e		m Loans Lure 0 0		ts 000000000000000000000000000000000000	
, FA 1X	1988	Chairmen Jerry Sti		VP/Interi James McC		VP/CF0/Tr Steve Cur	
MALLMARK S&L ASSOC. Pland		lary nefits nus	C Employed placement Date	lary nefits nus	C Employed placement Date	tary refits Nus	c Employed placement Date
8600		Title Name Sal Ber Bor	R	Title Name Sal Ber	RIC	Title Name Sal Ber Bor	R

209
3601 MORTH AMERICA SAN ANTONIO	i FSA TX	Region: SOUTHNEST	Con Date: 07/27/89	Net Assets:	68,553
	1988	1989-1	1989-2	1990-1	2-0661
litle Name Benefits Bonus	President Gary L. Stehle 50 0 0	President Garry L. Stehle 700 0	vice Fresident Jerry Richter 33000 0 0	Vice President Jerry Richter 33000 0	vice President Jerry Richter 33000 0
RIC Employed Replacement Date		08/11/89			
1111e Name Selary Benefits Bonus Replacement Date Replacement Date	vice President Jerry Richter 7500 00	Vice President Jerry Richter 000	VP/ Controller Naggie Escoto 36000 0 0	VP/Controller Naggie Escoto 36000 0 0 0	vp/Control Ler Robin Kul ick 32000 0 0
Title Mane Benefits Benus Ric Employed Replacement Date	ve/controller Joe Renfroe 3500 0 0	vp/Control Ler Joe Kenfroe 35000 0 0			

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA .

		72000					
50,631	1990-2	COO Keith Klein					
sets:		in 72000				000	
Net As	1990-	COO Keith Kle					
68/	~	- 25000 0000		•••		000	
Con Date: 03/02	1989-	Coo Keith Klei		- - -			
	9-1	ein 55000 0		ident Wright 100000 0 0	08/31/89). Carlyon 85000 1650 0	07/31/89
Region: SOUTHMEST	198	COO Keith Kl		CEO/Pres James T.		Chairman Richard	
		tin 45000 4500 500		dent Vright 45000 0 0		. Carlyon 100000 8250 5000	
2	1988	COO Keith Kle		CEO/Presi James T.		Chairmen Richard D	
MISSION SA OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO		alary enefits Xnus	IC Employed eplacement Date	slary anefits Anus	IC Employed splacement Date	slary enefits mus	lc Employed splacement Date
8480		Title Name Sa Bo Bo	22	Title Name Be Bo Bo	R 8	Title Name Sa Be Bo	8 1 8

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

211

17,029	1990-2	resident/CCO An F. Perroso 73000 5000 0		
Net Assets:	1990-1	President/CEO John F. Perrose 73700 7000 00	5r. vp/cf0 John Manson 5000 0 0 0,4/06/90	Sr. vp./ Sr. Loan Officer Christiana Durcan 5000 11/10/90
m Date: 06/29/89	1989-2	President/CE0 John F. Perrose 73000 00	sr. vp/cf0 John Nanson 7000 900	Sr. v. / Sr. Loan Officer Christiana Durten 5000 5000 0
gion: NESTERN Co	1-080-1	President/CE0 John F. Perrose 2000 700	sr. ve/cF0 John Namson 5000 5000 5000	sr. VP./ Sr. Loan Officer Christians burcan 5000 5000 0
sål ASSM. CA Re	1986	President/CE0 John F. Perrose 2000 7000 0	5r. vp/cf0 John Nanson 88000 5000 0	sr. ve./ sr. Loan Officer Christians Ducen 5000 5000 0
8564 THE GUARDIAN FED S Bakersfield		litte Name Salary Beneiis Beneits RTC Employed RCC Employed RCC accent Date	1111e Mane ary Salary Bonus RTC Employed RPI accment Date	iitte Nace Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

212

	<u> </u>				1
69,427	1990-2	CF0/VP John Mitchell 5000 3000		vP/Loans Roger Sullivan 48000 3000 0	•••
Net Assets:	1990-1	CFO/VP John Mitchell 3000 3000		vP/Loans Roger Sullivan 48000 3000	
Con Date: 11/16/89	1989-2	CF0/VP John Mitchell 55000 3000 0		VP/Loans Roger Sullivan (8000 3000 0	
Region: WESTERN	1989-1	CEO/President Villiam Verant 116000 5000 500	12/08/69	cro/ve John Mitchell 55000 3000 0 0	VP/Loans Roger Sullivan 300 300
- SAVINGS ASSOCIATION CA	1988	CEO/President Villiam Verant 0		CFO/VP John Mitchell 0 0	VP/Loans Roger Suiliven 0 0
B625 SECURITY FED. GARDEN GROVE		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	ritte Mante Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

				800	000
24,289	2 .	President/CEO Peter Nichellni 4800		Sr. W/Controller Tammy McBride 2000	
Net Assets:	1-0661	President/CEO Peter Michellni 4000 0		Sr. vP/Controller Taamy AcBride 2000 0	
Con Date: 04/06/89	1989-2	President/CEO Peter Michellini 48000 0		Sr. VP/Controller 1ammy Rc.Bride 000	•••
Region: WESTERN	1-689-1	President/CEO villiam uright 0000 0	02/28/89	sr. vp Peter Michellni 44000 0	sr. vp/control ler John Conley 34000 12/31/89
5	1988	President/CEO villiam vright 6000 0		sr. vp Peter Michellni 0000 0	sr. vr/Control Ler John Conley 3400 0
ROYAL OAK SÅL ASSOC. MANTECA		alary enefits onus If Fanloved	eplacement Date	alary encitis ouus CE Employed splacement Date	alary merits cmus FC Employed splacement Date
8332	1	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	ă	Nume Sa Bc RT RT RC	Hame Sci Be Be R

	_					
734	1990-2			000	000	
Net Assets: 18,	1990-1	CFO/CEO Curtis Fitzwater 5000 0	03/02/90			
Date: 04/06/89	1989-2	CF0/CE0 Curtis Fitzwater 5000 0		000	000	
ijon: WESTERN Con	1-6861	CEO DAVID A. MEYER 56000 0	04/24/89	CFO CURTIS FITZWATER CURTIS FITZWATER 4200 0 0	•••	
soc. ca Reg	1988	CEO David A. MEYER 0 0		CFO CURTIS FITZWATER 0 0	000	
B334 CITY FEDERAL S&L AS OAKLAND		Title Mane Salary Bonus Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bene its Bonus RTC Employed RPI Cement Date	litte Nome Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RPC Cement Date	

935	1990 - 2			000		aci vega 0 0	
sets: 135,9	0-1	800		, 000			
NCL A.	1990	000		000		000	
Con Date: 04/06/89	1989.2						
Region: UESTERN	1-6861	Controller/VP Ellen Sunio 5000 5000	09/22/89	VP/Auditor Robert Johnson 63000 15000	09/22/89	CFO Michael Vega 70000 18000	09/22/89
av. fsa ca	1988	Control Ler/VP Ellen Sunio 0		VP/Auditor Robert Johnson 0		CFO Michael vega 0 0	
FIRST CALIFORNIA SA ORANGE		slary mefits xrus	IC Employed eplacement Date	alary enefits onus	IC Employed eplacement Date	alary enefits onus	IC Employed eplacement Date

104,630	1990-2	cFo Reymond Au 75000 0		Savings Adm. Mary Theresa Muniz 5600 0		Controller Fernando Banaria 0000 0	
Net Assets:	1990-1	CFO Reymond Au 75000 0		Savings Adm. Mary Theresa Muniz 56000 0		Controller Fernando Banaria 50000 0	
Con Date: 11/09/89	1989-2	CFO Raymond Au 75000 0		000		000	
Region: WESTERN	1989-1	CHAIRMAN Jess Roorigues 120000 3027 0	11/09/89	PRESIDENT/CEO WARREN MCDOMALD 9020 3027 0	11/09/89	VICE PRESIDENT/CFO DONNA FLEISCHWAM 48000 3027 0	11/09/89
5	1988	CHAIRMAN JESS RODRIGUES 120000 2890 0		PRESIDENT/CEO WARREN MCDOMALD 120000 2890 0		VICE PRESIDENT/CFO DOWNA FLEISCHWAN 42000 2890 2890	
8618 SARATOGA FS&LA SAN JOSE		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Rcplacement Date	Title Name Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Balary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

-

CEO/Chief Adm. Officer Peggy J. Fish ... 000 i I í 1990 2 69, 352 ļ CEO/Chief Admn. Officer Peggy J. Fish 24000 24000 000 000 Net Assets: 1990-1 CEO/Chief Admn. Officer Peggy J. Fish 2000 2000 000 000 Con Date: 04/06/89 1989-2 CEO (Consultant) S. Thomas Cleveland 35000 Chief Lending Officer David Lacy 39000 2000 00 Chief Adm. Officer Peggy J. Fish 08/31/89 05/31/89 1-6861 Region: MESIERN 1/cu CEO (Consultant) S. Thomas Cleveland S2000 2000 2000 2000 Chief Lending Officer David Lacy 2000 2000 Chief Admn. Officer Peggy J. Fish 5 WASHINGTON S&L ASSOC. STUCKTON RIC Employed Replacement Date RIC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date 1111e N.M.Salary Benefits Benus | Title N.me Salary Benus Salary Benefits Bonus Title H 327

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

		000		000	•		
31,770	1990-2	000		000		000	
Net Assets:	1990-1						
6/89		000		000		000	
Con Date: 04/0	1989						
Region: WESTERN	1989-1	President Gary N. Bradford 0 0	04/10/89	President Daniel P. Bellerue 000	08/04/89	Sr. VP Stanley D. Kirst 0 0	08/15/89
Ċ	1986	President Gary W. Bradford 150000 0 0		President Daniel P. Bellerue 150000 0		Sr. VP Stanley D. Kirst 0 0	
8527 CITY S&L ASSOC. WESILAKE VILLA		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

30-239 0 - 90 - 8

219

8562 WESTCO SAVINGS BANK, Milmington	FSB CA	Region: NESTERN	Con Date: 04/27/89	Net Assets:	139,339
	1988	1989-1	1989-2	1:0061	1990-2
Title Name Salary Bonus Bonus	CED/President Anne Bacon 0	CEO/President Anne Becon 115000 0	CFO/CEC/President Mary K. Willis 75000 9000 19000	CFO/CEO/President Mark K. Villis 75000 9000	CFO/CEO/President Mary K. Willis 75000 19000
RTC Employed Replacement Date		69/11/60			
Title Name Benefits Bonus	CFO/President Mary K. Willis 0	CFO/President Nary K. Willis 7500 9000	VP/Loans VP/Loans Richard Trujillo 1600 1600	VP/Lowns Richard Trujillo 70000 16000 16000	
RIC Employed Replacement Date				01/12/90	
litte Kume Salary Benefits Benus REC Employed Reclarement Oyed Reclarement Oyed	VP/Loans Richard Trujillo	VP/Loans Richard Trujillo 16000 16000			000

111,878	1990-2	Exec. VP Michael Quinlan 6329 6320		•••		000	
Net Assets:	1990-1	Exec. VP Michael Quinlan 80000 6329 0				000	
Con Date: 11/09/89	1989-2	Exec. VP Michael Quinlan 80000 554				000	
egion: NESTERN	. 1989-1	First Vice Chairman Walter Cooper 152000 18246 2884	11/17/89	President William Jones 90000 9889 0	11/14/89	Executive Vice Presiden Michael Quintan 8000 5547 0	
NK F.S.B CO R	1988	First Vice Chairman Walter Cooper 0 0		President William Jones		Executive Vice President Michael Ouinlan 0 0	
B660 ASPEN SAVINGS BA ASPEN		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Selary Bonus Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

	2	Nansper 16 16 10 10 10 10	Manager .ott 65000 0 0	000
395,675	1990-	Sr. Asset Steven Rig	Sr. Asset Stephen Sc	
Net Assets:	1990-1	Sr. Asset Namager Steven Nigg B000 000	Sr. Asset Manager Srephen Scott 55000 0	
Con Date: 03/09/ 89	1989-2	Sr. Asset Namaper Steven Niga 86000 2000 2000	Sr. Asset Manager Srephen Scott B5000 2000 200	
Region: WESTERN	1-989-1	MESIDENT/CEO CMARLES STEPHENS 115000 12000 0 07/31/89	ASSET MAMAGER(SENIOR) STEVEN RIGG B6000 2000 2000	ASSET MANGER(SEHLOR) STEPMEN SCOTT 8000 2000 2000
8	1966	PRESIDENT/CEO CMALLES SIEPRENS 17000 17000	ASSET NANAGER(SENTOR) STEVEN RIGG 115000 0000 0000	ASET MUNGER(SENTOR) SIEPHEN SCOTT 83000 4000 4000
8529 OTERO SAL ASSOC. COLORADO SPRINGS		Title Mane Benefits Benefits REC Employed RFC Employed Replacement Date	litte Mame Salary Salary Bonus RIC Esployed Replacement Date	1116 Ause Mase Mase Mase Mase Mase Mase Mase Ma

222

7

WESTERN S&L ASSOC, FA PHOENIX	AZ	Region: WESTERN	Con Date: 06/14/89	Nel Assets:	4,221,276
	1988	1-6861	1989-2	1990-1	1990-2
	CEO Robert W. Stallings 0 0	CEO Robert W. Stallings 350000 350000 350000	CEO Robert W. Stallings 350000 350000 350000	CEO Robert W. Stallings 350000 8000 0000	Pres/COO Gary Miller 200000 16000
red 1t Date				02/09/90	
	President/COO Gary Miller 20000 0	President/COO Gary Miller 200000 14000	President/COO Gary Miller 200000 14000	President/COO Gary Miller 200000 16000	Special Projects Clark Cederlof 10000 1000
red nt Date					
	Special Projects Clark Cederlof 176000 21000 0	Special Projects Clark Cederlof 17600 21000 21000 0	Special Projects Clark Cederlof 10000 0	Special Projects Clark Cederlof 10000 10000	
red it Date					

re or	SIERRA SÅL ASSOC.,FA BEVERLY MILLS	C	Region: WESTERN	Con Date: 07/20/89	Net Assets:	34,596
ł		1968	1-6961	1989-2	1990-1	1990-2
	lary nefits nus	President/CEO George Male 0	President/CEO George Male 120000 12000 0	Controller/VP Claire F. Starrett 51247 3900	Controller/VP Claire F. Starrett 5155 3555 0	Controller/VP Claire F. Starrett 61247 3555 0
22	E Employed placement Date		10/31/89			
Ber e	ery stits vs	Controller/VP Claire F. Starrett D	Controller/VP Claire F. Starret 5127 3030	Personnel Adm Sharon Pomeraniz 3310 3010	Personnel Admn. Sharon Pomerantz 5555 000	Personnel Admn. Sharon Pomerantz 5555 5555 0
	E Employed placement Date					
Bar e	erry seffits us	Personnel Adm. Sharon Pomerantz	Personnel Adm. Sharan Poletaniz 14400 20			000
E R	C Employed placement Date					

224

	_			the second se
67,264	1990-2	SENIOR UP/FINNCE (CFO) NOBLE CHANG 73000 2000	SVP/MGEA. SPECIAL ASSEIS GEORGIA WARD 61000 2000 2000	SVP/OPERATIONS RICHARD D. MULLIKER 27000 2000
Net Assets:	1990-1	SENIOR VP/FINANCE NOBLE CHANG. 65000 2000 2000	SVP/MMAG.SPECIAL ASSETS GEORGIA WARD 61000 2000 2000	SVP/OFERATIONS RICHARD D. MULLINE RICHARD D. MULLINE 2000 2000 0
Con Date: 04/06/89	1989-2	SENTOR VP/FINANCE (CFO) NOBLE CHANG 68000 2000 0 0	SVP/MGR SPECIAL ASSETS GEORGIA WIND 61000 2000 0	SVP/OPERATIONS RICHARD D. MULINER 57000 2000 0
gion: WESTERN	1989-1	SENICA VP/FINANCE NOBLE CHANG 2000 2000 0	SVP/MGR SPECIAL ASSETS GEORGIA MARD 2000 2000 2000	SVP/OPERATIONS RICHARD D. MULLINER 2000 2000
R	1988	SENIOR VP/FINANCE/CF0 NOBLE CHANG 63000 2000 2000	SVP/MAMG.SPECIAL ASSETS GEORGIA MARD 63000 2000 2000	SVP/OFERATIONS RICHARD D. MULLINER
B326 GATEWAY FED SAVINGS BAN SAN FRANCISCO		iile Hame Salary Beneiis Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Ame Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	itte Name Salary Bans Bons RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

,220	1990-2		000	000
Net Assets: 51,	1900-1	President/CE0 Keith Carson 10000 0 0 0 03/09/90		000
Con Date: 04/06/89	1969-2	President/CE0 Keith Carson 100000 1000 0		
legion: NESTERN	1-6861	President/CE0 Keith Carson 10000 1000	VP/CFO Walter Reicherbacher 7000 0 0 07/25/89	vP.CL0 E. Dale varing 77000 0 0 06/20/69
INCS BANK CA	1988	President/CE0 Keith Carson 100000 1000 0 0	vP/CF0 Valter Reicherbacher 1000 0	ve/clo E. Dale varing 67000 0
RSS3 CABRILLO FED SAV		Title Benciits Benciits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benciis Benciis Ric Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Bendits Bendits Bonus RTE Employed Replecement Date

226

8525	AMERICAN SAV. OF COLO. COLORADO SPRINGS	A FS&LA CO	Region: WESTERN	Con Date: 04/06/89	Net Assets:	681,461	
		1988	1989-1	1989-2	1990-1	1990-2	
Title Name Sal Ben Bon	ary efits us	Chairman Paul Clarkin 155000 0	Chairman Paul Clarkin 155000 0	Exec. VP Keith Key 80000 7282 15000	Exec. VP Keith Key 2482 0	Exec. VP Keith Key 90250 2482	1
RTC	: Employed Macement Date		09/28/89				
		Bandidane		9	•		1
Name Name Sal Ben Bon	ary efits us	President Bruce Wellens 0 0	President Bruce Wellens 0 0	Lact. VP Jerry Anderson 70000 6905 20000	Exec. VP Jerry Anderson 2105 0	Exec. VP Jerry Anderson 2105 2105 0	
RTC	: Employed elacement Date		09/28/89				
Title Name Sal Ben	ary efits	CEO Tom Lemley 117118	CEO Tom Lenley 117118 0	Exec. VP Lee Kennedy 6000 4448	Exec. VP Lee Kemedy 71250 2348	~~	
R IC Rep	Nus Employed Macement Date	-	0 12/01/89	15000	0 05/11/90	o	

227



228

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Digitized by Google

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA





232



233





Digitized by Google

235

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



236

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



237





Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

239



ور.



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

242



243





Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

245


Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



248

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA





250

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



30-239 0 - 90 - 9

251





253



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA





Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



258



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



261

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



262



Digitized by Google

PASA FIRST GAMLAND FED. S GARLAND		Rrgion: SOUTINKEST	Con Date: 09/21/89	Net Assets:	109,464
	1988	1989-1	1989-2	1990-1	1990-2
Title Mame Safary Bours Bours Bours RTC Employed RTC Employed Replacement Date	CEO/President Michael McCallum 0	teo/President Nichael McCallue 15700 1000 3000	CEO/President Michael Accalum 000	CEO/President Nichael McCallum 950 0 0	sr. vp/lamiting Hichael Claud 4500
Title Mume Benefits Benefits Baus REE Exployed RepLivement Date	sr. vp/Bank ing Nichael Cloud	sr. vp/Banking Nichael Cloud 55500 9	sr. Wfamiting Michael Cloud 54500	sr. vy/Banking Michael Claud 54500 0	sr. YP/Real Estate Sue Sparks 37250 0
Title Mame Salary Benefits Benefits Benef RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed	sr. vp/Real Esthto Sue Sparks	sr. yr/Real Estate Sue Sparks 34000 0	sr. VP/Real Estate Sue Sparks 34.000 0	sr. w/fend. Estate sue sports watch	

264



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



266



Digitized by Google



IATE CAVING: RANN Require: 1.ASTERN Con Dutre: 02/02/90 Net Accerts: 8, 212,565	1/201 1/201 1/201 1/200-1 1/200-2 Chairman/CE0 Chairman/CE0 Chairman/CE0 Chairman/CE0 Pres/Cantrust Trust David Paul 0 24/14 Paul 700000 24/14 Paul 55000 40000 0 10522 10522 10522 10522 95000 40000 0 10522 10000 310000 310000 02/15/90 400000	Sr. Exec. VP Angel Cortina 0 Angel Cortina 0 Angel Cortina 0 Angel Cortina 0 Angel Cortina 0 Angel Cortina 0 Angel Cortina 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	President President Ren Dipasquale Ren Dipa
A STATE SAVINGS RAN	1988 Chairman/CEO Duvid Paul	Sr. Excc. VP Angel Cortina	President Ron Dipasqual(
N. N. CFNTRUST BANK, MIAMI	1.111 M.m. Benréfis Benris Boruis RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Nume Bury Burysfits Burus Borus Borus Reployed Reployed	Title Name Balary Benefits Bonus RIC Employed RIC Employed RIC Employed

•

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Majisti ; Majisti ; Majisti ; Ma

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA





.ets: 117,540	1 1990-2	and 0 V-teroy Land 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5,000 6 Ken Wright 0 0 0 0	state VP/Real Estate Fell 22000 Chuck Finnell 52000 0 0 0 0
Net Acc	1990-	President/ U. Leroy L 0		00 Chuck Firm 0 0
Con Date: 03/09/89	1989.2	President/CED	CO WIGHT 510	W/Real Estate Chuck Finnell 49
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	President/CEO U. Leroy Land 6750 0	C10 Ken Vright 51000 0	V/Real Estate Cluck Firmell 40000 0
X	1988	President/CEO W. Leroy Land 0	000 1461 m 120 120	W/Real Estate Chuck Firmell
P. (20) AMERIMAT SAVINGS HEADSTON		Title Name Salary Brinfits Bouis Rt Employed Replacement Date	1 i i le Manne Salery Brouse Brouse Replarement Date Replarement Date	1 title M.M. Salary Bunsfits Bunsfits Bunsfits Rif Great Date Beplarement Date

		000		0,00	•••
84,966	1990-2	vice President Romie Peters 3		Vice President RIC Credit Spec.	
Net Assets:	1-0661	Vice President Rick Mc Cabe 44500 0	04/30/90	vice President Romie Peters 5000 0	
Can Date: 07/13/89	1989-2	Vice President Rick McCabe 44500 0			000
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	President Bob Viright 78000 0	10/30/69	vice President Rick McCabe 42500 0	vice President Irene Mohammed 40000 0 11/30/99
AV ASSN. TX	1988	Fresident Bob uright 0		Vice President Rick McCabe 0 0	vice President Irene Nohammad 0 0
R596 . CORNERSTONE FED S HOUSTON		Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Raner Benus Benus RTE Employed Replacement Date	Title Namc Benrefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date

275

1



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



.

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

277



Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



279



280

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

1

		<u>г</u>	1	1
39,433	1990-2	ceo Karl S. Funk 45000 4000 0	sr. vp Normal L. McCormick 38000 400	W/Lending Dale v. Lengefeld 2000 2000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	ceo Karl S. Furk 43000 4000 0 0	sr. vp. Norma L. Actornick 3000 400	W/Lengefeld Dale W. Lengefeld 2000 4000 0
Con Date: 04/06/89	1989-2	cto Karl S. Funk 45000 4000	sr. vp korma L. McCormick 18000 4000	W/Lending Dale V. Langeleid 2000 4000 0
iegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	ceo Karl S. funk (5000 4000	sr. vP Norms L. McCormick 8000 4000	VP/Lending Date V. Langefeld 28000 4000 0
ILL ILL	1988	ceo Karl S. Funk 0 0 0	Sr. VP Norma L. McCormick	vP/Lending Dale v. Langefeld 0
PASA FIRST FED. SAVINGS BA Fast Alion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	litte Name Salary Benefits Benes RTC Employed RTC Employed RTPlacement Date	litte Rann Bany Benniits Bonus Rrg Employed Rrg Employed Rrgin cuncut Date	Title Name Salary Bennei its Bennei its RIC Employed Replacement Date
RIC Employed Replacement Date

01/31/90



30-239 0 - 90 - 10

Digitized by Google

283

, c



Digitized by Google



PLUS SUM SAVINGS ASSOC., F.A. KANSAS CITY	ĸs	Region: CENTRAL	Con Date: 02/17/89	Net Assets:	135,486	
	1988	1989-1	1989 - 2	1990-1	1990-2	
Titte Mume Salary Benefits Beners	President James F. Roy C	President James F. Roy 5000 0	President James F. Roy 5000 5000	President James F. Roy 58000 5000	President James F. Roy 50000 5000	
RIC Employed Replatement Date						
Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits	Sr. VP/Finance William Melcher	Sr. VY/finance William Netcher 48000 3000 3000	•••	•••		
RIC Employed Replacement Date		08/18/00		-		
Title Manc Biery Bierlits Bonis	Sr. vP/Lending Gary J. Wyss	Sr. VP/Lending Gary J. Vyss 4000 000				
RIC Employed Replacement Date		10/09/89				

Asets: 40,342	200-1 1990-2	•••	lbott 5000 Ron Talbott 65000 0 0 0	itter 33000 Dave Walter 33000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
m Date: 03/02/89	1989-2 19	000	Cf0 Ron Talbott 55000 Ron Tall 0	VP V
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Brad Forsyth 75000 0 0 0 1	cto Ron Talbott 55000 0	VP Dave Malter 27000
HE BARBER COUNTY S&L ASSN. Dicine lodge xS	8861	President Brad Forsyth Its mployed commut Date	tis tis coment Date	ve valter Dave valter
R 3888 M		Title Name Berref Burus RTC E RTC E	1 i t l e Name Salar Bennef Bonus RTC E RTC E	Title Name Salar Benef Bonus

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA .



288

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



289

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



290

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Digitized by Google

291

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



293

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA





Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA





299

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



301



1

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



303

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



71000 2000 41000 00 38000 00 President/CEO Larry Q. Farmer VP Leon Pritchard 1990-2 Controller Debbie Bush 49,745 vp Leon Pritchard 41000 0 2000 2000 38000 President/CEO Larry Q. Farmer Net Assets: 1990-1 Controller Debbie Bush President/CE0 Larry Q. Farmer 2000 2000 Ver Pringer 41000 38000 Con Date: 10/05/89 Controller Debbie Bush 1989-2 vp Leon Pritchard 41000 0 71000 2000 49500 49500 11/01/89 President/CEO Larry Q. Farmer 1989-1 Controller John Ray Region: SOUTHWEST 000 President/CEO Larry Q. Farmer VP Leon Pritchard Controller John Ray ð 1988 FAMILY SAVING BANK, F.S.B. SAPULPA RIC Employed Replacement Date RIC Employed Replacement Date RIC Employed Replacement Date -----Title Name Benefits Borus Title Name Benefits Bonus Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name 8446

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



.... 000 ... ٠ 1990-2 152,392 Exec. VP William P. Butler 68000 0 i 1 0000 0000 0000 60083 03/13/90 05/04/90 01/10/90 Exec. VP Dale E. Kimble Net Assets: VP C. T. Nowell 1-0661 Exec. VP set and the second se 0000 50000 0000 5000 Exec. VP Dale E. Kimble Con Date: 03/16/89 VP C. T. Howell 1989-2 Exec. VP William P. Butler 2000 2000 58000 2000 0000 5000 ż Exec. VP Dale E. Kimble VP C. T. Howell 1989-1 Region: SOUTHWEST Exec. VP William P. Butler 4000 4000 0002 0002 00007 20007 Exec. VP Dale E. Kimble VP C. T. Howell č **196** SPINDLETOP SAV. ASSN, F.A. REAUMONI RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date -----Salary Benefits Bonus Salary Benefits Bonus Salary Benefits Bonus ł Title Nume Title Name Title 84.71

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

307

1,040,267	1990-2		000	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	President/CE0 letion Byrres 228000 0 0 03/16/90	cLo/coo/EvP Richard Narper 0 0 03/16/90	sve/Loen Production Summin Johnson 64000 0 0 0 0 03/30/90
con Date: 03/16/90	1989-2	Pres Ident/CEO Najan Byrnes 22000 0 0	ctor/con/experiment Richard Marper 120000 0 0	sre/Lear Production Suman Johnson 51000 0 0
gion: NESTERN	1-0001	President/CEO Nel en Byrres 220000 0 0	ctor/coo/eve Richard Marper 0 0	sve/Lean Production Supan Johnson 51000 0 0
a La of Averica Ca	1968	President/CE0 Relen Byrres 20000 0	cto/cco/teve Richard Marper 200000 0	svp/Loan Production Susan Johnson 6,000 0 0
BB30 PACIFIC COAST S		Title Manual Salary Bonnefits Bonne RTC Exployed RTC Exployed RTC Exployed	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Exployed RTC Exployed RTC Exployed	Title Manual Salary Bonafits Bonafits RTC Exployed Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

308

,

,

Digitized by Google

996*26	1990-2	Pres./CEO/Chairmen Ben S. Katz 48000 1000 1000	VP Glen Meeville 43000 1000	45000 1000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres./CEO/Chairmen Ben S. Karz 6000 6000	sr. vp W. Paul Ledford, Jr. 65000 2000 0 0 01/16/90	vp Gien Meaville 60000 5000 0
Con Date: 01/11/90	1989-2	Pres. /CEC/Chairmen Ben S. Katz 68000 9000 6000	sr. vp W. Paul Ledford, Jr. 5300 2000 2000	VP Glen Meaville 60000 5000 0
Region: EASTERN	1-661	Pres./CEO/Chairen Ben S. Katz 50000 9000 6000	sr. ve u. Paul Ledford, Jr. 5300 2000 0 0	VP Glen Neaville 60000 5000 0
FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK LA	1986	Pres ./CEO/Chairmen Ben S. Katz 50000 9000 6000	sr. vp V. Paul Lectord Jr. 5000 2000	vp Glen Neaville 60000 5000 0
8831 DEPOSIT TRUST I MONROE		Title Hame Benefits Bonus RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Name Benefits Benefits Benefits Replecement Date Replecement Date	Title Name Benefits Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date

309

۰.

65,530	1990-2	000	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT KENNETN P UTTE 48000 9000	VICE PRESIDENT-CONTRLLER
Net Assets:	1-0661	MERIACA MERT JOESH C. COREFT JOESH C. COREFT MOD 0 0 0 0 0, /20/90	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT KENNETN P UTTE 40000 0 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT-CONTRLLER' N SCOTT ANTES 32000 3000 0 0
n Date: 04/20/90	1989-2	MEESIDENT/CEO JOESPH C. CONNETT 00	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT REMIETIN P VITTE 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT-CONTRILER
on: EÀSTERN Co	1989-1	MESIDENT/CEO JOESMI C. COMBETT D	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT KENNETH P UITTE 0 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT-CONTRLIER N. SCOTT BATES 0 0
L, F.S.A. FL Regi	1968	MEESIDENI/ICEO JOESSM C. COMBETT 0 0	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT KEMMETH P VITTE 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT - CONTRLLER
BO J9 ENTERPRISE FEDERAL CLEARMATER		Title kans stary benefits bons RTC Employed kgliecement Date	Title kans Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title kan Salary Sanafits bonus RTC Employed Roplecement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Digitized by Google

	0-2			authoff 52500 0
187,366	ě			Exec. V Thomas 1
Net Assets:	1990-1	President/(E6 John J. Nittland 111000 0 0 0 0,/15/90		Exec. VP Thomes Seuthoff 55500 0
Con Date: 03/16/90	1989-2	President/CEO John J. Williard 111000 0	Exec. VP J. Richard Gree 48000 8000 12/31/89	Exec. VP Thomas Southoff 70000 3000 3000
tegion: WESTERN	1989-1	President/CEO John J. Williard 11000 11000 0	Exec. VP J. Richard Grean 48000 8000 8000	Exec. VP Thomes Sauthoff 70000 3000 3000
FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK CO	1986	President/CEO John J. Nilliard 0 0	Exec. VP J. Richard Grear 7500 1500	Exec. VP Thomas Sauthoff 7000 1000 1000
8844 FIRST AMERICA H LONGHONT		Title Manuelle Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	iitle Mans Balary Bansits Bansits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits Reployed Replocement Date

۰.

081,983	1990-2	Exec. vP/CB0 75000 0000	Exec. VP/Finance Sanders 70000 0	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	Exec. VP/CBD F1993 6000 0	Exec. vp/finance Sanders 70000 0	
Con Date: 03/09/89	1989-2	President/CE0 Hill Is 250000 0 0 0 0 0	Eiec. VP.CBD R1993 75000 6000 0	Exec. VP/Finance Sanders 70000 0
tegion: SOUTMLEST	1-0901	President/CE0 01xcm 250000 0 0 02/15/99	Exec. VP.CBO Duffey. 153000 0 0 00(30/89	Exec. VP/Finance Sanders 70000 0
- ×	1968	Pres Ident/CE0 Ross 300000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1/15/88	Exec. VP. CB0 Duffey 30000 0 0	Exec. VP/Finance Sanders 145000 0
8460 BANCPLUS SAVINGS ASSO PASADENA		Title Mans Salary Banefits Bane RFC Employed Replecement Date	Title Mans Sulary Borns Borns RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Bonsfits Bons RTC Employed Replecement Date

538,973	1990-2	President/COD/CEO Roger D. Narrison 11700 0	Exec. VP Steven L. Pritchard 117000 0	000 ·
Net Assets:	1990-1	President/CCO(CED Roger D. Marrison 117000 0	Exec. VP Steven L. Pritchard 117000 0	
Con Date: 03/02/89	1989-2	President/COD Roger D. Narrison 11700 0	Exec. VP Steven L. Prichard 117000 0	
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	Chairman/CE0 John C. Kerr 170000 0 0 03/17/89	President/000 Roger D. Harrison 11014 1014	Exec. VP Steven L. Pritchard 17700 0
ос. ТX	1988	Chairman/CEO John C. Kerr 1740 0	President/COO Roger D. Harrison 17000 0 0	Exec. VP Steven L. Pritchard 117000 2406 0
8461 COMMERCE SAVINGS ASSI SAN ANTONIO		Title Name Salary Bonnsits Bonnsits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RfC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Mans Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

-

Digitized by Google

-

313

•

				000
367,226	1990-2	Chairmen Craig Mall		
Net Assets:	1-0661	Chairmen Craig Mail		
6/80	-2	•••	•••	•••
Con Date: 04/0	196	Chairman Craig Mai		
MLEST	1989-1	airean aig Mail 3000 0	0 th Mooney 140000 2000 0 0 0 0,20/89	essurer Koore bert A. Koore 3000 0 0 00(22/89
Region: SOUT		τ. 	32 2	220
1X	1966	1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200	h Mooney 12500	Burer A. Moore 7500 7500 300
SOURCE SAVINGS ASSOC.		Chai ta ployed seent Date	tta piloyed sette	tra Roby Ployed ament Date
84.62 DE		Title Kame Salery Borus RTC En Replac	Title Manual Bonus Replac	Title Name Salary Bonus RTC En RTC En

314

.

Digitized by Google

•

528,939	1990-2	President/CE0 Barry L. Brock 33000 0 0	Exec. W/CFO Ed Valance 55000 0 0	sr. vv/chief Bkng. Office Joe B. Eannes 5500 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	President/CE0 Barry L. Brock 10000 0 0	Exec. VP/CFO William L. Mite 55000 0 0 0 0 0 0	sr. vp/chief Bkng. Office Joe B. Eanes 87000 0 0
n Date: 03/02/89	1989-2	President/CE0 Barry L. Brock 100000 2000 0	Exec. VP/CFO William L. White 78000 2000	Sr. YP/Chief Bkng. Office Joe B. Eanes 87000 1000 0
on: southkest	1989-1	President/CEO Michael J. Minihan 2000 1000 11/30/89	Exec. VP/CFO Michael A. Trahan 25000 0 07/31/89	sr. vP/Chief Bkng. Office Joe B. Eanes 85000 1000 0 0
TX	1988	President/CEO Michael J. Minthan 2000 0	Exec. VP/CFO Michael A. Trahan 21000 21000	sr. vp/chief Bkng. Office Joe B. Eannes 85000 1000 1000
8463 ALAMD FSA OF TEXAS San Antonio		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Ę

8464 MERITRANC SAVINGS ASSOC. Houston	×	Region: SOUTMEST	Con Date: 03/16/89	Net Assets:	200, 721
	1986	1-6861	1989-2	1990-1	1990-2
Title Lease Sulary Banefits Bane Ric Balloyed Replecement Date	Free/CEO Tom M. Muck	Pres/CE0 Tom M. Nack 0 0	Pres/CE0 1 din 14. Maeck 0000001	Pres/CED Tea N. Neck 110000 0 0 0	President/CED Tan N. Nact 110000 0
Title Maars Salary Bonafits Bonas RTC Employed RPC Employed RPC Employed	sr. ve/Retell Banking Kurt Otte 0 0 0	sr. vp/Retail Benkin Kurt Otte 0 0 0	 Sr. wy/steil Banking Kurt Otte 7000 0 	sr. Wyketell Banking Kurt Otte 78000 0 0	sr. ve/hatail Benking Kurt Otta 78000 0 0
Title Kaas Salary Benefits Benefits Ronus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Sr. VP Charles Matts	Sr. Ve Charles Matta 56700 0	sr. ve Charles watts 55700 0	sr. vp Charles watts 56700 0	s. VP Charles Matts 56700 0

.

189,446	1990-2	President/CE0 John V. Ballard 1000 1000		Sr. VP/ CFO Margaret Sanford 60000 1000		000	
Net Assets:	1990-1	President/CEO John W. Ballard 1000 00	¥.	sr. vP/CFO Margaret Sanford 1000 1000 0		000	
on Date: 03/09/89	2-6861	CEO/President John W. Ballard 1000 1000		SR. VP/CFO Margaret Sanford 1000 1000			
gion: southwest c	1989-1	President/CEO (Acting) J. D. Pierce 62000 0	04/03/89	President/CEO John V. Ballard 1000 1000		Sr. VP/CFO Margaret Sanford 1000 1000	
F BRAZORIA Re	1988	President/CE0 Devid P. Danheim 5000 1000	02/15/89	President/CE0 (Acting) J.D. Pierce 85000 0		Sr. VP/CFO Margaret Sanford 60000 1000	
8465 AMERICAN S & LA O Lake Jackson		Title Name Bary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Menne Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

ļ
236,995	2-0661	cro Michael T. Gould 522 0	Chief Lending Officer Fred L. Ripperco 7332 7332 0	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	cfo Michael T. Gould 522 522	Chief Lending Officer Fred L. Ripperce 2000 2220 0	000
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	cro Nichael T. Guid 78400 596	Chief Lending Officer Fred. L. Rippeten 7596 4596	000
Region: SOUTIMEST	1-6961	CEO/Pres E. Shelton Miles 1364 0 0 03/31/89	CFO Michael T. Gould 7840 4559 0	Chief Lending Officer Fred L. Ripperce 7800 4596 4596
×	1968	cE0/Pres E. Shelton 1100000 12400 0	CF0 Michael T. Gauld 10000 300	chief Lending Officer Fred L. Rippetos 3084 3084
8466 FIRST SOUTH SAV. ASSOC. PORT NECKES		Title Haar Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Maas Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Kane Salery Benefite Bonus RTC Employed RPC Employed

163,010	1990-2	sr. vp/cF0 bon R. Etheredge 5000 300		Sr. VP James A. Martin 5000 3000		VP Virginia Broom 5000 3000 00	
Net Assets:	1-0661	sr. w/cFo Don R. Etheredge 55000 3000 000		Sr. VP James A. Martin 58000 3000 0		VP Virginia Broom 55000 3000 3000	
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	sr. vp/CF0 Don R. Etheredge 75000 3000		sr. vP James A. Martin 3000 3000		VP Virginia Broom 5000 2000 0	
tegion: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	sr. vp/CF0 bon R. Etheredge 7300 3000		Sr. VP James A. Martin 58000 3000		VP Virginia Broom 55000 2000 0	·
AVINGS ASSN. TX	1988	sr. ve/cfo bon R. Etheredge 53000 5000 5000 5000		Sr. VP James A. Martin 63000 4000		VP Virginia Brocom 54000 54000 54000	
8468 SECURITY FED. \$ TEXARKANA		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

171,667	1990-2	cco R. Dwayne Lambert 57300 4120 4120 0		
Net Assets:	1-0661	President Ja Moore 80000 4450 0 0,02/90	coo N. Dwayne Lambert 55000 4320 4320	2200
Con Date: 04/06/89	1989-2	President Jim Noore 80000 4388 0	coo H. Wayne Lambert 55000 4,284 0	000
Region: SOUTIMEST	1-6861	President Jim Noore 138 138 0	COO H. Mayne Lambert 55000 4284 4284	
soc. tx	1988	CEO Dan R. Grobowaty 150000 14000 04/30/88	Free i dent Jim Noore 3950 0	coo M. Dwayne Lambert 3700 3700
B469 CENTRAL TEXAS S&L A5 LACO		Title Hame Salary Benefits Bonus Ric Camone Replacement Date	Title Mane Salery Benefits Bonus Ric Employed Ric Employed	Title Mans Salery Barlery Borus RTC Employed Replocement Date

143,602	1990-2	CEO Ronald J. Kuhna 73700 0		AvP/sr. Internal Auditor Tom Robinson 49000 0		000	
Net Assets:	1990-1	CEO Romald J. Kuhns 73700 0		AVP/ Sr. Internal Auditor Tom Robinson 49000 0		000	
n Date: 03/09/89	1989-2	CEO Romald J. Kuhns 73700 0		AVP/Sr. Internal Auditor Tom Robinson 49000 0			
ion: southwest	1-6861	CEO Ronald J. Kuhns 73700 0		AVP/ Sr. Internal Auditor Tom Robinson 49000 0		Vice President J. Michale Lengen 80000 0	09/01/89
TX	1988	CEO Ronald J. Kuhns 68900 0		AVP/ Sr. Internal Auditor Tom Robinson 68900 0		vice President J. Michale Lengen 80000 0	
8472 MESTERN GULF S&L ASSOC. BAY CITY		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benris Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

122'211	1990-2	President Richerd 1. Maya 5000 4000 0 0	w Kobert D. Smith 51000 3000 0	v Bertis V. Best 47000 3000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	President Keya Richard L. Neya 8000 000 000	We Robert D. Smith 51000 3000 0	Wertis V. Best (7000 3000 0
Can Date: 03/16/09	1989-2	President Reyra Richard R. Neyra 3000 9000	ve Rubert D. Smith 5000 9000 0	v Bertis V. Beat 2000 2000
Region: SOUTIMEST	1-6861	President R. Nava Richard R. Nava 78000 0 0	ve Robert D. Swith 3000 0 0	Ve Bertis U. Best 2000 2000
X	1966	President Richard R. Nevs 0 0	ve Robert D. Smith D	Wentis V. Best
8473 JASPER FED. SÅL ASSOC. JASPER		Title Manual Salary Bonus RTC Exployed Replacement Date	Title Manue Salary Bonusita Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	litle Name Salary Beneilts Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

				[
103,037	1990-2	Exec. VP Devey A. Doga, Jr. 6993 0	VP/Adm. Patricia A. Adame 37800 5830 5830 5830	VP/Loans Betty Sanford 37200 5617 5617 5617
Net Assets:	1990-1	Exec. VP Dever A. Doga, Jr. 6000 6993 0	VP/Adma Patricia A. Adoms 7800 5830 5830 5830	VP/Loans Betty Sanford 37200 5617 0 0
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	Exec. VP Dever A. Doga, Jr. 6351 0 0	VP/Adm. Patricia A. Adams 3830 5830 5830	VP/Loans Betty Sanford 37200 5917 5917
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	Exec. VP Devery A. Doga, Jr. 6351 0	VP/Adm. Patricia A. Adams 3660 5161 0	VP/Loans Betty Sanford 36000 513
TX	1988	Exec. VP Detery A. Doga, Jr. 0	VP/Adm. VP/Adms Patricia A. Adams 0	VP/Loans Betty Sanford 0 0
8476 JEFFERSON S&L ASSOC. Bealmont		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Banafits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benus RTC Benus RFC Benent Date Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

)

83,859	1990-2	CE0 Alter Males 50000 5000	Sr. ve Joheny Gaalenny 0 0	WP/Asat. Sec. Hell Wylis 40945 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	CE0 Allen Males 5000 5000	sr. ve Johrny Gunierry 20 0	VP/Aast. sec. Meil Nylie (0045 0
Can Date: 03/09/89	1989-2	teo Ald en Hales 5000 5000 5000	st. ve Johnery Amilentry 42720 0	W/Asst. Sec. Bell bylis 0 0
egion: SOUTIMEST	1-0061	CEO Allen Males 5000 5000	sr. w Johnny Gualenny 4720 0 0	WP/Asst. Sec. Bell bylle 0
L ASSOC. TX R	1988	CEO Allen Males Socoo 5000	Sr. VP Johnny Gumlerny 0 0	vp/Asst. sec. Neti bytia 0 0
84.79 SPRING BRANCH SAI NOUSTON		Title Mana Salary Bernafits Berna RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Mars Salary Benafits Bona RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Bornsiits Dorus RTC Exployed Replecement Date

ł

214,012	1990-2	Pres/CEO/Bnking. Oper. Kenneth D. Maynor 55000 5000		000		000	
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres/CE0/Bnking. Oper. Kenneth D. Maymor 35000 5000 5000		000			
Con Date: 03/30/89	1989-2	000				Retail Banking/Oper. Kenneth D. Maynor 2000 2000	
tegion: EASTERN	1989-1	President/CEO Villiam Fitzgerald 10000 8000	04/28/89	CFO R. Stephens Parnill 1000 1000	08/11/80	Retail Banking/Oper. Kenneth D. Maynor 62000 2000	
. ASSOC. NC	1988	President/CEO William Fitzgerald 0 0		CFO R. Stephens Parnili 0 0		Retail Banking/Oper. Kenneth D. Maynor 0 0	
8427 HERITAGE FED. S&I MONROE		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits 'Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

4,968,142	1990-2		5r. Wr/Acting CFO Clara Price 87000 4648 4648	Sr. Exec. W/Acting COD Letend Johnson BBOOD 4645 4645 10
Net Assets:	1990-1	Chairmar/CEC G. Buta weight 77000 8746 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Sr. Exec. VP Dan Kcintyre 163000 5000 0 0 02/21/90	sr. vP Clera Price 87000 4648 0
Con Date: 02/21/90	1989-2	ChairaaarvcE0 6. Dale kariga 273000 27321 286122 286122	5r. Exec. VP Lan McKenzie 3.0000 24267 98341 12/08/89	sr. Exec. VP Dan McIntyre 163000 24165 90505
Region: WESTERN	1-6961	Chairman/CE0 6. Dale weight 273000 2001	Sr. Exec. vp lan kekensie 340000 24287 99341	sr. Ekec. VP Dan Hcintyre 163000 24103 90505
IN FS & LA OR	1988	ChairmarvCE0 6. Date Weight 24539 60000	Sr. Exec. vP lan Kckenzie 2347 19000	5r. Exec. VP Dan McIntyre 15400 15413 18000
8201 THE BENJ. FRANKL PORTLAND		Title Name Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Mane Benefits Benefits Bouns RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

526,339	1990-2	Exec. vp Rod Nordstrom 92700 0	000	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	CEO Thorn Huffman 204,000 17520 0 03/26/90	President John Pees 163000 24624 0 03/26/90	Exec. VP Rod Mordstrom 92700 6708
Con Date: 02/16/90	1989-2	сео Thorn Muffman 204.000 17520 17520	President John Pees 163000 24624 0	Exec. vP Ron Mords tram 6708 0
gion: southuest	1989-1	CEO Thorn Muffman 1720 1770	President John Dees 163000 2424 0	Exec. vp Rod Nords from 90000 078
SAVINGS ASSOCIATION OK	1988	thorn kuff man 204000 17520 17520	President John Dees 204,000 154,00 57000	Exec. vP Red Nordstrom 64800 14000
8202 STATE FEDERAL TULSA		Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Hame Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RrC Employed Replacement Date

ſ			······	
167,923	1990-2	Pres. & CEO Game Boerner 67000 4000 0 0	sr. w/cf0 Pat Rechford 45000 4000	Sr. W Data Processor Richard Johnson 4000 00
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres. & CEO Gene Boerner 4000 4000	sr. vp/cF0 Pat Rochford 45000 4000	sr. We bata Processor Richard Johnson 4000 0
Con Date: 04/20/90	1989-2	Pres. & CEO Gare Boerrer 0 0	sr. ve/cfo Pat Rochford 0 0	sr. vp Date Processor Richard Johnson 0 0
ion: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres. & CEO Gene Boerner Generation	sr. vr/CF0 Pat Rochford	sr. vp bata Processor Richard Johnson 0 0
LOAN CONPANY, F.A. Reg	1968	Pres. & CEO Gene Boerner 0 0	sr. ve/CFO Pat Rochford 0 0	sr. VP Data Processor Richard Johnson 0 0
8203 FIRST SAVINGS & L MASSILLON		Title Hame Benafits Benafits Bena RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Name Name Benefits Bona RTC Explored RPC Explored	Title Mane Benafits Bona RC Epjoyed Replecement Date

•

Digitized by Google

•

9,581,584	1990-2	EVP/Retail Banking Neil Pont 168000 13450		000		000	
Net Assets:	1990-1	sr. vp Mark Kline 300376 10750 0	03/22/90	EVP/Info. Systems Milt Wetzel 178500 13450 0	03/26/90	EVP/Retail Banking Neil Pont 16000 13450 0	
Con Date: 02/23/90	1989-2	Sr. vP Mark Kline 300876 10750 340643	-	EVP/Info. Systems Milt Wetzel 178500 17850 13450		EVP/Retail Banking Neil Pont 168000 15450 13450	
tegion: WESTERN	1989-1	sr. vp Mark Kline 300376 10750 340643		EVP/Info. Systems Milt Wetzel 178500 134500 13450		EVP/Retail Banking Neil Pont 168000 134500	·
s association ca	1986	sr. vP Mark Kline 300876 10750 183000		EVP/Info. Systems Milt Wetzel 300876 13450 0		EVP/Retail Banking Neil Pont 150000 13450 13450	
8206 IMPERIAL SAVING San diego		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bonus Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date

I

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

۰.

198	1990-2	000		000
Net Assets: 49,	1990-1	Co-Chair/President Edward F. Keiter 6000 500 64/15/90	Co-Chairman Robert R. My and 6000 900 04/15/90	CF0 Jack Gragg 0 0 0, 0,130/90
Con Date: 03/09/90	1989-2	Co-Chair/President Edward F. Kailey 6000 179083	co-Chairmann Robert R. Myland 170003 170003	cro Jack Grees 14600 16000
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	Co-Chair/President Edvard F. Kelley IV 000 179003	Co-Chairmen Robert R. Wyland 0 179003	CF0 Jack Gregg 16000 16000
DERAL SAV. ASSOC.	1968	Co-Chair/President Edward F. Keiley IV 0 0	Co-Chairman Robert R. Wyland 0	o o o o CCO Treck grees
8214 THE NIAMATMA FEC HIAMATMA		Title Name Blany Bonefits Bonefits Bro Equipmed Replecement Date	Title Name Bilary Benefits Benefits Replacement bate Replacement bate	Title News Balary Barafits Baras Rona Replacement Date

	_			
290,392	1990-2	President Donald J. Cruwder 14,2000 2300 2300	Sr. VP/Operations Lowell F. Boatsoo 12000 12000 12000	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Donald J. C. 14200 2300 2300	sr. w operations Lowell F. Bowtz 1200 1200	
Con Date: 01/18/90	1989-2	President du Crowder Bonald J. Crowder 15200 26000 13000	Sr. W/Operations Lowell F. Borz 14000 14000 8000	000
Region: CENTRAL	1-6861	President Crouder Domaid J. Crouder 142000 142000 13000	Sr. WP Operations Lowell F. Boatz 14000 14000	VP/CFO Samuel A. Naroz, 11 6000 6000 6000 6000 06/10/69
н	1988	President Donald J. Crowder 28000 15000	sr. vp Operations Lowell F. Boizzooo 15000 10000	ve CFO Samuel A. Marcz, 11 5000 5000
8220 GEM CITY FS & LA QUINCY		Title Name Stary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Name Salay Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replecement Date

331

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

N FS & LA	Ľ	Region: CENTRAL	Con	Date: 02/16/90		ket Assets:	1,013,	231	
1968		1-6861		1989-2		1990-1		1990-2	
Jack Domi	tier 237000 14000 9000	President Jack Domeier 2	14000	Jack Domeier	00001 14000 14000	President Jack Dometer 259000 2000 0		t Dommeier 2 Dommeier 2	2000 2000
VP Lendi Gene Lut	res 237000 1000 4000	VP Lending Gene Lutes 11	6000 10000 10000	up Lending Gene Lutes	000 000 000 000 000	ve Lending Gene Lutes 1000 0 0	2 4 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	e Lutes	0000
vP Admin Richard I	istration clancer 93000 4000	vp Administrati Richard Klancer	91000 10000 40000	vp Administrat Richard Klance	e 100 0000 0000 0000 0000	ve Administration Richard Klance 1000		koministrati Nard Klancer	6 50 000

Digitized by Google

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

	_						
90,429	1990-2	Pres. & CEO Dominic C. Mercurio 6000 6000		Exec. VP & CLO Charles L. Grinstead 64000 4000 0		VP & COO Richard A. Neitzert 6000 6000	
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres. & CEO Dominic C. Mercurio 6000 6000		Exec. VP & CLO Charles L. Grinstead 4000 4000		VP & COO Richard A. Weitzert 6000 000	
Con Date: 01/11/90	1989-2	Pres. & CEO Dominic C. Mercurio 6000 6000		Exec. VP & CLO Charles L. Grinstend 4000 4000		VP & COO Richard A. Neitzert 45000 6000	
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	Pres. & CEO Dominic C. Mercurio 6000 6000		Exec. VP & CLO Charles L. Grinstead 61000 4000 0		VP & COO Richard A. Weitzert 45000 6000 0	·
NTY SAVINGS ASSOCIATION, F.A. MO	1988	Pres. & CEO Dominic C. Mercurio 0		Exec. VP & CLO Charles L. Grinstead 0 0		VP & COO Richard A. Weitzert 0 0	
8225 ST LOUIS COUN FERGUSON		Title Name Salary Bernefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bernefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date
	1	1		l -		l ·	

dty savings association,f.a. Region: Central

		(tean 80500 6351 0 0	ergier 49150 4923 0	411er 33200 1422 1422
120,624	1990-2	Pres. & CEO Robert F. Car	sr. ve David L. Schu	Controller Doreen Ottemo
Net Assets:	1-0661	Free. & CEO Robert F. Carlson 6331 6331 0	sr. vp David L. Schwengler 4023 0	Control Ler Doreen Ottemoel Ler 1422 1422 0
Con Date: 01/26/90	1989-2	Pres. & CEO Robert F. Cartson 5616 5616	sr. vp David L. Schnengler 4884 432	Control ler boreen Ottempeller 31900 2008 0 0
Region: CENTRAL	1-6961	Pres. & CEO Robert F. Carlson S616 5616	sr. ve David L. Schwengler 4822 4522 452	controller boreen Ottemoeller 31900 2008 0
ASSOCIATION, F.A. NO	1968	Pres. 6 CEO Robert F. Carlson 0 0	sr. vp David L. Schwengler 0 0	Controller Doreen Ottemoeller 0 0
8226 FIRST SAVINGS BISMARCK		Title Name Salary Bonarits Bonarits Replecement Date Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Engloyed RTC Engloyed Replecement Date	Title Name Sulary Benefits Bonas Replacement Date Replacement Date

1,223,701	1990-2	CED & Chairman John R. Gravee 210000 4000		coo & vice Chairman Jerome A. Maher 16000 3000 300		Sr. VP, Legal Coursel Gerald C. Legerquist 2000 2000	
Net Assets:	1990-1	CEO & Chairman John R. Gravee 210000 4000 4000		COO & Vice Chairman Jerome A. Maher 168000 3000 00	-	sr. VP, Legal Counsel Gerald C. Legerquist 2000 2000 2000	
Con Date: 01/11/90	1989-2	CEO & Chairman John R. Gravee 16000 112000		COO & Vice Chairman Jerome A. Naher 158000 15000 92000		Sr. VP. Legal Counsel Gerald C. Legarquist 9000 9000 43000	-
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	CEO & Chairman John R. Gravee 210000 112000 112000		COD & Vice Chairman Jerome A. Maher 158000 92000		Sr. VP, Legal Coursel Gerald C. Legarquist 9000 43000	
BANK, F.S.B. Il	1988	CEO & Chairman John R. Gravee 200000 14000 67000		COO & Vice Chairman Jerome A. Maher 200000 12000 50000		Sr. VP, Legal Counsel Gerald C. Lagerquist 86000 86000 29000	
8227 HORIZON SAVINGS		Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Balary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

ł

1990-2		Eve Peter J. Arebalo 9000 0	sve/arge. off. Kenneth G. Ealg 7790 0
1-0661	EE0/Pres John A. McCarthy 190000 0 0 03/16/90	Evp Peter J. Arebalo 000 0	svP/Mtge. Off. Kenneth G. Emig 77300 0
1989-2	Lidin A. McLarthy 190000 0	EVP Peter J. Arebalo 0000 0	srP/Mtge. off. Kenneth G. Ealg 7500 0
1-6861	CEO/Pres John A. McCarthy 0 0 0	Eve Peter J. Arebalo 9000 0	SvP/Mtge: 0ff. Kenneth G. Ealg 77500 0
1985	CEC/Pres John A. McCarthy 30000 30000 30000	EVP Peter J. Arebalo 19000 10000	svp.krge. off. Kenneth G. Enig 7500 7500
	itie salary bansits bans RTC Estoyed RTC Estoyed Replecement Date	itle salary salary Borus RTC Esployed Replacement Date	itle salary benefits Bonus RTC Employed RECEment Date Replecement Date
	19861 1989-1 1989-5 1990-1 1990-5	1986 1989-1 1989-2 1990-1 1990-2 Title ECO/Pres ECO/Pres ECO/Pres ECO/Pres ECO/Pres Name John A. McGarthy ECO/Pres ECO/Pres ECO/Pres ECO/Pres Name John A. McGarthy Lohn A. McGarthy Unin A. McGarthy ECO/Pres ECO/Pres Name John A. McGarthy Unin A. McGarthy	100 100-1 100-1 100-1 100-1 100-2 1114 ECU/res 10000 John A. McCarthy ECU/res 10000 10000 1114 ECU/res 10000 John A. McCarthy ECU/res 10000 0 0 1114 EU/res 10000 John A. McCarthy ECU/res ECU/res 10000 <

Digitized by Google

, 703	1990-2		000	000
2,018				
Net Assets:	1-0661	CED/President Devid Meymouth 180000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2/23/90	vice chairman Rapih Petera 160000 0 0 0 03/02/90	Pres/ ABO Development Charles Heegelin 800 0 0 04/20/90
Con Date: 02/09/90	1989-2	CEC/President David Weymouth 180000 0 0	Vice Chairmen Raiph Peters 16000 0 0	Pres/ABG Development Charles Nasgelin 14200 2000
egion: WESTERN	1989-1	CEC/President David Neymouth 19000 0 0	vice chairmen Raiph Feters 16000 0	Pres/ABG Development Charles Nasgelin 142000 2000
RAL SAVINGS BANK NM	1988	CEO/President Devid Verymouth 0 0	vice chairman Raiph Peters	Pres/ABG Development Charles Neegelin 0 0
8236 A89 BANK, A FEDEI Albuquerque		Title Name Salary Beneits Beneits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Reployed Replocement Date

337

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

82,341	1990-2	President John D. King 57000 2000 0	sr. vp Nichael J. Metelak 2000 2000	VP/Iresurer Mark A. Magedorn Zooo 2000
Net Assets:	1-0661	President John D. King 67000 200	sr. VP Michael J. Metelak 60000 2000 2000	VP/Tresurer Nark A. Napedorn 2000 2000
Con Date: 03/16/90	1989-2	President John D. King 67000 2000 2000	sr. vP Hichael J. Metelak 6000 2000 2000	VP/Tressurer Mark A. Nageosco 2000 2000 0
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President John D. King 67000 2000 2000	sr. VP Michael J. Metelak 6000 2000 0	VP/Tressurer Nark A. Nagedom 2000 2000 0
S BANK, F.S.B. NN	1988	President John D. King 42000 1000 19000	Sr. VP Michael J. Metelak 17000 17000	VP/Treaurer Nark A. Negedom 1000 16000
8238 LAKELAND SAVING: Detroit lakes		litie aussi Bonafits Bonafits Bronef Ric Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Banay Banafits Bana Replacement Date Replacement Date	Title Mans Blany Bonsfits Bonsfits RFC Employed Replacement Date

		F		<u> </u>		r
229,578	1990-2	000				Treas. & CF0 Edward G. Korbel 13000 13000 13000
Net Assets:	1990-1	Chairman & CEO F. Jerome Given 141000 6000 000	03/09/90	Fres. & COO Richard G. folda 82000 16000 16000	04/30/90	Treas. & CFO Edward G. Korbel 13000 13000 13000
Con Date: 02/16/90	1989-2	Chairman & CEO F. Jerome Given 19900 6000		Pres. & COO Richard G. Folda 16000 16000 6000		Treas. & CFO Edward G. Kontek000 13000 13000
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	Chairman & CEO F. Jerome Given 199000 6000 0		Pres. & COO Richard G. Folda 82000 16000 6000		Treas. & CFO Edward G. Korbel 13000 0
R	1988	Chairman & CEO F. Jerome Given 134000 6000 000		Pres. & COO Richard G. Folda 134000 16000 6000		Treas. & CFO Edward G. Korbel 77000 11000 0
HERITAGE FSB OF OMAHA OMAHA		e elary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	e Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	e Salary Salary Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed Replacement Date
8248		Hame		Hame Kame		

8252	VANGUARD SAVINGS BANK, VANDERGRIFT	FS8 PA	Region: EASTERN	Can Date: 02/23/90	Net Assets:	176,964	
		1986	1-6961	1989-2	1-0661	1990-2	
	ulary mefits mus	President/CEO F. Laurence luanski 0 0	President/CE0 F. Laurence Lauraki 195000 5000 0	President/CEO F., Leurence Tussoo 105000 5000 0	President/CEO F. Lawrence luanski 75000 0	Pres/CEO F. Lawrence luanski 7500 0	
α. Έ	IC Employed splacement Date						
	ulary mefits mus	sr. vP Allan F. Woodmancy 0 0	5r. vP Allan F. Woodmancy 8000 8000 0	Sr. VP Allan F. Woodmancy 69000 80000 000	Sr. VP Allan F. Hoodmancy 8000 8000 00	Sr. VP Joseph A. Nignogna 6000 0	
ά. Έ	lC Employed splatement Date				06/22/20		
	ılary mefits mus	sr. vP Joseph A. Mignogna 0	Sr. VP Joseph A. Migrogra 6000 5000 0	Sr. VP Joseph A. Nignogra 60000 50000 0	sr. vp Joseph A. Nigmogra 6000 0		
a a	lC Employed splacement Date						

63,871	1990-2	sr. vp Flip Kraus 48000 6000		Secretary/Trees. Martha Campbell 24000 4000		ve Dave Gruppi 5000 0	
Net Assets:	1990-1	Sr. vice President Flip Kreus 49000 6000		vice President Dave Gruppi 43000 5000 0		Secretary/Treasurer Nartha Campbell 4000 4000 0	
Con Date: 01/18/90	1989-2	000		000		000	
Region: SOUTHNEST	1-6861	President Raymord Williame 3000 0	01/22/90	Vice President Marc Ellis 15000 0	03/08/90	Secretary/Treasurer Martha Campbell 24000 4000	
TX	1988	President Raymord Will 2000 3000		Vice President Marc Ellis 15000 15000		Secretary/Treasurer Martha Campbell 16000 16000	
8253 MARSHALL FS & LA Marshall		Title Neme Salary Bernefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

915,301	1990-2	President George A. Katteraann 137000 9000	sr. w/Acces. Narvin L. Nideleson 7000 7000	Sr. YP/Real Estate Charles V. Plerce 000 6000
Net Assets:	1990-1	President George A. Kattermenn 9000 9000	sr. vP. Accounting Marvin L. Widdleson B000 7000	sr. vp Real Estate Loan Charles V. Plerce 6000 6000
con Date: 02/09/90	1989-2	President George A. Kattermenn 137000 0	sr. vP/Acct9. Narvin L. Middleson 000000000000000000000000000000000000	sr. vp/Real Estate Charles V. Plerce 00000000000000000000000000000000000
gion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President George A. Kattermenn 137000 0	sr. ve Accounting Narvin L. Middleson 8000 0	sr. VP Real Estate Loan Charles V. Plerce 00 0
AV. ASSOC. OF IOMA IA	1988	President George A. Kuttermann 1300 9000	Sr. VP Accounting Marvin L. Middleson 13700 7000	sr. vP Real Estate Loan Charles V. Plerce 600 600
B262 AMERICAN FED. SA DES MOINES		Title Mass Blany Bonsfits Bons RIC Emjoyed Replacement Date	Title Name Blany Bonefits Bonefits RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Lans Balary Banafits Banafits Reployed Replocement Date

347,208	1990-2	Exec VP Joseph J. Turek 75500 3521 0	vp Hichael Cavanaugh 4.000 4.005 0	vp Helvin B. Boteler 1988 1988 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Exec vp Joseph J. Turek 3521 921	vp Hichael Cavanaugh 4.255 4.255	Ve Melvin 8. Botaler 1988 1988
Con Date: 02/09/90	1989-2	Exec vp Joseph J. Turek 72500 3881 0	VP Michael Cavanaugh 5000 3913 0	vp Melvin B. Boteler 1957 1957
Region: EASTERN	1-6961	Exec VP Joseph J. Turek 72500 7881 7881	VP Michael Cavanaugh 3313 3313	ve Netvin B. Boteler 1957 1957 0
; ASSOCIATION, FA	1988	Exec VP Joseph J. Turek 0	vp Michael Cavanaugh 0	vp Netvin 8. Boteler 0 0 0
8268 VERMONT SAVINGS TIMONIUM		Title kame Benrifts Benrifts RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitte Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RPC Employed Replacement Date	fitte Name Salary Benrifts Benrifts RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

343

112,871	1990-2	Control (er(Cf0) Jon Edwards 42000 0	000	
Net Assets:	1-0661	President David E. Kccabe 1900 0 0 0 0 0 0	5r. VP Rozelia J. KcCabe 3006 64546 02/16/90	Controller (CFO) Jon Edwards 4,2000 0 0
Con Date: 01/04/90	2-0801			000
Region: CENTRAL	1-6861	000		
FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK IA	1988	President David E. McCabe 0	Sr. vp Rozatija J. Accebe	Controller (CFO) Jon Edwards (CFO) 0 0
8271 FIRSTCENTRAL CMARITON		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RPC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Salary Borus Borus RTC Employed Replocement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replocement Date Replocement Date

)

İ

.

. 1.)

396,946	1990-2	chairman & CEO Garaid Stattery 190000 37000 37000 9	President Thomas J. Domahus 190000 22000 2200	Exec. VP Bernard P. Kroslnaki 2000 2000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Chairmen & CEO Gerald Statter 37000 37000	President Thoms J. Donahue 152000 2200	Exec. vp Bernard P. Krosinski 110000 2000 0
Con Date: 03/16/90	1989-2	Chairmen & CEO Gerald Slatter 19000 35000 35000	President Thomes J. Bonehue 2000 2000 0	Exec. VP Bernard P. Krosinski 27000 27000
Region: EASTERN	1-6861	Chairman & CEO Gerald Slattery 15000 15000 0	President Thomas J. Donahue 15000 2000 2000 2000	Exec. VP Bernard P. Krosinski 110000 27000 27000 27000
AN	1988	Chairman & CEO Gerald Slattery 34000 34000	President Thomas J. Donahue 19000 0	Exec. VP Bernard P. Krosinski 710000 25000 0
8273 VHITESTONE FS & LA VHITESTONE		fitle Name Salary Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	litle Mane Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date Replacement Date

5,531	1990-2	es (dent/CE) E. Lyons 71000 3000 0	000	I reserver II. Astina 2000 0 0
ē		د . م ه		51
Net Assets:	1-0661	President/CE0 R. E. Lyons 71000 3000 0	Exec. vP/CF0 Mark S. White 54000 2000 0 0 02/21/90	vP/Iresurer Bill Askina 35000 2000 0
		88°	88-	880
8		680 690	540 540	. .
Con Date: 03/09/9	1989-2	President/Cl	Exec. VP/CFR Mark S. Whi	VP/T resure Bill Askins
		00009 9000 900	54.000 2000 0	34,000 2,000 0
Region: SOUTHMEST	1989-1	President/CEO R. E. Lyons	Exec. VP/CFO Mark S. Unite	VP/Tressurer Bill Askins
		0000	00009	0002 0002
¥	1986	President/CED R. E. Lyons 1	Exec. ve/CFO Mark S. White 1	VP/Tressurer Bill Askins 3
PEOPLES FSA Bartlesville		iary nefits Na Li Employed Si acement Date	ary refits us cispioyed blacement Date	lary vefits vas tus siacement Date siacement Date
8274			Title Mame Ben Bon RTC Rep	

L

ł

177,421	1990-2	President Allan Thoren 95000 7000 0	Corp. Finance of ficer William Weiktla 60000 1000	Sr. VP Mark Prince 65000 1000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Allan Thoren 5500 7000	Corp. Finance Officer Villiam Heikki 60000 1000 1000	5 VP Mark Prince 5500 1000 0
Con Date: 01/26/90	1989-2	President Allan Thoren 0 0 0 0	Corp. Finance Officer Villiam Heikkila 0 0 0	Sr. vP Mark Prince 0 0
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Allan Thoren 0 0 0 0	Corp. Finance Officer Villiam Heikila 0 0 0	sr. vP Nark Prince 0
£	1988	President Allan Thoren 0 0	Corp. Finance Officer William Neiktia 0 0	sr. vP Nark Prince 0 0
8275 COLONIAL S & LA, F.A. CAPE GIRARDEAU		Title Name Salary Bonus Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bonus Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

6, 173, 572	1990-2	President/CE0 Kent Dixon 425000 7723 0	Exec. W/CFO Gary N.Croeby 170400 1527 0	First Sr. VP Bruce C. Long 143640 3339 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres Ident/CE0 Kent D1 kon 7723 0	Exec. VP/CFO Gary N.Crosby 1704.00 5277 0	First Sr. VP Brue C. Long 13340 1339 0
Con Date: 01/24/90	1989-2	Pres i dent/CE0 kent 0 i xon 425000 7723 0	Exec. VP/CF0 Gary N.Crosby 165000 3277 0	First Sr. VP Bruce C. Long 132000 3339 0
gion: EASTERN	1989-1	Free Ident/CE0 Kent Dixon 425000 7723 0	Exec. VP/CFO Gary N. Crosby 165000 1527 227	First Sr. VP Bruce C. Long 143040 1539 0
ž	1988	President/CEO Kent Dixon 0 0	Exec. VP/CF0 Gary M.Croeby 0 0	First Sr. VP Bruce C. Long 0 0
8277 ENPIRE OF ANERICA FS8 BUFFALO		Title Mana Salary Bornafits Borna Replecement Date Replecement Date	litte Name Salary Benefits Bona RTC Esployed Replecement Date	Title Hume Salary Benafits Bonus RTC Exployed RTC Exployed Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

٠

205,283	1990-2	Pres. & CED Deen V. Rciterry 164000 28000 0 0	Exec. vP John F. Tomi Inson 132000 2200 0	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres. & CEO Owen V. Ncilery 22000 12000 12000	Exec. vP John F. Toml inson 12000 12000 12000	Ngmt. Consultant Regina U. Wichel 1400 1200 1200 03/09/90
Con Date: 03/09/90	1989-2	Pres. & CEO Dwen V. Kdany 23000 23000 24000	Exec. vp John F. Tonlinson 18000 18000 43000	Ngmt. Consultant Regina U. Nichel 19000 11000
gion: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres. & CEO Owen V. McMary 23000 24000 24000	Exec. vp John F. Tonlinson 197000 18000 43000	Mgat. Consul tant Regina U. Nichel 19900 11000 11000
L L	1988	Pres. & CEO Oven V. Kolamy 17000 113000	Exec. VP John F. Toni inson 12000 117000	Mgmt. Consultant Regina U. Michel 16000 15000 15000
8278 YORKHOOD FS&LA MAPLEWOOD		Title Mans Salary Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mame Salary Benefits Bouns RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

п,5П	1990-2	Pres./CEO Den Dours 49000 0 0	VP-Loans Delbert Millipa 0 0 0 0 0 0	Special Assets Mgr . Nita Sperock 36000 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres./CE0 bon boars 69000 0	ve-Loans Delbert Phillips 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Special Assets Mgr. Nike Sprock 36000 0
Con Date: 02/23/90	1989-2	Pres. /CE0 bon bouns. 49000 9000	VP-Loans Delbert Phillipa 4000 4000	Special Assets Mgr. Nile Sperock 35000 1000 0
Region: EASTERN	1-6961	Pres. / / CEO Poon boars 9009 9009	VP-Loans Detbert Phillips 4000 4000	special Assets Ner. Nike Sperock 33000 1000 0 0
FEDERAL SAVINGS ASSOC. W	1988	Pres./CED Don Downs Don Downs	VP-Loans Delbert Phillips 0	Special Assets Mgr . Nike Spevock 0 0
8279 FIRST STANDARD FAIRHONT		Title Kan Bendits Bendits Bendits Reployed Replocement Date	Title Name Benefits Benefits Rolloyed Replecement Date	Title Maar Bensfits Bensfits Bensfits Reployed Replocement Date

<u> </u>	1		
1990-2	President Paul lood 132000 6000 4000	Exec. VP Dennis J. Kalka 81000 5000 4000	sr. VP Patricia s. Thempson 3000 3000
1990-1	President Paul lood 132000 6000 4000	Exec. VP Denvis J. Kalka 3100000000	sr. VP Batricia S. Thompson Batricia S. 0000 3000 0
1989-2	President Paul Wood 132000 19000 0	Exec. VP bernis J. Kalka 9000 9000	Sr. VP Patricia S. Thampson 61000 3000 0
1989-1	President Paul Wood 132000 10000 0	Exec. VP Dernis J. Kalka B1000 9000 0	Sr. VP Patricia S. Thompson 3000 3000 0
1988	President Paul loood 0000000000000000000000000000000000	Exec. VP Demnis J. Kalka 0 0	sr. vP Patricia S. Thompson 0 0
	ritte Mane Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bouus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date

	_			
K1,553	1990-2	C08/Pres E. B. Brooks 164000 0 0	Exec. VP W. J. Leidineer 126500 900 0	Exec. VP J. C. Nerbert 2000 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	cott/Pres E. B. Brooks 75800 0 0	Exec. VP W. J. Leidineer 33900 53900	Exec. VP J. C. Nerbert 72600 26000 0
Con Date: 03/02/90	1989-2	C08/Pres E.J.B. Brooks 78800 78800 0	Exec. vp u. J. Leidineer 13900 33900 0	Exec. VP J. C. Nerbert 72600 26000 0
Region: EASTERN	1-6861	coll/Pres E. B. Brocks 164.000 73800 0	Exec. VP W. J. Leidineer 126500 13200 0 0	Exec. V J. C. Herbert 72600 0
AL SAVINGS ASSOCIATION VA	1968	C08/Pres E. B. Brooks 164000 78800 0	Exec. VP W. J. Leidineer 164000 13900 13900	Exet. VP J. C. Merbert 72600 0 0
8284 SECURITY FEDERA RICHNOND		Title Mana Banafits Banafits Banafits RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Hame Banafits Banafits RTC Employed Replecement, Date	Title Auns Banna Banna Banna RTC Exployed RTC Exployed

.

		l		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
313,057	1990-2	President Marry H. Edel 138000 9000 0	sr. vp/retail Banking Christine Lohnamer 74000 75000 75000 75000	sr. yp/kis Guitlermo sardha 5000 5000
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Harry H. Edel 138000 0 0	sr. vp/retail Banking Christine Lokhammer 72000 6000 00	sr. vp/nts Guittermo Sandina 6000 5000 5000
Con Date: 03/09/90	1989-2	President Marry M. Edel 138000 9000 0	sr. vy/retail Banking Christine Lokhammer 2000 8000 9000 0	sr. vp/wits Guillermo Sardina 6000 7000 7000
tegion: EASTERN	1-6861	President Harry N. Edel 138000 9000 0	s- vP/retail Banking Christine Lokhammer 7000 8000 0	sr. vp/wis Guillermo Sardina 5000 7000 7000
7	1988	President Narry N. Edel 0 0	s. vP/Retail Banking Christine Lokhammer 0 0	sr. vp/wis Guillermo Sardina 0 0
8287 NASSAU FS & LA PRINCETON		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus: RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date

.

~

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GWT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
llet Assats: 1,	1990-1	Chalrenvotto VIIIIen Modine 20000 0000 0000 0000 0000	5%/CFO Charles Mail 120000 36000 0 0 0	Eve/Admn. Brian Vilder 3400 0
Con Date: 02/02/90	1989-2	ChalramvCE6 UIIIIam bodina 20000 60000 0	strycfo Charles Hall Scool 36000 0	Exec. YP/Adm. Brian Vilder 113000 34000
Region: EASTERN	1-6861	ChallmanvCEO VIIIIam Modime 20000 60000 0	549/CFO Charlee Mal 1 20000 36000 90000	Erlan vildan. Brian vildar 13000 13000
IK, FSB FL	1988	Chairmerv CEO VIIII ma Modine Modine	SvP/CFO Charles Mail	Evp/Adam. Brian Wilder
3		1	1	

.

28,318	1990-2			EVP/CEO David O'Bryan 5000 5000 0		VP - Lending Barbara Rozier 4000 4000	
Net Assets:	1-061	Pres. David Dinsler 50000 5000 0	nk / 200 / 800	EVP/CEO David O'Bryan 40000 5000 0	-	vP - Lending Barbara Rozier 25000 4000 0	
Con Date: 02/23/90	1989-2	Pres. David Dinater 50000 5000 0		Evr/CEO David O'Bryan 40000 5000 0		vP - Lending Barbara Rozier 25000 4000 0	
egion: EASTERN	1-6861	Pres. David Dinsler 5000 5000		EVP/CEO David O'Bryan (0000 5000		VP - Lending Barbars Rozier 2000 0 0	
S S	1988	Pres. David Dinater 0	04/20/40	EVP/CEO David O'Bryan 0 0		VP - Lending Barbara Rozier 0 0	
8289 GREENLOOD FS & LA GREENLOOD		Title Name Benefits Bonus RTC Employed	Keplacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

		000	000 000 1	22000
×,26	1990-2		VP/Operations Parricia Reeve	vp/Servicing Sandra Lee
Net Assets:	1-0001	VP/Data Processing Bill Barncord 5000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	W/Qerations Patricia Reves	W/Servicing Sendra Lee 52000 0
Con Date: 01/04/90	1989-2	W/Date Processing Bill Barrcord 5000 0 0	W/Dparations Patricia Reeves	W/Servicing Sendra Lee 52000 0
Region: SOUTHNEST	1-6961	vP/bata Processing Bill Barncord 5800 0 0 0	VP/Operations Patricia Reves	VP/Servicing Sandra Lee 52000 0
ТX	1988	VP/Data Processing Bill Barncord	VP/Operations Patricia Reeves	W/Servicing Sendre Lee
8292 BANNERSANC FS & LA Garland		Title kaary Salary Bonus Bonus Replacement Date Replacement Date	Title Hame: Stary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Maars Benefits Benus RTC Exployed Replecement Date

		1000 0 0 0	0000 0000 0000	0000 0000 0
117,552	1990-2	President Frank keleon é	Exec. VP/Treas. Gary Cangeloui 5	Adm. VP Theimm Morris A
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Frank Nelson 61000 00	Exec. VP/Treas. Gary Cangelosi 54000 4000	Adm. VP Thetma Norris 40000 4000
Con Date: 02/23/90	1989-2	President Frank Netson 61000 4000	Exec. VP/Treas. Gary Cangeloai 54000 4000 0	Adm. VP Thetma Korris 4000 4000
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Frank Nelson 600 600	Exec. VP/Treas. Gary Cangeloal 54000 4000	Adm. VP Thelma Morris 4000 4000
AL SAVINGS BANK IL IL	1988	President Frank Nelson 0	Exec. VP/Treas. Gary Cangelosi 0 0	Adm. VP Thetman Morris 0 0
8294 COMMUNITY FEDER/ EAST MOLINE		Title Name Benefits Benus RTC Employed RFC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Benus RTC Employed RFD Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date

357

--

•

	_			
31,621	1990-2	Renearing Officer Earl Kreamet 35000 3000 0	Office Numeer beers stehl 3000 0	Accountent Diam Reuss 1700 3000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Numering Officer Built Rreamt 35000 3000 0	difice Nameger Debra Stehl 3000 0 0	Accountent Diama Reuss 11700 000 0
Can Date: 03/02/90	1989-2	Namaging officer Earli Kroamt 35000 3000 0	Difice Nameer Debra Stahl 23000 3000 0	Accountant Diam Reuss 11700 000
egion: CENTRAL	1-6861	Namaging officer Earli Kroamit 3000 3000	Office Namager Debra Stehl 3000 0	Accountant Diana Reuss 3000 0
-	1988	Namaging Officer Eail Kroamt 35000 3000 0	Office Number Debra Stehl 35000 35000 0 0	Accountant Diana Reuss 3000 0
8297 MEU ATMENS FS & LA MEU ATMENS		Title Mans Salary Benarits Benarits RTC Epicyad Replecement Date	Title Manual Salary Bonarits Bonarits RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title New Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replecement Date

358

147,563	1990-2	President/CE0 Tom J. Riddle 88000 900 0	sr. vp/cF0 John L. Kopecky 8000 900	
Net Assets:	1-0661	President/CED Tom J. Riddle 88000 900 0	sr. vP/cf0 John L. Kopecky 7000 8000 8000	VP John E. Baxter 43000 11000 03/12/90
Con Date: 01/18/90	1989-2	President/CEO Tom J. Riddle 84000 9000 0	sr. wr/cfo John L. Kopecky 8000 0	vp John E. Baxter 41000 8000 0
egion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President/CED Tam J. Riddle 84000 9000	sr. vp/cfo John L. Kopecky 8000 8000	vp John E. Baxter (1000 8000 0
L SAVINGS ASSOCIATION KS	1988	President/CEO Tom J. Riddle	sr. vp/Cf0 John L. Kopecky 0 0	VP John E. Baxter 0 0
8298 COLONIAL FEDERAL PRAIRIE VILLAGE		Title Mane Blary Benefits Bons RTC Employed RPL acement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RFC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date

359

Digitized by Google

158,890	1990-2	Exec. VP Burney 0. Adams 0 0 04/17/90	ceo owen 8. Jones 72000 7403	CFO Evelyn M. Poole 42000 4.707 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Exec. vp Burney 0. Adam 77000 7674 0 0 0	CEO Oven B. Jones 72000 7403 0	CFO Evelyn M. Poole 2000 4707 4707
Con Date: 04/12/90	1989-2	Ease. VP Burney O. Adams O	cto been 1. Jones	cfo Evelym N. Poole 0 0
Region: EASTERN	1980-1	Exec. Ve Rurrey 0. Adu	CEO Ceres Ceres Ceres Ceres Ceres	CFO Evelyn M. Poole 0
SAVINGS ASSOCIATION	1968	Ease. vp Burney O. Adams 0	CEO Ceen B. Jones	CFO Evelyn H. Poole 0 0
8299 FIRST FEDERAL LARNER ROBINS		Title Mass Salary Benasits Benasits RFC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Sulary Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Lane Salary Boneits Bone Ric Exployed Ric Exployed Ric Exployed

•

		100000 0 0	0000 0 0	000095
492,438	1990-2	Pres. & CEO Tom Harris	sr. Exec. VP F. C. Gardner	sr. ve - Cf0 John Le ich
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres. & CEO Tom Marris 106000 0	sr. Exec. VP F. C. Gardner B1000 0	sr. vP - CFO John Wetch 56000 0
Con Date: 03/30/89	1989-2	Pres. & CEO Tom Harris 106000 0 0	sr. Exec. VP F. C. Gardner 1000 0	sr. ve - Cro John teich 5,000 0 0
Region: EASTERN	1-6861	Pres. & CEO Tom Marris 106000 0 0	sr. Exec. VP F. C. Gardner 81000 0	sr. vp - CFO John Welch 54000 0 0
¥	1988	Pres. & CEO Tom Narris 0	Sr. Exec. VP F. C. Gardner O	sr. ve - cro John Wetch 0 0 0 0
8303 CITY FED, S&L ASSOC. Birmingham		Title Name Benefits Benefits RFIC Employed RFIC Employed RFIC Bate	11tle Name Salary Benefits Benefits RrC Employed RrC Employed RrC Bate	11tle Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RTC Employed Replacement Date

.

155,751	1990-2	CF0.VP A Lan B. Chapman 3000 2000 650		
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres/CE0 James R. cambitit 105000 0 0 01/29/90	000	cro A lan D. Chapanan 2000 2000 650 650
Con Date: 03/30/89	1989-2	Pres/CE0 000501 000501 000501 00050 000000	crove Alan b. Chapman 43000 2000 0 0	000
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres/CE0 James N. Sambilit 165000 0 0	sr. Vice President Villian E. Long 2000 10/16/69	cf0 Atam D. Chapman 39000 2000 0 0
' FED SAV BANK AL	1988	Pres/CE0 James N. Gambili 10000 2000 0	sr. vice President Villiam E. Long 12000 0 0	CF0 A Lan D. Chapaman 30000 1000 0
8305 BALDWIN COUNTY ROBERTSDALE		Title Man Balary Bonufits Bonufits RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Man Balary Banafits Banafits Anglecennt Date Replecennt Date	Title Manue Bilary Binnefits Binnefits Binnefits Replecement bere Replecement bere

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

		995		
		50000	000 000 000	
1,007,458	1990-2	Pres/CEO. Ted Davis	Exec. VP Glenn A. Spur	Exec. VP Trummin Hale
et Assets:	1-0661	/cco bavia 200000 0 0	. VP n. 4. spung 90000	- vP - 80000 0 0 0
Z		Pres Ted	Exec	Exee
69	~	0 0 50000 50000	00078 54000	000 12 12
Con Date: 02/10,	1989-2	Pres/CEO Ted Davis	Exec. YP Glenn A. S	Exec. vp Trumen Hal
		200000 0 0	00078 12	К К
egion: CENTRAL	1-6861	Pres/CEO Ted Devis	Exec. VP Glenn A. Spu	Exec. vp Trumon Hale
č		200000 0 0	000002 000002	0005 3
fa Ar	1988	Pres/CEO Ted Davis	Exec. VP Glem A. S	Exec. vp Trumen Hall
t sav of Arkansas, I Le Rock		oyed ent Date		ent Date
LITT		r ialary ienefits ionus TC Emplu	alary enefits onus	ieplacem ienty enefits int Emplacem
8308			Title Kome e BBS S	

565, 553	1990-2	000	EVP Trees. & CFO David E. Coeccort 157000 14000 4000	EVP William K. Bruton 4000 4000
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres. & CEO Jia L. Murley 15200 600 0 0,/06/90	EVE Treas., & EFO Bavid E. Cockcroft 1400 1400 0	EVP William K. Bruton 117000 17000 0 0
con Date: 02/10/89	2-6061	Pres. & CEO Jan L. Murier 152000 6000 0	EMP Treas., & CFO Devid E. Coctestor 14000 14000 0	Eve VIIIIa K. Bruten 117000 0000 0
legion: CENTRAL	1-6061	Pres. & CEO Ja L. Murley 152000 6000	EtP, Treas., & CFO Bavid E. Cockrent 137000 14000 00	Eve Vittiam K. Bruten 17000 16000 0
ASSOC., A FS&LA AR	1988	Pres. & CEO Jia L. Muriley 150000 10000 10000	EV Treas. & CFO bavid E. Cockrott 1,000 1,000	Eve Villim K. Bruton 115000 000 0
8309 SAVERS SAVINGS LITTLE ROCK		Title kans Benrifts Benrifts RTC Exployed Replacement Date	Title Mana Barry Barrsits Barus RTC Employed RPC Lacement Date	litle Massi Bonarits Bonarits RTC Employed Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



115,545	1990-2	Exec. VP Nichael Ferguson 0 0	sr. ve Narcy Hall 0 0	
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Leonard Dunn 9000 0 0 0	Elec. VP Nicheel Fergueon 57500 0	5 V+ Marcy Hall 0 0
Con Date: 03/02/69	1989-2	President Leonard Durn 90000 0	Elec. ve Nicheel Ferguson 57500 0	Sr. VP Nancy Mall 0 0
Region: CENTRAL	1-6861	President Leonard burn 0 0 0	Exec. VP HICHael Ferguson 57500 0	sr. ve Nancy kult 0 0
, FSB AR	1986	President Leonard Dum 0 0	Exec. VP Michael Ferguson 0 0	Sr. VP Nancy Hall
8311 LANDMARK SAV. BANK Hot Springs		litte Name Sarry Benefits Bonnsits RTC Employed Replacement Date	ritle Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Replacement Date Replacement Date

112,413	1990-2	Chairman A. P. Eason, Jr 78000 9000 0	President James E. Shreve 7000 700	ve Ernie L. Penn 46000 6000 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Chairmen A.P. Eason, Jr. 900 0	President James E. Shreve 7000 700	Vice President Ernie L. Pern 6000 000
Con Date: 03/02/89	1989-2	Chairmen A. P. Eason, Jr. 7000 900 0	Fresident James E. Shreve 2000 7000	ve Emile L. Penn 46000 6000 0
Region: CENTRAL	1-6861	Chairmen A.P. Esson, Jr. 9000 900	President James E. Shreve 7000 700	Vice President Ernie L. Pern 43000 6000 0
AR	1985	Chairman A.P. Esson, Jr. 78000 4000	President James E. Shreve 7000 7000 2000	Vice President Emie L. Pern 6000 2000 2000
8312 FIRST FED. S&L ASSOC. Favetteville		Title Name Salary Salary Benefits Benefits Replacement Date Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Salary Bons Bons RTC Employed Replacement Date	11tte Mane Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replecement Date

,

210,111	1990-2	sr. VP David Birdwell 55000 0		sr. ve Sareh Nauktine 65000 0			
Net Assets:	1-0661	sr. VP David Birdwell 55000 0		sr. ve sarah Naukina 65000 0			
Con Date: 03/02/89	2-0801	sr. vp David Birdwell 55000 0		sr. vp Sara Nauktins 65000 0		000	
Region: CENTRAL	1-6861	President (CEO) Tommy Trantham 115000 0	12/01/89	Sr. VP Devid Birdwell 55000 0		sr. VP Sarah Naukina (2000 0	
ANTY SEL ASSN. AR	1988	President (CEO) Tommy Tranthan 115000 0		sr. vp David Birdwell 115000 0		srah Maukina (8000 Sarah Maukina (8000 0	
8313 MADISON GUARA NCCRORY		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Hame Salary Benefits Bonus	ATC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

•

83,135	1990-2	Pres./CE0 Barmey Larry 80000 6000 0	Vice Pres. Virginia Cornett 43000 2000 0	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres./CE0 Barney Larry 80000 600	vice Pres. Virginia Cornett 2000 2000	vice Pres. Kearnie Carleton 42000 4000 03/02/90
Con Date: 03/02/89	1989-2	Pres./CE0 Barney Larry 73000 6000 0	Vice Pres. Virginia Cornett 1000 1000	Vice Pres. Keennie Carleton 4000
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	Pres./CE0 Barney Larry 73000 6000 0	vice Pres. Virginia Correct 1000 1000	vice Pres. Keernie Carleton 19000 4000 4000
. BANK FSB AR AR	1988	Pres./CEO Barney Larry 0	vice Pres. Virginia Cornett 0 0	vice Pres. Keamle Carleton
8314 FIRST STATE SAV MOUNTAIN HOME		Title Name Benefits Benefits Ric Exployed Replacement Date	Title Name Renefits Bornefits Reployed Replacement Date	Title kans sulary Benefits Benefits RPL Employed Replacement Date

•

• ...

521,341	1990-2	Vice President Robert China 73000 73000 0 0		
Het Assets:	1-0661	Vice President Robert Ching 72000 15000 0		000
Con Date: 02/17/ 59	1989-2	President/CEO VIII iam V. Croster 75000 11000 12/01/89	Exec. vp Norman D. Turnel(7300 7000 12/01/09	vice President Robert Ching 72000 14000 0
Region: VESTERN	1-0801	President/CEO William V. Crocker 175000 2000	Exec. VP Norman D. Turvell 16000 16000 0	vice President Robert Ching 11000 0
A2	1986	President/CEO VIIIIam V. Crocker VIIIIam V. Trocker 2000 2000	Exec. VP Norman D. Turnell 1500 1500	vice President Robert Ching 7500 00 0
8319 SECURITY SAL ASSOC. SCOTTSDALE		Title Hame Salary Benefits Bona RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bona RTC Exployed Replacement Date	litte Name Salary Benefits Benefits Benus Rit Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

370

Digitized by Google

271,099	1990-2	President/ced WRK Angles 148440 5280 5280	st. VICE PRESIDENT/CFO JANES BILOTA 74000 0	V.P. RUMOS ACOULSTITON JOHN KARDOS 67200 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	MESIDEMI/CEO NANK JAQUES 142440 5280 0	SR. VICE PRESIDENT/CFO JAMES BILOTTA 74800 0 0	V.P.Fumos Acouls11104 Jown Kundos 67200 0
Con Date: 02/17/89	1989-2	PRESIDENT/CEO NARK JAQUES 148440 520 0	sr. vice President/CF0 JANES Bilotta 74,000 0 0	V.P. FUNCS ACGUISITION JONN KAROOS 67200 0
ijon: WESTERN	1-6861	PRESIDENT/CEO NAKK JAQUES 134,040 4300 0	SR. VICE PRESIDENT/CFO JAMES BILOTTA 74880 0 0	V.P. FUNDS ACOULSTT 104 JOHN KUNDOS 6720 6 70 0
C.A. Reg	1988	PRESIDENT/CEO MARK JAQUES 0 0	SR. VICE PRESIDENT/CF0 JANES BILOTTA 0 0	V.P.FUNDS ACGUISITION JONN KANDOS 0 0
8321 WESTWOOD SAL ASSOC. LOS ANGELES		Title Name Salary Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

•••

.

		000		w88°	88-
116,911	1990-2			V.P. COMPTRALLER JOSEPH A. LUNTEIGH A. LONTEIGH 200	REO MMAGER BRETT MOLSTOM 450 20
Net Assets:	1990-1			V.P./COMPTROLLER JOSEPH A. LUNTELENE JOSEPH A. LUNTELENE 2000 200	RED MANAGER NETT MOJSTON 55000 2000 2000
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2			V.P./COMPTROLLER JOSEPH A. LUHTELON 2000 2000	REC MANAGER NEET NOUSTON 5000 2000
Region: EASTERN	1-0901	PRESIDENT/CEO STEVEN J. MATERS 100000 0	11/25/ 89 11/24/ 89	V.P., /CONPTROLLER JOSEPH A. LANTE 1000 2000 2000	REO MAMAGER REET MOUSTON 45000 2000 0
FL	1988	PRESIDENT/CEO Steven J. Waters 0 0		V.P./COMPTROLLER JOSEPH A. LANTELGNE	REO MANAGER BRETT MOUSTON
8346 MIANI SAVINGS BANK MIANI		Title Name Selary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	litte Name Salary Benefits Benus RTC Emologed RFC Ecoment Date	11tle Mane Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Emologed Replecement Date

8356 COMMUNIT TAMPA	Y FED S&L ASSOC	ЯL	Region: EASTERN	Con Date: 03/16/89	Net Assets:	6,440
		1988	1989-1	1989-2	1-0661	1990-2
Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus		Vernon D. Braddock	000 D. Braddock Vernon D. Braddock 43000 3000	COO Vernon D. Braddock 3000 3000	COO Vernon D. Braddock 43000 5000 5000	COO Vernon D. Braddock \$000 \$000 \$000
RTC Employed Replacement	Date					
Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus		VP-Loan Officer Roy Williams 0 0	VP-Loan Officer Roy Williams 2600 3000	VP-Loan Officer Roy Williams 26000 0	VP-Loan Officer Roy Williams 26000 0	000
RTC Employed Replacement	Date				06/10/10	
Title Name Selary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed	Date	VP-Personnel & Teller (Dorothy V. Garrick 0 0	0p. VP-Personnel & Teller Derothy W. Garrick 22000 3000	0p. VP-Personnel & Teller Op. Dorothy W. Garrick 22000 3000	VP-Personnel & Teller Op. Dorothy V. Garrick 2300 5000	VP-Personnel & Teller Op. Dorothy V. Garrick 2300 5000 5000

•

	_	<u> </u>		
126,323	1990-2	President Kent T. Merbert 7700 0 0	W, CLO James P. Freelend 5500 0 0	sr. vp. cfo Robert Sterjan 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Kent 1. Merbert 90 0	VP, CLO James P. Freeland 5500 0	sr. w, cfo Robert Sterjan 5000 0
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	President Kent T. Nerbert 900 4000	VP, CLO James P. Freeland 65000 0	sr. vr, Cro Robert Sterjan 56000 3000
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Kent T. Nerbert 97000 4000	VP, CLO James P. Freeland 65000 3000 3000 3000	sr. VP, CFO Robert Sterjan 56000 3000 3000
-	1988	President Kent 1. Merbert 9000 0	vp. CLO James P. Freeland 9000 0	sr. ve. cro Robert Skerjan 52000 0
8363 NOME SAVINGS, A FS&LA JOLIET		Title Mans Salary Benafits Bena RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Hame Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	litie Name Salary Bonus RTC Esployed Replacement Date

374

	_			
92,560	1990-2	Exec. VP Maroid D. Mall, Jr. 15000 0	000	
Net Assets!	1990-1	Exec. VP Marroid D. Mail, Jr. 3500 0	000	
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	Exec. VP Maroid D. Mall, Jr. 1425 1425	000	000
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	President/CE0 Richard P. Mark 73000 1875 10/05/99	Exec: VP Harold D.: Mall, Jr. 1425 1425	sr. vp/Loans David E. McKay 43000 00 00 00,03/89
ч	1988	President/CE0 Richard P. Mank 0 0	Exec. VP Marold D. Mall, Jr. 0 0	sr. vp/Loans David E. Ackay 0 0
5 MIDNEST HOME FSB BELLEVILLE		le Salary Benefits Benus RTC Epuloyed Replacement Date	le Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	le Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date Replacement Date
8365		E	Titl Neme	Tic.

375

•••

12,437	1990-2	EW/CE0 J. Alm Burnette 59000 13000 0	5r. VP Betty Hill 43000 10000 0	Controller Samuel R. Crissinger 5500 1000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Ere/CE0 J. Atam Burnette 59000 13000 0	Sr. Vice Fresident Betty Hill 43000 10000 0	Controller Samuel Crissinger 55000 10000 0
Con Date: 03/02/90	1989-2	Erp/CE0 J. Alan Burnette 18000 18000 0	Sr. VP Berty Hill 43000 10000 0	Controller Samuel R. Crissinger 0 0
sgion: EASTERN	1989-1	EVP/CE0 J. Atam Burnette 5500 1800 0	sr. vice President Betty Hill 1000 1000 0	control ter Samuel Crissinger 0 0
2 2	1968	Evp/CE0 J. Atam Burnette	sr. vice President Betty Nill 0 0 0	
8851 NAVEN S & LA, F.A. WINTER NAVEN		Title Rans Salery Benefits Borus RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Manual Salary Borus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benafits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date

			T	
586,362	1990-2	Chairmen/CE6 Sylvia Miadaaa 153000 0 0	vice ChairmaryPres Ident V. J. Musselmin 8000 0	Sty/Estate Development William Shoyar 5400 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	chairmuv ceo sylvia Hi coloma 153000 0 0	Vice Chairman/President V. J. Musselman 900 0	stP/Estate Development VIII im Shoyer 85400 0 0
con Date: 02/02/90	1989-2	Chairman/CEO Sylvia Rieddma 133000 0 0	Vice Chairmav/President V. J. Muselman 8000 0	svP/Estate Development Villiam Shoyer 8500 0 0
ion: CENTRAL	1989-1	chafraav/cE0 Sylvia Miedama 132000 0 0	Vice ChairmaryPresident V. J. Musselman 9000 0	str/Estate Development VIII iam Shoyer 0 0
AVINGS ASSOCIATION IL	1968	Chairmev/CEO Sylvia Miedena 0 0	Vice Chairman/President V. J. Musselman 0 0	SYP/Estate Development William Shoyer 0 0
8852 CLYDE FEDERAL SA NORTH RIVERSIDE		Title Name Benefits Benefits Benefits Reployed Reployed	Title Hann Hann Bennefts Bonnefts Bonne Reployed Replocement Date	Title Mane Benafits Benafits Reployed Replocement Date

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

377

•

.

9624	VILLIANSBURG FS <u>t</u> la Salt lake city	ur Region:	WESTERN	Date: 01/26/90	Net Assets:	310,520
		1988	1-6861	1989-2	1-0661	1990-2
	llery nefits cellits c Epployed placement Date	ERECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT PETER L. RINGGER 0 0	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT PETER L. RINGGER 9000 0	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT PETER L. RINGE 9000 000	ERECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT PETER L. R.ING- 8000 9000 0	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT PETER L. RINGER BOOD 900 0
	tery nefits C Esployed placement Date	VICE PRESIDENT CONTROLLER BRENT P. WALLACE 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT CONTROLLER BRENT P. WALLACE 9000 000	VICE PRESIDENT CONTROLLER BREAT P. WALLACE 8000 000	VICE PRESIDENT CONTROLLER BRENT P. WALLICE 8000 8000 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT CONTROLLER BRENT P. MALLAC 9000 000
	tery nefits Esployed placement Date	SEMIOR VICE PRESIDENT RANDY N. TESCH 0 0	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT RANDY N. TESCH 9000 0	54. VICE PRESEIDENT NANDT N. TESSEIDEN 8000 000	ERIOR VICE PRESIDENT NANDY N. TECH 5000 8000 0	SAL VICE PRESIDENT NAMOT N. TESN 55000 8000 0

06,574	1990-2	icket. VP Ickin Al exampler 19000 0 0		
Wet Assets: 4	1-0641	PRESIDENT/CEC ROBERT WARCOLLS 12000 12000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	EXECUTIVE V.P./C.1.0. John Al.Junder 110000 18000 0	S.E. V.P./COO & CFO CHMRLES TERRITIONODO 16000 0 0 02/16/90
Con Date: 02/16/90	1989-2	PRESIDENT/CED ROBERT MARQUIC 12000 12000	EKECUTIVE V.P./C.1.0. JOHN ALXANDER 95000 8000 20000	S.E. V.P./COO & CFO CHARLES TERNILL 102000 15000 0
legion: WESTERN	1989-1	PRESIDENT/CEO ROBERT MAROOLLS 123000 123000 12000	EXECUTIVE V.P./C.1.0. JOHN ALXANDER 95000 8000 20000	S.E. V.P./COD & CFO CMARLES TERRILL 102000 16000 0
5	1988	PRESIDENT / CEO ROBERT MARCOLLS 15000 15000 1500	EXECUTIVE V.P./C.1.0. Join Allander 140000 0	S.E. V.P./COO & CFO CMRLES TERLIL 14000 14000 14000 0
8855 WESTERN EMPIRE FS & LA Yorba Linda		Title Mane Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitle Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitle Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

30-239 0 - 90 - 13

Digitized by Google

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GWT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

379

9,261,030	1990-2	President William J. Bershell 12500 0	Exec. VP Dennis Katzer 170000 0	Exec. VP Jerry E. Nayne 0 0
Het Assets:	1-0661	President VIIIim J. Nershell 15005 0 0	Exec. vp bernis Katzer 170000 0	Exec. VP Jerry E. Nayra 0 0
Can Date: 02/16/90	1989-2	President VILLiam J. Revelvall VILLiam J. Revelvall VILLiam J. Revelvall	Exec. YP bernis Katzer 30000 12000	Exec. VP Jerry E. Neyre 30000 279000
gion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Puene fault 26000 225000 225000 225000 225000 22716/90	Exec: VP . Dennis Katzer 130000 30000 142000	Exec. VP Jerry E. Nayne 30000 279000
ST ST	1968	President Duante las (0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Exec: VP Dennis Katzer 0 0	Exec. VP Jerry E. Mayne 0
8056 FRANKLIN SA Ottain		Title Ham Salery Benefits Benefits Replecement Date Replecement Date	Title Mana Salary Bensfits Bensfits Corus RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Name Salery Benefits Bonus Reployed Replocement Date

148,958	1990-2	cro Staphen Deshilil 57310 7708 7708	000	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	CEO GEORGE N. EDWARDS 6000 8011 9 0 0 03/22/90	C.F.O. STEPHEN DASHIELL 7708 7708	6.1.0 BRYAN L. MOTT 53215 7723 0 03/16/90
Con Date: 02/23/90	1989-2	CEO GEDRGE N. EDWARDS 66000 7130 7130	C.F.O. Stewen daniell 54596 0889 0	C.L.O. MOTT 3215 BRTMM L. NOTT 32215 7055
igion: WESTERN	1-6861	CEO GEORGE N. EDMANDS 7130 7130	C.F.O. DASHIELL STEPHEN DASHIELL 6889 0	C.L.O.L. NOTT 53215 BRYAN L. NOTT 53215 7055
YA VA	1988	ceo George M. Eduands George M. Eduands D	C.F.O. STEPHEN DASHTELL	C.L.O BRYAM L. NOTT
8857 FRONTIER FSA WALLA WALLA		Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RFD cement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Beneits Beneits RTC Employed RPC acement Date

.

. .

2,734,063	1990-2	Pres/000 Robert S. Mubberd 225000 0 0	EW7/CF0 F. VILLIam Eonico 218000 0 0 0	
Net Assets:	1-0661	VICE CMILINAW/CEO JONN N. 11000000 3000000 00 00 03/02/90	Pres/000 Robert V. Mubberd 225000 0 0	Everced F. William Bonico 219000 0 0
Con Date: 03/02/90	1989-2	VICE CHAIRMAN/CEO John M. THORPSON 30000 25000 25000	Pres/000 Robert V. Mubberd 225000 0 0	Ever/cfo F. William Banito 718000 15000
ujon: VESTERN	1989-1	VICE CALIBNAL/CED JOHN V. TURPAON 3000 5300 5300	EYP FEAL ES. IND. CHOUP RICHAND N. ESILER 200000 0 11/30/69	President / Coo Noren J. Marando 25500 0
AZ Re	1968	VICE CIALIENAM/CEO JOIN U. TIOPEZON 2700 50000	EVP REAL ES. IND. CACUP RICHAND M. CETLER 275000 275000 0	EVP/CF0 UILmor UICkrameeuriy 168000 10000
8858 PINA SLIA TUCSON		TILLe Name Salary Benafits Benafits RTC Epiloyed Replacement Date	Title Mar Salary Bonafits Bonafits RTC Explored Replacement Date	Title kans Salary Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed Replecement Date

4

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

169,635	1990-2	Loan Operations James Krannauiter 7200 0		
Net Assets:	1990-1	CEO NOBERT VILKINSOM 900 013/09/90	CMIEF LENDING OFFICER NOBERT GARAVELLO 700 0 0 03/09/90	LOAN OPERATIONS JANES KRAMMAUTTER 700 700 700
Con Date: 03/09/90	1989-2	CEO ROBERT VILKINSON 96000 3000 3000	CHIEF LENDING OFFICER Robert Garavello 3000 3000	LOAN OPERATIONS JANES KRANNALITTER 1000 1000
tegion: WESTERN	1989-1	CEO ROBERT ULLKTHSOM 96000 3000 0	CHIEF LENDING OFFICER ROBERT GARAVELLO 3000 3000	LONN OPERATIONS JANES KRAMMALITTERO 1000 0 0
IL SAVINGS BANK Ca	1988	CEO NOBERT VILKINSON 96000 7000	CHIEF LEMDING OFFICE ROBERT GARAVELLO 4000 4000 0	LOAN OPERATIONS JANES KRANMANTITER
8859 VESTPORT FEDERA NANFORD		Title Name Salary Bensfits Bensfits RTC Employed RPL acement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bons RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres. & CEO William N. Anderson 90000 1000
Can Date: 03/09/90	1969-2	Free. & CEO VIIIIam N. Anderson 1000 1000
kegian: EASTERN	1980-1	Pres. 4 CEO Villiam M. Anderson 9000 1000
LANK, FSB	1966	Pres. & CEO VILLIAM N. Anderson 0 0
8860 INVESTOR SAVINGS B		Title Name Name Benefits Bonus RTC Exployed Replacement Date

81,914	1990-2	Pres. & CEO VIIIIam N. Anderson BIOD 1000 0	Esec. VP Robert G. Saith 2000 2000 0	sr. vr Crais E. Gardella 6000 2000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Free. 6 CEO VIII (Lam M. Anderzen 1000 1000 0	Exec. ve Robert G. Saith 2000 2000 0 0	sr. w Craig E. Cardalla 2000 2000 0 0
can Dete: 03/09/90	1989-2	Pres. & CEO VIIIIan N. Andersan 1000 1000	Exec. VP Robert G. Smith 6500 2000 2000	sr.ve Craig E. Gardella 2000 2000 0
tegion: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres & CEO UIIIIam H. Anderson 1000 1000	Exec. VP Robert G. Saith 2000 2000 0	51. VP Craig E. Gardella 2000 2000
LANK, FSB TN	1968	Pres. & CEO VILLIAM N. Anderson 0 0	Exec. VP Robert G. Smith 0 0	sr. vø Graig E. Gardella 0 0
8860 INVESTOR SAVINGS NASHVILLE		Title Name Salery Benus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Sanafits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date



385

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

386

.

		000		000
16,317	1990-2			
Net Assets:	1990-1	President John Bean 42000 0 0 0 0	Loan Officer Phyllis Potts 25000 0 05/04/90	000
Con Date: 02/16/90	1989-2	President John Been 0 0	Loan Officer Phyllis Potts 24000 2000 0	
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President John Been 0 0	Loan Officer Phyllis Potts 23000 2000 0	000
GS BANK, F.S.B. IL	1988	President John Rean 0 0 0	Loan Officer Phyllis Potts 200 0	000
8864 FIDELITY SAVING DANVILLE		Title Mane Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mame Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Banus Banus RTC Employed REC Employed

451,404	1990-2	Pres. & CEO UII(Ima J. Flaher 10000 10000 0	Ere & CEO M. David bollam 65000 9000 0	sty Operations & Francies Carol Namesk 4700 * 9000
Het Assets:	1990-1	Pres. & CEO UILLIAM J. Flaher 10000 10000	Eve & CEO N. Devid boltam 9000 0 0	sve operations & Branche Carol Namrak 47000 8000~ 900
Con bate: 04/05/90	1989-2	Pres. & CEO UILLIAM J. Flaher 143000 130000 130000	Eve & CEO N. Devvid bolt 77000 15000 55000	es syn Operations & Braches Carol Namrak, 50000 11000 5000
jion: EASTERN	1-6861	Pres. 4 CEO UILILIAM J. Flather 15000 150000	EVP & CEO M. David boll 77000 15000 95000	sve Operations & Branch Carol Namrak 50000 11000 11000
unk, Fsa pa	1968	Pres. & CEO Villiam J. Flaher 0	ErP & CEO H. David bollam 0	SvP Operations & Branches Carol Namrak 0 0 0
8868 COLONY SAVINGS BA Nonaca		Title Hama analery Bennefits Benne RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Bensfits Bens RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Name Salary Bennefits Bennefits RTC Employed Replecement Date

	_			
84,588	1990-2	W/Corp. Adm. Sylvia Martines 34,000 4,000 4,000	WP/Controller Sandra Margia 35000 4000	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	W/Corp. Adm. Sylvia Martinez 3000 3000 400 0	VP/Controller Sandra Nargis 3500 400 0	000
Con Date: 03/02/89	1989-2	VP/Corp. Adm. Sylvia Martinez 4000 4000	VP/Controller Sandra Maryis 35000 4000	000
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	President Darreil van Brocklin 7900 4000 0 0 06/02/99	vP/Lending Gilevado Leal 3000 0 0 07/28/89	VP/Control Ler Sandra Hargis 4000 0
TX	1988	President Darrell van Brocklin 79000 4000	vP/Lending Gilevado Leal 300 0	vP/Control Ler Sandra Hargis 35000 3000 0
8481 SOUTHMOST S&L ASSOC. BROWNSVILLE		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitle Name Salary Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name salary Benefits Bonus RFC Employed Replacement Date

389
		80 0 97 70	000	000
65,226	2-0661	CFO Martin Mike Martin		
Net Assets:	1-0661	11000 B. Land 78000 0 0 00 03/31/90	CFO Nike Martin 6,000 0 0	
Con Date: 03/02/89	1989-2	teo Jiany B. Land 78000 0 0	CFO Mike Martin 64000 0 0 0	000
Region: SOUTMEST	1-6961	Limmy D. Land 72000	CFO Mike Martin 6000 0	Financial Consultant Jack Dumphy 8000 10/31/89
0C. 1X	1988	ceo Jiamy D. Land O	CFO Mike Martin 0 0 0	Financial Crreuttant Jack Dumphy 0 0
VISION BANC SAV. ASS KINGSVILLE		allary ianary iona iona iona iona iona iona iona iona	alary atary enefits enefits crash order eplecement Date	alary alary cous cous cous cous coust coust bate
8485				йана 68 бай. 1947 - 19 2017 - 19 20 2017 - 19 20 2017 - 19 20 2017 - 19 20 20 20 20

390

64,379	1990-2	President Ralph P. Schwardsmen 500 0 0	W/RED Properties Stavna Nesic 37000 0 0	
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Ralph P. Schwendemen 5000 0	VV/NEO Properties Slavna Nesic 37000 0	
Con Date: 03/09/89	1989-2	President Raiph P. Schwardemen 2000 0 0	VY/RED Properties Slavna Nesic 36000 0	000
Region: SOUTINVEST	1989-1	President Booglas V. Stewart B000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	VP/RED Properties Charles V. Meathman 37000 0 0 0 0 0 0	
IS S&L ASSOC. TX	1988	President Douglas V. Stewart 000 0	VP/RED Properties Charles W. Neathman 80000 0	
8487 CITIZENS OF TEXA: BAYTOWN		11tle Name Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Neme Salary Bonus Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

,

391

		990	990	000
49,832	1990-2	sr. W/CFO Jerry L. Homeycutt 500 500	vr Dorms S. Green 3000 400	
Net Assets:	1990-1	sr. vr/cF0 Jarry L. Moneycutt 5000 5000	Vr 5. Green 30000 1000 1000	
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	sr. ve/CF0 Jerry L. Moneycutt 53000 4000 0 0	VP 5. Green 30000 4000 4000	000
Region: SOUTIMEET	1-6961	Exec. VP Jack V. Roberta 2000 0 0 09/31/69	sr. w/cro Jerry L. Noneyrutt 2000 2000	ve borna 5. Green 23000 2000 2000
ssoc.	1088	Exec. VP Jack u. Roberts 2000 2000 0	sr. vP/CFO Jerry L. Moneycutt 2000 2000 2000	ve Doma S. Green 23000 2000 0
6489 SAVINGS OF TEXAS A JACKSONVILLE		Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Epiloyed Replacement Date	Title Mar Salary Bonus RTC Epiloyed Replacement Date	Title Mass Benefits Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replecement Date

	,			
64,983	1990-2	President/CED Duight Turner 50000 4000 0	VP/Mtg. Loans Cindi Carter 37000 400	vp/Controller Lih Jeh Lan 34000 4000 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	President/CE0 Duight Turner 75000 4000 0	VP/Ntg. Loans Cindi Carter 37000 4000 0	VP/Controller Steve Land 39000 4000 0 0 02/02/90
Con Date: 01/26/90	1989-2	President/CEO Duight Turner 0	VP/Mtg. Loans Cindi Carter 0	VP/Controller Steve Land 0 0
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	President/CEO Duight Turner 0 0 0	VP/Mtg. Loans Cindi Carter 0 0	vP/Control ler Steve Land 0 0
TX	1988	President/CEO Dwight Turner 0 0	VP/Mtg. Loans Cindi Carter 0 0	vp/controller Steve Land 0 0
8491 PALO DURO FS&LA Amarillo		Title Name Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Mane Mane Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date

393

43,719	1990-2	byra P. Warren 41000 0		000		000
Net Assets:	1-0661	sr. ve Vyra P. Werren 41000		Ve Gene R. Taylor 37800 0	06/50/10	000
con Date: 03/16/ 00	1980-2	sr. ve Vyra P. Warren 37300 0		ve Gene R. Teylor 37300 0		
kegion: soutmest	1-0061	President Den Teylor 40000 4200 12500	03/12/00	sr. vP Vyra P. Warren 37800 0		vp Gene R. Taylor 37800 0
×	1988	President Don Taylor 0		sr. vP Vyra P. Warr en 0 0		ve Gene R. Taylor 0
TIMBERLAND SAV. ASSOC. NACOCOOCHES			: Employed olacement Date		: Employed Slacement Date	ary weitte tue Lecennit Date
8493		₽ ₽	RTC Rep	Sel. Ben	RTC Rep	Title Sal Ben Rep(

394

45,258	1990-2	President Reegan Staphenaon 600 0		Corp. Secretary/Cont. Deniae Kelley. 1200 1200 100
Net Assets:	1-0661	President Reegen Stephenson 8000 000		Corp. Secretery/Cont. Denies Kelley 2000 1200 1200
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	President Reegun Stephenson 2000 0	•••	Corp. Secretary/Cont. Deniae Kelley 27000 1200 0
tegion: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	President Resgan Stephenson 72000 6000 0	VP Jerry Rawson 35000 0 10/31/89	Corp. Secretary/Cont. Denise Kelley 27000 1200 1200
AV ASSN TX	1988	President Reegen Stephenson 0 0	VP Jerry Rauson 0 0 0 0 0	Corp. Secretary/Cont. Denise Kelley 0 0
8495 DEEP EAST TFYAS (JASPER		Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benusi Bonus RTC Employed RPD Accement Date

395

. .

. -

50,005	1990-2	President Theodore Petera 6000 0 0	Exec. VP Tom Kruft 7000 7000 0 0	ve Liarren Bower 6000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Theodore Peters 6000 9000 9000	Exec. VP Tom Kruft 49000 7000 0	ve Varren Bower 28000 600 0
Con Date: 03/16/ 59	1989-2	President Peters Theadort Peters 8000 8000 0	Exec. VP Tam Kruft 7000 0 0	ve liarren Boxer 28000 6000 0
Region: SOUTIMEST	1-6861	President Theodore Press 6000 8000 0	Exec. Vo Tom Kruft 7000 0	vp Varren Bower 6000 0
OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS TX	1988	President Theodore Peters 8000 0 0	tam Kruft 7000 7000 0	ve Varren Bower 27000 6000 0
8497 FIRST SAV ASSM (Silsbee		Title Maas Benefits Benefits Bonus RTC Emjoyed Replecement Date	Title Mane Benefits Benefits Bonus RFIC EpUoved Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

-

Т



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

0,334	1990-2	e (dent Bruce Storell 5000 0 0	000	
Net Assets: 3	1-0661	Freeident E. Bruce stoval I 55000 0 0 0	000	
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	Freeldent E. Bruce Stoval 1 5000 2000 0	000	
Region: southwest	1-6961	President E. Bruce Stovall 2000 2000 2000	sr. vP Richard S. Johnson 8000 0 0 03/31/89	ve Larry V. Long 40000 2000 12/30/89
×L	1988	President E. Brue Stoval 1 46000 1000	5. VP Richard S. Johnson 8000 8000 8000	ve Lerry V. Long 25000 7000 0
8500 RUSK FED. SÅL ASSOC. RUSK		Title Name Salary Bonus Bonus RTC Esployed Replecement Date	fitle Name Salary Benus Rift Employed Replecement Date	fite Name Salary Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

• -

•			·····	
65, 14 8	1990-2	President Phill Wassect 6000 9000 0	W/Control Ler Diana Blatock 0 0 0	AVP/RED Gary Van Deventer 2200 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	President Mil Wanneck 900 0	vP/CF0/Controller Diane Blatock 3000 0 0	Avy/REO Gary Van Deventer 200 0
Con Date: 03/09/89	1989-2	President Pril Komack 6000 9000 0		000
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	President Phil Wommeck 9000 0	VP Mike Cooper 50000 5000 0 03/31/69	vP/CF0 Keith Damek 34000 0 0 05/31/69
. ASSOC. TX	1988	President Phil Womeck 0 0	Mike Cooper	VP/CFO Keith Damak 0 0
8501 SOUTHEASTERN SAV DAYTON		Title Name Renefits Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Neme Selary Benefits Benus RTC Employed RPC Lement Date	Title Name Banefits Banefits Rrc Employed Rrc Employed

	_		····	
29,403	1990-2	President John L. Snider 60000 7620 0	CFO Johnny Feeter 40000 1200 0 0	VP/Lending Jim Seever 30000 1200 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	President John L. Snider 6000 7620 0	CFO Johnny Foater 40000 1200 0	ve/Lending Jim Sawyer 1200 0 0
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	President John L. Snider 7000 480 0	cro Johnny Faster 37200 1200 1200	VP/Lending Jin Server 27700 1200 1200
Region: SOUTIMEST	1989-1	President John L. Snider 7000 480 480	CFO Johnny Faiter 1200 1200	vP/Lending Jim Sanyrer 1200 1200 1200
ASSOC. IX	1988	President John L. Snider 0 0	Lohnny Foster Johnny Foster 0	vp/Lending Jis Saayer 0 0
8503 SABINE VALLEY S&L CENTER		Title Mane Benefits Benefits Benef RTC Employed RFC Employed	Title Name Salary Sanefits Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Name Salery Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

.

8, 137	1990-2	P/Managing Officer bert P. Nead 4000 0 0	000		/operations nise R. Pilling 27000 0 0	
Net Assets: 3	1-061	Evp/Hemaging Officer Ev Nobert P. Heed 48000 0 0 0	000		VP/Operations VP Joan M. Irvin 34200 0 0	03/12/90
con Date: 03/09/89	1989-2	EvP.Manasing Officer Ropert P. Nead 45000 0	•••		VP/Operations Joen M. Irvin 31260 0	
Region: SOUTIMEST	1989-1	Contervator Jack Hortham 21000 2000 0 0,04/21/89	EvryAnaging Officer James C. Marlowe 5000 5000 0	11/21/69	VP/Operations Joan M. Irvin 31260 0	
ž	1988	Conservator Jack Vorsham 66000 1000	Evr/Managing Officer James C. Marlowe 6600 0 0		VP/Operations Joan M. Irvin 26400 0	
8504 BAYSHORE SAV. ASSOC. La porte		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Exployed Reclacement Date	Title Neme Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

401

• • •

•

909° 00% 20% 000 VP Lester Sparks CEO N. Don Kirk 1990-2 27,611 800° 900 2000 2000 000 Wet Assets: VP Lester Sparks CEO M. Don Kirk 1990-1 999° 999° 900 % 000 vP Lester Sparks Con Date: 03/09/89 1989-2 CEO N. Don Kirk Cf0 Russell Keibler 2000 2000 0 0004 0009 0009 2000 3000 3000 04/15/89 VP Lester Sparks CEO H. Don Kirk 1-6861 Region: SOUTIMEST CFO Russell Keibler 7589 0 20002 20002 48000 10000 vp Lester Sparks CEO N. Don Kirk ž 1968 CITY SAVINGS ASSOC. LEAGUE CITY RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date Title Name Salary Bernefits Borus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus 8507

			Replacement Date
			RTC Employed
President Jeff Davis 30000 0 0	President	President 0 0	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus
1989-2	1989-1	1988	
con uate: u3/10/07	Region: SOUTHNEST	S&L ASSW TX	MOODVILLE
Con pares: U3/10/09 1989-2 1989-2 1eff bavis 0 0 0	Region: sourimest 1969-1 President		TX 1988 President

Digitized by Google

.

1990-2	President Jeff Davis 0000	Cashier Gay Neil Abbott 1920 0	000
1990-1	President Jeff Davis 30000 0	Cashier Gay Hell Abbott 19250 0	
1989-2	President Jeff Davis 30000 0 0	Ceshier Gay Well Abott 19250 0	000
1989-1	President	Cashier Gay Well Abbott 1750 0	
1988	President 0 0	Vice President Jeff Fortenberry 0 09/01/88	Cashier Gay Weil Abbott 16625 0 0
1000A11112	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Mame Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RPC Employed RPC Employed

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

1			I	
19,607	1990-2	Exec. vP James V. Phillipa 3000 3000	vP Betty Nichola 25000 3000 0 0	vP/Asset Ngart. Dixie J. Casey 28000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Exec. VP James V. Milling 3000 3000	VP Betty Nichola 25000 3000 0	VP/Asset Mart. Dixie J. Casey 28000 0
Can Dete: 03/16/89	1989-2	Exec. VP Jamma V. Phillig 3000 3000 3000	VP Betty Nichola 5000 3000 0	VP/Atset Mart. Dixis J. Casey 2000
Region: SOUTMLEST	1980-1	Exec. VP James V. Phillipa 3000 0 0	VF Betty Wichola 35000 0 0	VP/Asset Mgat. Dirtie J. Casey 2000
LA TX	1988	Exec. vp James V. Phillips 0	ve Betty Michola 0 0	VP/Asset Mont. Dixie J. Casey 0
8509 GOLDEN TRIANGLE S & BRIDGE CITY		Title Mans Benefits Benefits Bonus RTC Exployed Replacement Date	Title Name Bareffts Bonus Reployed Replocement Date	Title Mane Barary Bararéfits Baras Baplaceent Date Replaceent Date

		23000 2 2	21000 0 0	
14,895	1990-2	Cashier	ŝ	
Assets:	1-066	23000 22	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000
μĘ	t	Cashie	\$	
/06/89	89-2	0 0 0 33000 5	21000	
Con Date: Of	51	Cashie 	6 000	000
gion: southwest	1-6861	President George Spankmen 09/22/89 09/22/89	Ceshier Nargo Cook 2300 12/15/89	Vice President Beverly Gaither 1600 08/01/89
E.		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
X	1988	President George Spar	Cash i er Nargo Cook	vice Presid Beverly Gai
GOLDEN CIRCLE SA, FSB Corsicana		ary defits das tacement Date discement Date	ary sefits us liscement Date	ary defits us Employed (acement Date
8510		Title Name Sal Ben Bon RTC REP	Title Name Sal Ben RC R R C R R C	Title Name Sal Bon RTC Rep

ł

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

		00009 000068		000		000	
191,190	1990-2	CFO John Tiltan					
Het Assets:	1-0661	10 John 111ton 89000 6000 0		000			
ate: 03/09/89	1969-2	cro John Tilton 8000 000		000		000	
legion: MESTERN Con D	1989-1	CEO/PRESIDENT LACK FATCRETT 13000 13000 0	04/28/69	C.F.O. JOWN TILTON 91000 6000 000		GENERAL COUNSEL LEE VEINSTEIN 4000 7000	06/30/89
ē S	1966	CEO/PRESIDENT JACK FATCHETT 135000 24000 42000		C.F.O. JOHN TILTON 135000 13000 8000		GENERAL COUNSEL LEE VEINSTEIN 43000 5000 6000	
8528 SUM SEL ASSOC. PARKER		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

	_			
	2	800	000	000
254, 130	2-0661			
Net Assets:	1990-1	Exec. vp James Pal sawir 2000 0 0 0,/20/90	000	000
Con Date: 03/09/89	1989-2	Exec. VP James Pallamerir 122000 0 0		000
egion: VESTERN	1-6861	PRESIDENT-CEO JANES R. GLASCIN 155000 2000 0 0 05/15/89	EX. VICE PRESIDENT JANES PALSNE 122000 12000 0 0	SERIOR VICE PRESIDENT ROGER KRAUSE 13000 2000 0 0 0 06/01/69
OF COLORADO SPRINGS	1986	PRESIDENT-CEO JANES R. GLASKIN 0	EX. VICE PRESIDENT JANES PALSNEIR 0 0	SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT NOGER KRAUSE
8532 FIRST FED. SLLA COLORADO SPRING		Title Name Salary Banefita Banua RTC Employed Replacement Date	1111e Name Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

ļ

12, 392	1990-2	000				VP/Controller Lame P. Rushing 1000 0	
Net Assets:	1-0661	000		sr. ve Kenny D'Amico, Sr. 0000	01/08/90	vP/Controller Lene P. Rushing 31000 0	
con Date: 07/20/89	1989-2	000		sr. ve Kanny D'Amico, sr. 0000		VP/Controller Lane P. Rushing 2600 0	
tegion: EASTERN	1-0001	Pres/CEO John S. Sylvest 200 0	12/51/89	sr. ve Kerny D'Amico, Sr. 3000 0		vP/Controller Lame P. Rushing 26000 0	
-	1988	Pres/CEO John S. Sylvest 0		sr. VP Kenny D'Amico, Sr. 0		VP/Controller Lane P. Rushing 0 0	
8593 PARISH FED. SÅL ASSOC. Denkan springs		Title Hame Salary Benefite Bonua	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Kame Salary Bonus Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

240,966	1990-2	EX/VP/COO SHERVIN U. EASTERLIN 5000 0 0	EX/VP JAY R. VEST 5000 0	SK/YP BRUCE E. AUSTIN 5000 5000 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Net Assets:	1990-1	EX/VP/CO0 SHERVIN V. EASTERLIN 5600 5000 5000	EX/YP JAY R. HEST 500 500	SR/VP BRUCE E. AUSTIN 65000 5000 5000
Con Date: 01/04/90	1989-2	EK/VP/COO BIERNIN W. EASTERLIN 0 0 0 0	EX/VP JAY R. JEST	SR/VP BRUCE E. AUSTIN 0 0 0
tegion: EASTERN	1989-1	EX/VP/COO SHERNIN V. EASTERLIN 0 0 0	LAV R. WEST JAY R. WEST 0 0 0 0	BRUCE E. AUSTIN
s & LA MS	1988	EKVAP/COO SREANIN W. EASTERLIN 0 0 0	an R. West Mr. R. West	SK/VP BRUCE E. AUSTIN
8821 FIRST GUARANTY F Hattlesburg		Title Man Balary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mene Stary Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

		000	000	000
247,813	2-0661			STARKUS
Net Assets:	1990-1	MERLICET / CECO AL MED 1. PUCHNER 125000 6000 0 0 0 0	EXEC.VICE PRESIDENT JAKE KEEN 125000 000 0	v.P./mca. 1111E 1 DEP1. JIN STARCUS 75000 4200 0 0 02/15/90
can Date: 01/11/90	1989-2	PRESIDENT/CE0 ALFRED 1. PUCMER 155000 66000 150000 15000	EXEC.VICE PRESIDENT JALE KEEN 125000 12000 000	V.P./MGR. TITLE 1 DEPT. JIM STARKUS 75000 4200 4200
on: VESTERN	1989-1	MESIDENT/CEO ALFRED 1. VLMMER 15000 15000 15000	EXEC. VICE PRESIDENT JACE REEN 125000 0000	v.p./wck. 111LE 1 0EP1. JIN STANKUS 7500 200
C.A. Regi	1968	PRE SIDE NT / CEO AL FRED 1. PUCKNER 0 0	EXEC. VICE PRESIDENT JULE KEEN 000000000000000000000000000000000	V.P.,MCR. TITLE I DEPT. Jim Starkus 0 0
8823 INVESTMENT FS & LA WOODLAND HILLS		Title Name Salary Borus Borus RTC Employed RPC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RTC Ement Date Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RIC Employed Replacement Date

410

31,465	1990-2	President & CED Jean Nime 5000 5000 00	Exec. VP Jeff Teague 44000 500	Controller Jeane Moore 28350 0 0
ket Assets:	1990-1	President & CEO Jean Mins 5000 5000 0	Exec. VP Jeff Tesgue 44000 5000	Control Ler Jeane Moore 28350
Con Date: 01/26/90	1989-2	000	000	000
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	President & CEO Jean Mias 5000 5000 0	Exec. VP Leff Teague 44000 5000	Control Ler Jeane Noore 28350 0 0
LA AR	1988	President & CEO Jean Nims 0 0 0	Exec. vp Jerf Tessue	Control Ler Jeane Moore
8825 GRAND PRAIRIE FS & I STUTTGART		fitle Name Salary Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitle Name Salary Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Het Assets:	1-0061	CEC/MESIDENT NAMERI C. TERN 15000 0 0 0 0 03/09/90	USAP PACEINENT
con Bate: 02/09/90	1989-2	CEO/PHRESIDEHT Noment C. Teary 119000 0 0	
te lest te lest	1-0061	CEGUPARESIDENT ROBERT C. TERMY 000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	1968 C	CEO/PRESIDENT NOBERT C. TERRY 0 0	
88289 MARTINGTON FS & LV	NUNTINGTON BEACH	11tlo Mars Salary Bonus Itt Egologed Replecement Date	

120,438	1990-2	CEC/PRESIDENT ROMENT C. TEMP 0 0 0	VICE MERSIDENT PHIL SHITH 65000 0 0	
Net Assets:	1-0661	CEO/PRESIDENT NOMERT C. TENNY 115000 0 0 03/09/90	VICE MESIDENT MIL SHITH S5000 0 0 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT JOHN LINSCH 61000 6000 0 0 0 0
Can Date: 02/09/90	1989-2	CEC/PRESIDENT NoBERT C. TERRY 115000 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT MILL SHITH 55000 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT JOHN LASCH 61000 6000
keçian: LESTERN	1-5861	CEO/PRESIDENT NOMERT C. TENYT OOMERT C. TENYT O	VICE PRESIDENT PHIL SHITH 86000 0 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT JOHN LASCH 61000 6000 0
5	1968	CEG/PRESIDENT NOBERT C. TERNY 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT	VICE PRESIDENT
8829 NUNTINGTON FS & LA Nuntington Beach		Title Maar Salary Benafits Benafits Replecement Date Replecement Date	Title Kaar Salary Bensfits Bensfits Porus Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits Benefits Replacement Date Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

· _

Tressurer Larry W. Anderson 47200 3650 0 VP Geraid L. Wallace 5630 530 000 1990-2 47,940 VP Geraid L. Wallace 5630 5630 Tressurer Larry V. Anderson 1500 3650 000 R Net Assets: 1990-1 Tressurer/CA Larry W. Anderson 5170 5170 VP Geraid L. Wallace 5150 3150 ... Con Date: 04/06/89 1989-2 Tressurer Larry W. Anderson 5770 3770 VP Geraid L. Wallace 3500 3160 0 67500 4500 09/22/89 1989-1 President Paul Bower Region: CENTRAL Tressurer Larry W. Anderson 2000 577 577 VP Gerald L. Wallace 41340 1320 1928 90000 13500 0 FIRST FS&LA OF ESTHERVILLE & EMMETSBURG ESTHERVILLE IA President Paul Bower 1988 RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Selary Benefits Bonus .. 8534

Digitized by Google

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access use#pd-google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

44, 339	1990-2	Pres/Exec. VP Robert V. Wilson 3000 900	000	
Net Assets:	1-0661	PrearExec. VP Robert N. Wilson 3000 0		000
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	Pres/Exec. VP Robert V. Weine 19000 9000 0	•••	
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Deniel P. Laecteen 94000 1000 007/31/89	cfo Gary A. Stephens 6000 3000 0 0 03/31/89	Exec. VP Robert V. Velse 5000 3000 0
IS BANK, FA IL I	1968	President Demiet P. Isecteen 1000 35000	cro Gary A. Stephena Buoo Buoo Buoo	Exec. VP Robert V. Weise 64000 3000 0
6537 ILLINOIS SAVIM PEORIA		Title Hame Banefits Banefits Borus RTC Epologed Replecement Date	Title Mana Barafits Barafits RTC Epilopad Replacement Date	TICLe Name Salary Bonnéite Bonna RTC Employed Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

99,049	1990-2	Pres./CEO Robert S. Maloney 77000 0	sec./Operation VP Susan F. Frolich 35000 00	Tresurer Terry Manning 43000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres./CEO Robert S. Meloney 77000 0	sec./Operation VP James J. Gaudet 56000 0 0	Tressurer Terry Manning 43000
Con Date: 08/07/89	1989-2	Pres./CEO Robert S. Maloney 7000 0	Sec./Operation VP James J. Gaudet 0	Tresurer Terry Manning 56000 0
egion: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres./CEO Robert S. Naloney 7700 0	Sec./Operation VP James J. Gaudet 56000	Treasurer Terry Manning 36000 0
5	1988	Pres./CEO Robert S. Maloney	Sec./Operation VP James J. Gaudet	Tressurer Terry Manning
8538 CITIZENS HOMESTEAD FSA NEW ORLEANS		Title Name Salary Benefits Bous RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Name Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Beneits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date

415

•

221,849	1990-2	CECI/Asset Namager Carl Bond 96000 4000 0 0	Compliance officer Larry Stephene 45000 4000 4000	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	CEC/Asset Namager Carl Bond 96000 4000 0	Campitiance Officer Larry Stephena 5000 4000 0 0	000
Con Date: 03/09/89	1989-2	Asset Manager Carl Bond 65000 4000 0	Capitane Officer Larry Stephens 5000 4000 4000	000
gion: southlest	1989-1	CEO Gam Childress 120000 600 0 0 04/14/89	Sr. Operations Officer Jim Mazeluood 55000 4000 001/14/19	Asset Namber Carl Bond 6000 6000 000
INGS, A FS & LA TX Re	1968	CEO Gen Childres Childres	Sr. Operations Officer Jim Maretwood 0 0	Asset Manager Carl Bond
B544 CONTINENTAL SAVI Dellaire		Title man benefits benefits benefits forus Riplucamin Dute Riplucamin Dute	Title Hame Balary Balary Barafits Borns Ric Euployed Rich acamin Date	Title Name Starty Browfits Browfits Crus Reployed Reployed

		•		
40,505	1990-2	sr. wr/sec. Linda S. Ashby 3223 2505 0	VP/Consumer Loans Lee Allen 31512 4388 400	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	sr. wr/sec. Linda S. Ashby 3222 2505 0	VP/Consumer Loans Lee Allen 31512 4385 0	000
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	sr. wr/sec. Linda S. Aahby 32623 2630 0	VP/Consumer Loans Lee Allen 31512 4945 0	000
Region: SOUTHWEST	1989-1	sr. vp/sec. Linda S. Ashby 22623 2630 2630	VP/Consumer Loans Lee Allen 31512 4945	VP/Ntg. Loans Skipper Nonney-utt 2065 5095 0 0 05/10/89
TX	1986	sr. wr/sec. Linda S. Ashby 0	W/Consumer Loans Lee Aillen 0	VP/Mtg. Loans Skipper Honneycutt 0 0
8545 GENERAL SAVINGS ASSOC. HENDERSON		Title Aume Salary Bions Bionus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Bennefite Benns RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Kome Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date
	1	• ·		

417

MCAN SAL ASSOC.	e 2008 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	egion: sourm.Est 1989-1	Con Date: 03/16/89 1989-2	Net Assets: 1990-1	138,170 1990-2
	President G. H. Stour, Jr. 200 0 0	President G. M. Stout, Jr. 2000 2000 2000	President G., N. Staut, Jr. 2000 2000	President: 6. N. Stout, Jr. 2009 2009	President 6. N. Stout, Jr. 2000 2000
	w/Corp. Lending Janet Casselberry 2000 2000 00	W/Corp. Lending Janet Cesselberry 2000 2000	vr/corp. Lending Janet Cessel berry 2000 2000	VP/Corp. Lending Jamat Casaelbarry 2000 2000	VP/Corp. Lending Jame: Casel lentry 2000 2000 0
	Asst. VP/Branch Mgr. Ray Long 31000 1000 0	Aast. VP/Branch Mgr. Ray Long 2000 2000 0	Asst. VP/Branch Mgr. Ray Long 32000 2000 0	Asst. W/Branch Mgr. Ray Long 33000 3000	Asst. VP/Eranch Ngr. Rey Long 33000 3000 0

		1.5					
36,507	1990-2	VP/RED George Wildesin 45000 2000 2000		000			
Net Assets:	1-0661	VP/REO George Wildesin 2000 2000 2000		000		000	
Con Date: 03/02/89	1989-2	VP/REO George Vildesin 2000 2000				000	
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	President Thed Dorsey 75000 7000	04/14/89	st. sup. Agent Natthew Poerner 6000 8000 0	64/20/10	vp/REO George Wildasin 2000 2000	
× ت	1986	President Thed Dorsey 75000 7000 0		st. sup. Agent Matthew Poerner 7500 0		VP/REO George Vildasin 45000 2000 2000	
8547 SUBURBAN SAVINGS ASSO San Antonio		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

419

,

104,500	1990-2	Prev/CED Juhn E. Nime 95000 5000 0 0	sr. w/coo Gilbert R. Aleres Soco O O	
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres/CED John E. Kirne 95000 5000 0	styrcoo silbert R. Alaris 7000 900	
Con Date: 04/06/89	1989-2	President/CED John E. Alme \$5000 15000 0	swy.coo silbert R. Alenzo 5000 900	
kegion: soutimest	1989-1	Pres/CE0 John E. Nitree 95000 15000	0 0005 00005 00005 00005 00005 0005 00	svp/Lending buvid Garrett 90000 15000 0 005/30/89
X	1988	Pres/CEO John E. Mirree	sw/cco Gilbert R. Alonzo 0 0	sve/Lending David Garrett 0 0
8548 EXCEL BANC SAV. ASSOC. Lanedo		Title Mans Salary Benafits Bena RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Man Salary Bonafits Bona RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Auns Sulary Bonafits Bonafits Rec Employed Replacement Date

•



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

742,940	1990-2	President Edward Zinameister 9000 0	W//funda Mgart. Thomas H. Ross 6000 33000 33000	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Starr Keelinfer, 111 100000 0 0 0 0 0 0	VP/ Funde Mart. Thomas II. Ross 6000 9	
Con Date: 06/29/89	2-6961	President Starr Keelhofer, 111 100000 0 0	W/Funds Mgmt. Thomas H. Ross 75000 0	000
Region: SOUTMEST	1-6961	CE0 Edward R. Barron 200000 50000 10/31/69	President Glen S. Corao Glen S. Corao 0 0 05/31/89	VP/Funds Mgar. Naymard F. Robinson Naymard F. Robinson 15000 46000 09/29/89
SOC, FSA TX	1968	ceo Edward R. Barron 20000 52000 52000	President Glen S. Corao 20000 20000	VP/Funds Mart. Naynard f. Robinaan 60000 83000
8556 VICTORIA SAV ASS SAN ANTONIO		Title Mans Benafits Bonafits Bona RIC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Kan Band Bandits Bandits Band Raplacement Date Raplacement Date	Title Kana Banefite Banefite Rapioyed Rapiecement Date

,906,307	1990-2	vice President Kathy Rubino 15000 0 0		000		000	
Net Assets: 2,	1-0661	cco/cfo Roger Clark 300000 0	06/E1/70	Vice President Kathy Rubino 150000 0 0		000	
Con Date: 04/14/89	1989-2	Corp. Coursel Tim Westfall 200000 0		vice President Kathy Rubino 150000 0 0		CEO Roger Clark 300000 0 0	
Region: MESTERN	1989-1	DP Director Rob Symmes 200000 0	07/18/89	CFO Kathy Rubino 275000 0		Sr. VP Bruce Dickson 450000 0	63/03/66
S	1988	CEO Rob Symes 350000 0		CFO Kathy Rubino 350000 0		Sr. VP Bruce Dickson 450000 0	
8560 LINCOLN S&L ASSOC, FA IRVINE		Title Name Salary Borus RTC Employed	Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Borus Borus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Neme Salary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

423

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

605,215	1990-2	Exec. W Scott Barling 12000 11609		
Het Assets:	1-0661	PRESIDENT LEE MARERSOND 5230 0 0 0,/02/90	CHIEF LENDING OFFICER THOMIS CAND 9405 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2/28/90	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT SCOTT DARLING 11609 11609
con Date: 04/27/89	1989-2	MESIDENT LEE MAGENOM 135000 3290 0	CHIEF LENDING OFFICER THOMAS CANO 94.085 94.085 0	T EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT SCOTT DANLING 120000 11609 11609
ion: WESTERN	1-0001	PRESIDENT LEE MAGENSON 135000 520 0	CHIEF LENDING OFFICER THOMAS CANO 95000 9408 0	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT SCOTT DARLING 11609 11609
SAVINGS ASSOC. CA	1988	PRESIDENT LEE MAGENSON	CHIEF LENDING OFFICER THOMAS CANO	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT SCOTT DANLING 0 0
8561 SOUTIMEST FED.		Title Man Barafits Barafits Barafits Replecement Date	1111e Mana Balary Benefits Brit Engloyed Replecement Date	Title Man Salary Bonafits Bonafits Bonafits Applecement Date

825, 785	1990-2	CEO/President/COO J. Michael Materie 275000 27000 0				000	
Wet Assets:	1990-1	CEO/President/COO J. Michael Materia 225000 27000 27000					
con Date: 06/14/89	1989-2	CEO/President/COO J. Michael Naterie 225000 27000				000	
Region: WESTERN	1989-1	Chairman/CEO Edward J. Janos 30000 30000	04/28/89	President/C00 Gregroy E. Janos 10000 20040 20040	04/28/89	Exec. VP/CFO Stanley Drozdowski 105000 12600 12600	06/03/89
SSN., FSA AZ	1988	Chairmary/CEO Edward J. Janos 30000 30000		President/COO Gregroy E. Jamos 250000 20040 0		Exec. VP/CFO Stanley Drozdunski 105000 126000 12600	
8563 SUN STATE S&L A PHOENIX		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bernefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

.

~
10, 143	1990-2	MESIDENT DAN ALLEN 44000 4000 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT Domeile Price 25000 4000 0 0	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	MESIDENT MA ALLEN 4000 4000	VICE PRESIDENT Dommelle Price 25000 4000 0	•••
Con Date: 09/28/89	2-6861	MESIDENT DMI ALLEN 4000 4000	VICE PRESIDENT DOMMELLE PRICE 25000 4000	
Region: VESTERN	1-6961	MESIDENT 44000	0 0005 00005 00005 00005 00005 00005 00005 00005 00005 000000	000
S BANK, F.S.B. CO	1988	PRESIDENT DAM ALLEN	VICE PRESIDENT DOMMELLE PRICE	
8567 COLORADO SAVING STERLING		Title Man Balary Bons It C Employed Replecement Date	Title Man Bound Its Bound Its Bound Its RPC Employed Replecement Date	Title Mass Bilary Boons/fts Boons/fts Replacement Date Replacement Date

146,476	1990-2	sr. vp/Tress. Demis L. Porte 5000 5000	sr. vp Randall R. Saith 5000 5000	
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres/CED Nal D. Eltchriet 72000 90 04/02/90	sr. yr & Tressurer Dennis L. Forka 5000 5000	sr. ve Randali R. Saith 5000 900
Con Date: 10/16/99	1989-2	Pres/CEO Hel D. Gitchriet 72000 7000 0	sr . vp/fressurer Dennis L. Forke 2000 6000 0	sr. vP Randall R. saith 5000 0
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	Pres. & CEO Mai D. Gilchriat 72000 7000 0	Sr. VP & Treasurer Dennis L. Forke 6000 0	sr. vp Randali R. smith 52000 5000 0
SAV. BANK IA	1988	Pres. & CEO Mai D. Gilchrist 7000 7000	sr. ve & tressurer Demis L. Forke 600 0	sr.vp Randail R. Smith 5000 5000 0
8571 BANC IOUA FED. 1 CEDAR RAPIDS		Title Mane Benefits Benefits Replacement Date Replacement Date	Title Mume Benefits Benefits RPLoyed RPLacement Date Replacement Date	Title Mane Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

_

<i>6</i> 93,026	1990-2	President Kerreth P. Brasted 0 0	Exec. ve Burton G. Duniep 0 0	. We R. Grob
Net Assets:	1-0661	President Kerreth P. Breated 9000 000	Experimence bivilation Burton G. Duniago 0 0	ve special Projects Div Rex R. Grob 71500 0 0
Can Date: 10/19/89	1989-2	President Breated, Kenneth P. Brated, 1523 0	Exec. VP Burton G. Dumalp 977 977 0	
gion: CENTRAL	1-9861	President Remeth P. Bratted 1623 1523	Ever Firance Division Burton C. Duniap Barboo Barboo 9971 9971	ve special Projects Di Rex R. Grob 3912 3912
ASSOC. F.A. KS	1968	President Kerveth P. Istaed 14200 9149 0	Evp Finance Division Burton G. Duniap 142200 0 0	ve special Projects Div. Rex R. Grob 71500 2295 5000
8576 MID KANSAS S&L		Title Man Blany Bonafits Bonafits Bonafits Bonafits RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Silory Benefits Benefits RFC Employed Replecement Date	Title Man Banefits Banefits Raployed Reployed

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

49,481	1990-2	comptroller Horman J. Buirn, Jr. \$500 0	VP/Loans Adrienne Ferrell Adrienne Ferrell 0 0	•••
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres. 4. CE0 Judith Niles-Budoff 7000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	comptroller Norman J. Guin, Jr. 5000 0	vp - Learne Adrienne Ferrell 300 0
Con Date: 02/09/90	1989-2	Press. & CEO Judith Miles-Pudoff 75000 0	Comptroller Norman J. Guint, Jr. 4000 0	VP - Loans Adrienne Ferrell 0 0 0
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres. & CEO Judith Milee-Budorf 75000 0	comptroller Normen J. Guirn, Jr. 45000 0 0	vp - Loans Adrienne Ferreil 3000 0 0
IANK, FSB MD	1988	Pres. & CEO Judith Miles-Budoff 0 0	Comptrol Ler Norman J. Quinn, Jr. 0 0	vp - Loans Adrienne Ferrell 0
8578 LIBERTY SAVINGS B Randallstown		Title Name Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RFC Employed RFC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed

429

۰.

-

000 000 000 1990-2 74,545 000 000 000 Net Assets: Nanaging Agent 1-0661 000 000 000 Con Date: 06/08/89 1989-2 CE0 Frederick J. Scim Frederick J. 22000 40000 2000 000 CFO John E. Eller 1989-1 Region: EASTERN CE0 Frederick J. Setan Frederick J. 20000 40000 CF0 John E. Eller 2000 0 ... ₹ 1966 CIVIC SAVINGS BANK PORTSHOUTH RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date RIC Employed Replacement Date Title Name Selary Benefits Bonus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus 8581

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

430

93,596	1990-2	President VIIIIam L. Davis 6500 6000 00	sr. vp bavid L. villiam 145000 145000 145000 145000	Loan Officer Gien R. Surer 1000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	President William L. Davis 6500 400	sr. vP David L. villians 3000 3000	Loan Officer Glen R. Suter 3900 100 0
Con Date: 10/26/89	1989-2	President Davis William L. Davis 6000 4000	sr. vP Bavid L. Williams 3000 3000	Loan Officer Glen R. Suter 1000 1000
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	President Villiam L. Davis 65000 4000 0	sr. VP David L. Williame 5000 3000 0	Loan Officer Glen R. Suter 39000 1000 0
AVINGS ASSOC., F.A. OK	1988	President Villiam L. Davis 0	sr. vp David L. villians 0	Loan Officer Glen R. Suter 0 0
8582 GREAT PLAINS SI MEATHERFORD		Title Rame Banefits Banefits Bonus Raployed Replecement Date	Title Name Name Stary Benefits Bonus Reployed Replocement Date	Title Name Benefits Benefits Roms RC Emerit Date Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

. •

1990-2	President/CEO Charles A. Bata 105000 000 0	Sr. W/CFP Susan Georgen Sad 2000 2000 0	VP/Loan Operations Gary Lento 33200 2000 0 0
1-0661	President/CEO Charles A. Brts 0000 000	sr. W/CFP Suam Georgen-Saad 80000 2000 0	VP/Loan Operations Gary Lenzo 53200 2000 0
1989-2	President/CEO Charles A. Betts 105000 8000 0	sr. vP/CFP Suam Georgen Saado 2000 2000	W/Loan Operations Gary Lenzo 4500 2000 0
1-6961	President/CE0 Charles A. Betts 195000 000 0	Sr. W/CFP Sueen Georgen Saed 2000 2000	VP/Loan Operationa Gary Lenzo 2000 0
1986	President/CEO Charles A. Betts 0	Sr. WYCFP Susan Georgen-Saed	VP/Loan Operations Gary Lento
	Title Name Barry Boous Boous Brougeloyed Replacement Date	Title Kana Benefits Bonus Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Kane Borefits Borus Borus Replacement Date Replacement Date
	1988 1989-1 1989-2 1990-2	1986 1989-1 1989-2 1980-1 1990-1 1990-2 Title President/CEO President/CEO President/CEO President/CEO President/CEO Maxe Charles A. Betts Charles A. Betts Charles A. Betts Charles A. Betts President/CEO President/CEO Maxe Charles A. Betts Charles A. Betts Charles A. Betts Charles A. Betts President/CEO Benefits 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Brenefits 0	1986 1989-1 1989-3 1989-1 1989-3 1980-1 1980-1 1980-2 Title President/CE0 President/CE0 President/CE0 President/CE0 President/CE0 President/CE0 Maximum Denties A. Betts Maximum Denties A. Betts Replacement Last Denties A. Betts Denties A. Betts Denties A. Betts Denties A. Betts Replacement Date Stant Georgen-Saed Stant Georgen-Saed Stant Georgen-Saed Stant Georgen-Saed Start Stant Georgen-Saed Stant Georgen-Saed Stant Georgen-Saed Stant Georgen-Saed Better Dout Dout Dout Dout Dout Replacement Date Stant Georgen-Saed Stant Georgen-Saed Stant Georgen-Saed

Digitized by Google

.•

•

•



12,392	1990-2		•••	#/Controller are P. Rushing 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661		sr. vp Kenny D'Amico, sr. 30000 0 0 01/08/90	VP/Controller Lans P. Rushing 31000 0 0
on Date: 07/20/89	1989-2		sr. Vr Kerry D'Amico, sr. 0 0	vp/Controller Lare P. Ruhirg 2000 0 0
tegion: EASTERN	1-989-1	Pres/CE0 John S. Sylvest 2000 12/31/89	sr. VP Kenny D'Amico, Sr. 0 0	vP/Controller Lans P. Rushing 0 0
5	1968	Pres/CE0 John S. Sylvest	st. vp kenny D.Amico, sr. 0	VP/Controller Lane P. Rushing 0
8593 PARISH FED. SAL ASSOC. Dennan springs		Title Mana Salary Bona Rit Epiloyed Replecement Date	Title Mane Salary Banefits Bane Rotoyed Replecement Date	Title Huma Salary Bona Bona Rigi Logel cyred Repl becaunt Date

68,554	1990-2	teo James V. Ward 73000 0 0	Pres. and coo Porter S. lorgen 73000 0	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	ceo James V. Ward 73000 0 0	Pres. end coo Porter S. Iorgan 73000 0	
Con Date: 07/27/89	1989-2	James V. Mard 73000	Pres. and Coo Porter 5. Norgan 73000 0	000
gion: EASTERN	1989-1	Lens V. Hard James V. Hard 73000 0 0	Pres. and COO Porter S. Norgan 73000 0	vp. & Comproller Betty Virmon 5000 0 12/31/89
ISSN., FA LA Re	286 1	LEO James V. Mard	Pres. and COO Porter S. Norgan	ve & Comptroller Betty Vinson 0 0 0
8595 COMERCIAL S&L A NAMOND		Title Mane Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

342,692	1990-2	Exec. VP Alex Mectougal (900 0	•••	
Net Assets:	1-0661	Exec. vp Alex MecDougal (95000 0	000	
on Date: 08/01/89	1989-2	Exec. vp A ex NacDougan I 55000 0 0		
cegion: SQUTIMEST	1-666-1	Chairman George Billaman 152000 10000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Fresident villiam Martfield 112000 10000 0 0 00/06/59	Exec. VP Alter NacDougall 0 0
IX IX IX	1988	Chairean George Dillaman D	President VIII am Marfield 0	Exec. VP Atex MacDougati
8596 CAPROCK FED. S&L A LUBBOCK		Title Name Salary Benafits Benafits RTC Epiloyed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Epiloyed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bensfits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

234,399	1990-2	Pres/CEO James R. Sullivan 80000 11000 0	000			
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres./CE0 James R. Sullivan 80000 11000	000	-	000	
Con Date: 08/17/89	1989-2	Pres/CEO James R. Sultiven 11000 1	•••		000	
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	Pres./CE0 James R. Sullivan 11000 11000	sve Richard J. Robinson \$100 900	12/01/89	SVP/CFO Daniel P Daly 56000 9000	11/17/89
Assoc. IL	1988	Pres./CEO James R. Sullivan	svp/service Corp Richard J. Robinson 0 0		svp/cFo Daniel P. Daly 0	
8615 SECURITY FED. S&L PEORIA		Title Name Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA .

•

	<u> </u>	r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
695, 569	1990-2	Chairmen Kyle Baker 124000 000 0	President Randy Present 5000 0 0	sr. vp/Lending Gaylon Boyd 66200 6000 0
Wet Assets:	1990-1	Chairman Kyte Baker 124000 000 0	President Randy Present 5000 000	sr. w/Lending Gayton Boyd 66200 6000
Con Date: 07/27/89	1989-2	Chairmen Kije Bakar 134000 7320 0	President Rendy Present 95000 8100 8100	sr. w/Lending Gaylen Boyd 6000 0
egion: SOUTIMEST	1-6961	Chairmen Lyle Baker 1720 720	President Randy Present 95000 8100 8100	Sr. VP/Lending Gaylen Boyd 6000 0
×	1986	Chairman Kyte Baker O O O	President Randy Present 0 0	sr. vp/Lending Gayton Boyd 0 0
B616 CAPITOL CITY FSA AUSTIN		Title Manual Salary Bonarits Bona RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Mare Salary Bons RTC Employed Replecement Date	itete itere Benefict Benefict RTC Employed Replacement Date

					. <u> </u>		
124,997	1990-2	President Michael S. Bates 23000 0		Sr. vp Kenneth Burge 21000 0		•••	
Net Assets:	1-0661	President Michael S. Bates 23000 0 0		sr. vP Kenneth Burge 21000 0		000	
Con Date: 03/02/89	1989-2	President Wichael S. Bates 49000 0		sr. vp Kenneth Burge 67000 0		000	
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	President James Van Grevenhof 32000 0	03/01/89	sr. VP . Bates Michael S. Bates 000000000000000000000000000000000000	05/18/89	sr. vp Kenneth Burge 67000 0	
ž	1988	President James van Grevenhof 121000 0		Sr. vP Michael S. Bates 121000 0		sr. vp Kenneth Burge 62000 0	
8381 FIRST FSB OF KANSAS WELLINGTON		Title Neme Berefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Nome Salary Bouns Bouns	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

.

512,975	1990-2	Pres. 4 CE0 A. Kent Reed 125000 0 0	000	sr. W & CLO B. Dale avick 70000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres. & CEO A. Kent Reed 125000 0 0		sr. wp & CLO B. Dale Quick 70000 0 0
con Date: 08/07/89	1969-2	Pres. & CEO A. Kent Reed 125000 0 0	000	sr. vp & CLO B. Dale Quick 70000 0
egion: EASTERN	1-6961	Pres. & CEO A. Kent Reed 125000 0 0	Exec. VP & CO Jack Trafton 90000 11/17/89	sr. vp & cuo B. Dale Quick 70000 0 0
5	1988	Pres. & CEO A. Kent Reed 0 0	Exec. vp & COO Jack Traffon 0 0	sr. vp & clo B. Date Quick 0 0
8389 SECURITY HOMESTEAD FSA New Orleans		fitle Name Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Borus RTC Employed Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ſ				
235,891	1990-2	Pres./CE0 Larry E. Brelend, Sr 25000 25000	svy/credit Ada. Margaret C. Goris 75000 0	svy/come. Lending J. Brent Powell 7000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres./CEO Larry E. Breiard Sr 25000 25000	syr/credit Adh. Nargaret C. Goris 700 0 0	sys/comm. Lending J. Brent Powell 70000 0
Con Date: 08/07/89	1989-2	Pres./CE0 Larry E. Brelard, Sr 15000 2500 0	spycredit Ada. Nargaret C. Goris 75000 0 0	svP/comm. Lending J. Brent Powell 7000 0
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres./CC Larry E. Breland, Sr Larry E. Breland, Sr 25000 25000 0	svP/Credit Adm. Margaret C. Goris 0 0	svp/comm. Lending J. Brent Powell 70000 0
5	1988	Pres./CE0 Larry E. Breland, Sr 0 0	stP/Credit Adm. Hargaret C. Goris 0	svP/Comm. Lending J. Brent Powell
8391 SOUTH SEL ASSOC., F.A. SLIDELL		Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitle Name Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RFC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

441

,

10, 101	2-0661	cco sill furgeson 106000 0 0	Chief Lending Officer Narvin Nayer 112000 0 0 0	Frank Oliveri Ssooo 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	000 8111 Furgeean 106000 0 0	Chief Lending Officer Narvin Nayer 112000 0 0	Frank oliveri 55000 0
Con Date: 02/17/ 8 9	1989-2	0 1,1 Furgeson 106000 0 0	chief Lending Officer Marvin Mayer 112000 0 0	frenk oltveri 55000 0
rgion: EASTERN	1989-1	600 8111 Furgesson 106000 0	Chief Lending Officer Narvin Nayer 11200 0 0	Frank oliveri 55000 0
DNESTEAD FSA LA R	1968	Bill Furgeson	Chief Lending Officer Narvin Nayer 0 0	Frank Oliveri 0 0 0 0
8392 FRENCH MARKET MC METAIRIE		Title Name Bensfits Bonus RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Mana Salary Benafits Bonus RTC Exployed Replecement Date	fitte Mana Salary Bonus Bonus RTC Exployed Replecement Date

174,721	1990-2	000	Exec vp William Cooksey 7000 0	Sr. VP Robert Gilbert 5000 5000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Free & CEO Freek Thexton, 5700 8000 0 0 0	Exec VP villiam Cooksey 7000 0	sr. vP Robert Gilbert 5000 0
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	Pres & CEO Frank Thaxton, Jr. 8000 0 0	Exec VP William Cooksey 7000 7000	sr. W Robert Gilbert 5000 0 0
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres & CEO Frank Thaxton, Jr. 8000 0	Exec VP VIIIIam Cooksey 7000 7000	sr. ve Robert Gilbert 56000 5000 0
2	1988	Pres & CEO Frank Thaxton, Jr. 0 0	Exec VP Villiam Cooksey 0	sr. vp Robert Gilbert 0 0 0
8393 FIRST FED. S&L ASSOC. SHREVEPORT		Title Name Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Nome Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date

30-239 0 - 90 - 15

Digitized by Google

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

	_			
133,903	1990-2			vP/Controller Noel Buidary 49500 0
Net Assets:	1990-1		Landing Manuger Charles Ciliason 49500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	W/Controller Noel Guidry 1900 0
Can Date: 08/07/89	1989-2		Lerding Nemager Charles Classon 4900 0	ve/controller keel Guidry 47000 0
Region: EASTERN	1-6861	Pres/CE0 James Eatlagher 9000 10/01/89	Lending Ranager Charles Cliascon 49500 0	wP/control lef Moel Guidry 4,7000 0
۲.	1988	Pres/CEO James Gallagher	Lending Manager Charles Clisson 0	wp/control ler Noel Guidry 0 0
8394 DELTA SAL ASSOC., F.A Kenner		litie Mass Salary Bonafits Bona RTC Employed Rtplecemnt Date	Titte Man Sulary Bonarits Bonarits Bonarits RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Man Sulary Bonafits Bona Ric Esployed Replecement Date

ŗ

•

8	1990-2		000	ce Cullen 45000 0 0
isets: 142,5	-1	0 eves 100000 10000 03/31/90	bodaux, Jr. 40000 0 03/31/90	vr Sulten 45000 Berni 0 0
Net As	1990	Pres & Ct	Marry Thi	Pernice (
Con Date: 11/02/89	1989-2	Pres & CEO Jerry Breuts 100000 10000	ve harry Thibodaux, Jr. 0 0 0	VP Bernice Culten 45000 0
tegion: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres & CEO Jerry Breuts 100000 10000 0000	ve Narry Thibodaux, Jr. 4000 0 0 0	VP Bernice Cullen 45000 5000 0
FED. SAV. BANK, F.A. La	1988	Pres 4 CEO Jerry Breuts 0	VP Harry Tabodaux, Jr. 0 0	vp Bernice Cullen
8395 FIRST LOUISIANA Lafayette		Title Hame Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Hame Balany Bendits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date

56,487	1990-2	000	PRESIDENT/CEO GLEM VILSON 0 0	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT NALY J. MERBERT 3400 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	000	PRESIDENT/CEO GLENN WILSON 82000 0 0	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MARY J. NERBERT 300 0 0
m Date: //3/16/89	1989-2	-	MEESIDENT/CEO G.Emm VILSOM 82000 0 0	EKECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MARY J. NENERT 3400 0 0 0
rion: EASTERN	1989-1	CHAITBHAN OF BOURD ARTHUR FLENING 1000 2000 05/30/89	PRESIDENT/CEO GLEMM VILSON B2000 0 0	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MARY J. NERBERT 3000 0 0 0
Sau V1	1968	Chaitemai of Boued Artimur Fleriing 0	PRESIDENT/CEO GLEMM VILLSON 0 0 0	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT NMAY J. MERBERT 0 0 0
8398 FIRST FED. SÅL ASSOC Nev iberia		Title Mana Salary Bonsfits Bons RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Bonafits Donafits RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Bonus Bonus RTC Employed Replement Date

37,179	1990-2	EX. V.P./CEO HENRY STANFER 45000		ASST. V.P./COMPTROLLER Edward Robertson 3200 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	EX. V.P./CEO NENRY STANFER 45000 0	PRESIDENT/CHAIRNAN Norbert Ratford 34000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 15/90	ASST. V.P./COMPTROLLER EDMARD ROBERTSOM 32000 0 0
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	EX. V.P./CEO HEMRY STANPER 45000 0	PRESIDENT/CHAIRMAN Norbert Rayford 5400 0 0	ASST. V.P./COMPTROLLER EDWARD ROBERTSON 3200 0 0
tegion: EASTERN	1989-1	EX. V.P./CEO HEMRY STAWER 45000 0	PRESIDENT/CHAIRMAN Nomeeri Ratford 500 00	ASST. V.P./COMPTROLLER EDWARD ROBERISCA 0 0 0
L N	1988	EX. V.P./CEO HEMRY STANFER	PRESIDENT/CHAIRMM NORBERT RAYFOOD	ASST. V.P./COMPTROLLER EDWARD ROBERTSON 0 0
8404 FIRST FED. S&L ASSOC. Baton Rouge	-	Title Name Salary Bensits Bensi RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Hame Salary Benefits Bons RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bons RTC Employed Replacement Date

24,667	1990-2	•••	VICE PRESIDENT CREDIT PM ROBICIMMAR 24000 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT/Pres. NAVY EAKSN 27199 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	PRESIDENT BITHE LIVER 45280 0 0 0 0 05/30/90	VICE PRESIDENT CRED IT PAN ROLICHURY 24000 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT NANT BENSON 27199 0
Con Date: 08/07/89	1989-2	MESIDENT MATHE LINER 4520 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT CREDIT PM ROBICHULX 24000 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT WRY RENCOM 27199 0 0
gion: EASTERN	1989-1	PRESIDENT BATTHE LINER 4520 0	VICE PRESIDENT REDIT PAN ROBICHAUX 24000 0	VICE PRESIDENT Mult BENSON 27199 0
ssoc, FA LA Re	1988	PRESIDENT BATHE LINER 45200 0	VICE PRESIDENT CRED I PAM ROBICHAUX 45200 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT MARY BENSON 27199 0 0
84.06 TERREBONNE S&L A		Title Name Salary Bensfits Bense RTC Exployed RSC Exployed	Title Name Salary Benus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RtC Employed Replacement Date

•

18,303	1990-2		000	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	PRESIDENT U. JACK CARNEY 70000 0 0 0 0 0		VICE PRESIDENT FAVE GARRETSON 29000
Con Date: 08/07/89	1989-2	PRESIDENT U. JACK CARNEY 70000 0		VICE PRESIDENT FAVE GARRETSMA 2000 0
legion: EASTERN	1-6861	PRESIDENT U. JACK CARNEY 70000 0 0	SR. VICE PRESIDENT DIAME CANNEY 55000 0 12/15/89	VICE PRESIDENT FATE GARREI'SON 2000 0 0
al Assoc. LA	1988	PRESIDENT U. JACK CARNEY 0 0	SR. VICE PRESIDENT DIANE CARNEY	VICE PRESIDENT FAITE GARRETSOM
8407 FIRST CITY FED S BATON ROUGE		Title Mane Balary Benefits Borus RRC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Barry Barnefits Barns Raplacement Date Replacement Date	Title Aune Stary Benefits Bous RTC Employed Replacement Date

537,268	1990-2	President Wendell L. Evena, Jr 120000 0 0	sr. vp Charles McCulation 10000 0 0	Exec. VP Bernard uitt iama 5000 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	President Wendell L. Evene Jr 120000 0 0 0	sr. w Charles Acculation	Exec. VP Bernard VIII and Second
Can Date: 06/29/89	1989-2	President Wynakil L. Evene Jr 155000 0 0	sr. ve Charlee Acculation 0 0	Eact. VP Bernerd uitt tame 50000 0 0
gion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Wandell L. Evens Ir. 0 0	sr. ve Charles Acculation 0 0 0	Exec. VP Krur F. R. Movde 75000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 1/89
ssoc., FA NO Re	1968	President Wardell L. Evans, Jr 0 0	st. vp Charles Acculation 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
BA'16 MISSOURI SAV. AS Clayton		Title Mana Salery Borna RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Kama Salary Benafits Benafits RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Manual Salary Bonafits Bona RTC Exployed Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

	2	000						000	
46,427	1990-								
Net Assets:	1-0661	888			000				
		000			000				
Con Date: 04/06/89	1989-2			-					
: CENTRAL	1989-1	CEO & Chairman Donaid L. Koch 75600 5161 5161	12/31/89	VP,Treasurer,Controller Janice M. Denigan	45000 1724 0	10/11/89	Dir. of Property Mgmt. Daryl Burt	39900 2460 2480	09/27/89
Region		000			000			000	
0W	1986								
0 CASS FS & LA OF ST LOUIS FLORISSANT		le Salary Bonefits Bonus RTC Employed	Replacement Date	e e	Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	le B	Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date
842		1.te		1. Nem			Ĩ		

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

451

48,014	1990-2	Pres., Dir. William D. Bernett 6000 2000 0	w, Dir. Eugene B. Tate \$2000 2000 0	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres, bit. William 0. Samet 2000 2000 2000	w, bir. tugene 8. Tate 2000 2000	
Can Date: 04/06/89	1989-2	Pres01°. Burnett VIIIian D. Burnett 2000 5000 5000	ve, bir. Eugene B. Tate 5000 2000 5000	•••
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres. , Dir. William D. Bennett 2000 2000 5000	w, Dir. Eugene B. Tate 2000 2000 5000	W, bir. George P. Tate 47000 2000 12/31/89
£	1968	Pres	w, Dir. Eugene B. Tate 0	vp, oir. George P. Tate 0
8422 CENTRAL SAL ASSOC. JACKSON		Title Mans Salary Benefits Borus Rous Replecement Date	Title Hame Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Hans Benefits Bonus NTC Employed Neplecement Date

ī

198,929	2-0661	sr. vp Gene N. Herzberg 51000 5000		•••		000	
Net Assets:	1-0661	sr. vp Gene W. Merzberg 5000 5000				000	
Con Date: 02/17/89	1989-2	Sr. vp Gene M. Herzberg 4000 4000		•••			
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Joseph M. Heffernan 8600 00	12/13/89	Chairmen Marvin G. Welstead 72000 5000 5000	06/30/89	Sr. VP Gene H. Herzberg 4000	
D. SAV. BANK NE	1988	President Joseph N. Meffernan 0 0		Chairman Marvin G. Welsteed 0 0		sr. vp Gene H. Herzberg 0 0	
8432 EQUITABLE FEC FREMONT		Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	keplacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	RIC Employed Replacement Date

ļ

81	1990-2	000	000	a Boreakt
Net Assets: 292,	1-0661	000	sr. vp/Adm. Matthew M. Marakowit 3000 0 0 01/05/90	VP/Tresurer Tanla Borewett 54000 4000 4000
on Date: 02/17/ 89	1989-2	-	sr. vp/Adm. Nattheu N. Narakoult 3000 3000 3000	W/Treasurer Tanla Boraaski 52000 4000
Region: EASTERN	1-9861	President Varren H. Eltent 112000 0 0 03/31/69	sr. ve/Adm. Natthew N. Narakovit 3000 3000 0 0	VP/Tressurer Taria Boreesti 52000 600 0
SSOC. NJ	1988	President Varren N. Klaat 9000 0	sr. ve/Adm. Natthew N. Narekowit 19000 13000 0	VP/Tresurer Tania Boreeski 5000 5000 0
8435 NORTH JERSEY SAL AS PASSAIC		Title Name Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed RPC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Hame Stary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement bate

				<u> </u>
156,900	1990-2	chairman (nactive-pt) diem R. Redell 2000 4000 4000	President A. Mythes Robert A. Mythes 4000 4000	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	Chairman Rudell Glam R. Rudell 52000 4000 0	President Robert A. Mughes 10500 4000 0	sr. vp Mary R. Bycroft 0 01/01/90
Con Date: 04/27/89	1989-2	Chairmen Glenn R. Radel 1 137000 10000 10000	President A. Nughes Robert A. Nughes 8000 4000	st. VP Mary R. Bycroft 53000 2000 0
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	Chairmen Glemn R. Radel (137000 10000 10000	President Robert A. Hughes 10500 8000 4000	sr. vp Mary R. Bycroft 2000 2000 0
D SEL ASSN. NJ	1988	chairman Glern R. Radel (0 0	President Robert A. Hughes 0	sr. vp Mary R. Bycroft 0 0 0
8438 METROPOLITAN FEL DENVILLE		Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RFC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date

I

612,881	1990-2	EW/Operations John Boynton 104550 12000 12000	000	
Net Assets:	1-0661	Exec. VP/Operations John Boynton 121440 0 0	Exec. VP/Lending Mike Barton 104550 1200 0 0 03/16/90	
con Dete: 02/10/ 0 9	1989-2	Exec. VP/Operations John Boynton 121440 0 0	Exec. VP/Lending Nike Barton 104550 12000 0	000
on: WESTERN	1-6961	PRESIDENT/CEO Dum ulpff 230770 0 0 03/31/89	E.V.P. OPERATIONS John Boyaton 12140 0	E.V.P. COMERICAL LENDIA NIKE MARTON 104550 12000 0
soc. we kegi	1988	PRESIDENT DAM WIPFF	E.V.P. OPERATIONS JOHN BOTHTOM	E.V.P. COMMERICAL LENDING MIKE BARTON
8439 SANDIA FED. SAV. ASI Albuquerque		Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bound RTC Exployed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bonus Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

456

177,995 1990-2	President/CE0 Keith Fauver 7000 0	CF0 Joe Pampush 42000 0 0	Loan Manager Karen Soboro 3600 00 0
Net Assets: 1990-1	President/CEO Keith Fauver 7000 0	CFO Joe Pampush 42000 6000	Loan Nanager Karen Soboro 36000 6000
Con Date: 03/30/69 1989-2	Press Ident/CEO Keith Fauver 6000 0	CFO Joe Pampush 5000 0	Loan Nanager Karen Soboro 3000 0 0
Region: EASTERN 1989-1	President/CEO Keith Fauver 84000 6000 0	CFO Joe Parpush 40000 5000 0	Loan Manager Karen Soboro 35000 5000 0
SAV., A FS&LA OH 1988	President/CED Kaith Fauver	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Loan Manager Karen Soboro 0 0 0 0
8444 MIDLAND-BUCKEYE ALLIANCE	Title Name Benefits Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Benefits Benus RTC Employed RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RFC Employed RFC Employed

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

457

528,875	1990-2	President/CE0 Richerd Kerrick 0 0	ENP/COD budites Stuesi 0 0 0	srev.Ebe Div. Mar. Uittian Lando 65100 3400 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	President/CEO Richerd Kerrict 960 0	EVP/COO Bouglas Stussi 6000 0000 0000	sve/EDe Div. Ngr. Villian Lando 71400 7000 0
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	Pres I dant/CEO II chand Ker I 11,2000 I 9600 0	Ety/COO bougies Stuns 1 90000 0 0	ster/EDP DIV. Mar. VIIIIam Lando 71460 7800 7800
egion: SOUTMAEST	1989-1	President/CEO Richard Kerrick 113000 9000 0	EVP/COD bougites stuent 90000 6000	sve/geo piv. Ngr. 0967 0987 0987
LA, FA	1988	President/CEO Richard Kerrick	EVP/COD Dougles Stuasi	sve/feb oiv. Mar. Villiam Lamb 0
8445 CONTINENTAL FS & OKLANCHA CITY		Title Hame Sangfits Bangitts Fir Exployed Replecement Date	Title Name Senefits Bona RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Name Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

		40000 0 0	36000 0 0	00 00 00 51
29,759	1990-2	President Leroy Poplin	vp Bill Paraona	Asst. VP Jerf Turttle
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Lerry Popi in 4000 0	8111 Paraona 3600 0 0	Asst. VP Jarr Turtte 25000 0
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	President Leroy Popilin 40000 0 0	VP BILL Parame 36000 0 0	Asst. VP Jeff Tuttle 25000 0
ion: southwest	1989-1	President Leroy Poplin 0 0	VP BILL Parsons 36000 0	Asst. VP Jeff Tuttle 25000 0
OF SEMIMOLE OK Reg	1986	President Lersy Popilin 40000 0 0	vp Bill Parsons 40000 0	Asst. VP Jeff Tuttle 24000 0
8447 FIRST FED. S&LA SEMINOLE		Title Name Stary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Benefits Benafits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

459

-

IANIN FRANKLIN FSA STON	TX	Region: SOUTIMEST	Con Date: 0	68/00/	Net Assets:	1,637,953	
	1988	1989-1	16	99-2	1990-1	1990-2	
	Pres/CEO Gary Wolf	Pres/CEO Gary No.f	142000 142000 1200 0 1200	14 1500 14	Pres/150 Gery Wolf 1200 0 0	Pres/260 Gary Holf	1200 0 0
	Sr. VP Jack Welch 0000	Sr. v9 Jeck welch	5 VP 125000 Jack W 1200	1ch 12500 1200 0	5r. ve Jæck weich 1200 1200 0 0	Sr. vP Jack Welch	0000 12000
	sr. VP Vinston Pickens 0 0	Sr. VP Linston Picke	M 22000 1200 1200	Meel Estate 1 Pickens 1200 1200 0	sr. vP Vinston Pickens 104000 1200 0	Sr. Wyneel Est Vinston Pickens 1	ate 12000 12000

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

	<u> </u>			
1,614,168	1990-2	CEO Jin Lindsey 224000 51520 51520	C00 James Millinor 204000 44920	Tech. & Oper. Wanr. Larry Snuurer 151000 34730 34730
Net Assets:	1990-1	.ceo Jia Lindaey 224000 51520 0	bames Millinor James Millinor 204000 4670 0	Tech. & Oper. Nanr. Larry Smarter 51000 34.730 34.70
Con Date: 03/09/89	1989-2	CE0 Jim Lindeey 224000 51520 51520	Lones Milling James Milling 4620 4620	Tech. & Oper. Manr. Larry Snaufer 151000 34730 0
Region: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	Lindley 224000 3150 31500 0	COO James Willinor 24020 4920 0	Tech. & Oper. Monr. Larry Snuurder 151000 34730 34730
EU SAV ASSM TX	1988	CE0 Jin Lindsey 224000 51520 0	cco James Millinor 224000 46920 0	Tech. & Oper. Morr. Larry Snaufer 151000 34730 34730
8457 COMMONNEALTH FI NOUSTON		ritle Name Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date	ritle Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	iitle Mame Banefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

ł

-

-
_	90-2	000	000	000
15,408	6	000	000	000
Net Assets:	1-0661			
Con Date: 03/02/89	1989-2	Comporteller Robert E. Gry 22000 1000 11/17/89	ve Cleaente Garcia 29000 0 10/30/89	vp Silverio Valencia 29000 1000 10/30/89
Region: SOUTIMEST	1989-1	Comptroller Robert E. Gray 42000 1000	ve Citaminte Garcia 2000 0	ve Silverio Valencia 2000 1000 0 0
IV. E LOAN TX	1988	Comptroller Robert E. Grav 22000 1000	vp Clemente Garcia 42000 0 0	vp silverio valencia 0 0
8511 PADRE FEDERAL SI CORPUS CHRISTI		litte Mana Barary Bararits Bararits RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitle Rame Banafits Banafits Banafits RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitte laan Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

13,517	1990-2	Exec. VP A. Keith Holmes 33800 0 0	Sr. VP Gloria R. Malil 27300 0	Asst. VP Kerel Jackson 18000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Exec. VP A. Keith Holmes 33800 0 0	sr. vp Gloria R. Mall 27300 0	Asst. VP Kerel Jackson 18000 0 0
Con Date: 01/18/90	1989-2	Exec: VP A. Keith Holmes 33800 0 0	sr. ve Glorta R. Hall 27300 0	Asst. VP Kerel Jackson 19000 0 0
Region: SOUTIMEST	1989-1	Exec: vp A. Keith Holmes 33800 0	sr. vp Gloria R. Hall 27300 0 0	Asst. VP Kerel Jackson 19000 0 0
AL SAVINGS ASSOC. TX	1988	Exec. VP A. Kaith Holmes	sr. vp Gloria R. Mall 0 0	Asst. VP Kerel Jackson 0 0 0
8512 STANDARD FEDER/ HOUSTON		Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitle Manne Salary Benrefits Benra RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitte Hame Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

.

8,007	1990-2	vice President Rhonda Saith 2000 2000 0	000	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	vice Fresident Norda Salith 2000 0 0	000	
Con Date: 03/02/69	1989-2	vice President Rhonda Saith 2000 0	000	•••
egion: SOUTIMEST	1989-1	vice President Rhords Saith 7700 2000 0		000
X	1986	vice President Rhonda Saith 1600 1000 0	80°0	000
8513 PERMIAN SÅL ASSOC. Kermit		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed RTC Employed RCC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replocement Date

		0 101000 4000 0	000	000
1,749,788	1990-2	Exec. VP/CF Mark Howard		
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres/CEO Frank Mirabella 197000 1900 0 0 0	Exec. VP/CF0 Mark Noward 98000 4000 0	000
Con Date: 02/17/89	1989-2	Pres/CEO Frank Nirabella 197000 0 0	Exec. VP/CFO Nark Noward 98000 4000 0	000
egion: UESTERN	1989-1	VICE CHAIRMAN/CEO MATINEU SREVLIN 20000 0 0 0 0 03/31/89	PRESIDENT/COO FRAMK MIRABELLA 13000 0	EXEC.V.P/CHIEF FIN.OFF NANK HOMARD 99000 4000 4000
soc., F.A. AZ AZ	1988	VICE CMAIPHAN/CEO NATTHEN SKEVLIN 0 0	PRESIDENT/COO FRAMK NIRABELLA 0 0	EXEC.V.P/CHIEF FIN.OFFICE NANK MOMND 0 0
8522 SOUTHNEST S&L ASS PHOENIX		Title Name Salary Beneits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Benefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

ļ

:

.

.

		000	0000 000 000 000	000
1,407,729	2-0661		EVP/CFO Mugh Cooper	
lssets:	0-1	000	жек 115000 0 0	000
Net /	6		EVP/CFO MUGH COC	
20/89	9-2	000	PER 115000 1,000 0	000
Con Date: 07/	198		EVP/CFO NUGA COO	
tegion: EASTERN	1989-1	PRESIDENT/CEO	EVY/CE0 Much CCOPER 115000 4000	000
-		000	000	000
2	1966	President/c	EVP/CFO NJGN COOPER	EVP/CFO JERRY WOOE
6617 COMONEALTH FSELA FORT LALDERDALE		fitte Mans Salery Borus Borus RTC Exployed Replecement Date	fitte Mare Salary Borus Borus RTC Employed Replecement Date	fitte Mare Salary Bonna RTC Employed Replecement Date

466

			· ·	
		42000 0 0	0000 0000 0000	000
13,262	1990-2	President Tom Mardin	VP Mai Griawold	
÷		42000 0 0	0000 89	000
Net Asset	1990-1	President Tom Mardin	VP Nal Griawolo	-
		42000 0 0	000	000
Con Date: 01/26/90	1989-2	President Tom Hardin	vp Hal Griswold	
		42000	38000	
Region: SOUTIMEST	1989-1	President Tom Nardin	VP Nat Griswold	
-		000		000
X	1968	President Tom Nardin	ve Mai Griswold	
8619 UVALDE FS&LA UVALDE		Title Mane Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Mans Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Benefits Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

		2000 2000 2000	35000 390000 0 0	37000 2000 0
64,917	2-0661	President Tom Tallman	vice PresLo Craig Naines	VP-Treasurer Perry Autre
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Tom Tel Lean 2000 0 0	vice PresLoans Craig Naines 3900 100 0 0	vP-Tressurer Perry Aukee 37000 2000 0
Con Date: 08/17/89	1989-2	President Tom Tallamn 2000 2000 0	Vice PresLoans Craig Maines 1000 1000	VP-Tressurer Perry Aukee 35000 2000 0
		2000 2000 2000	37000 0000 0000	35000 2000 0
Region: CENTRAL	1-6961	President Toa Tallaen	vice PresLo Craig Naimes Craig Naimes	vp-1 ressurer Perry Aukee
-		43000 2000	* 1000 1000 1000	32000 2000 0
ASSOC. F.A. IL	1988	President Tom fallman	Vice PresLoan Craig Naines	VP-Tressurer Perry Aukee
B620 BLACK HAMK S&L . ROCK ISLAND		litte Hame Barafits Barafits Barafits RTC Employed Replacement Date	litte kans Beneits Beneits RTC Employed Replacement Date	iite Name Salary Benaits Benaits Ric Employed Replecement Date

81,399	1990-2	Pres/CEO Narvard U. Keefe 29774 29774	VP/CF0 Carol Radtke 40800 7465 7 0	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres./CE0 Marvard W. Keefe 79000 29774 29774	VP/CFO Carol Radtke 40800 7468	Control Ler/Trees. Aaron D. Wites 3000 2263 0 02/28/90
Con Date: 09/07/89	1989-2	Pres/CEO Harvard W. Keefe 70000 20673	VP/CFO Carol Redtke 38160 5811 0	Control Ler / Freesurer Aaron D. Vi Lee 28875 2809 2209 2209
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	Pres./CEO Marvard N. Keefe 2003 2053 0	VP/CFO Carol Redtke 30160 5811 0	Control Ler/Treesurer Aaron D. Vilee 28375 2209 2209 0
N OF SPRINGFIELD, F.A. IL	1988	Pres./CEO Marvard M. Keefe 12702 12702 0	W/FFD Carol Radte 70000 4266	Control Ler/Treasurer Aarm D. Villes 2495 2495 0
8621 CITIZENS S&LA SPRINGFIELD		Title Nemes alary Bendiits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitle Name Saláry Bennefits Bennefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Mane Salary Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

469

*

... 1990-2 77,593 000 Net Assets: 1990-1 Con Date: 07/27/89 1989-2 Secondary Marketing Mgr. Stephen A. Perkey 5000 0 0 0022 0022 00087 00 08/31/89 10/15/89 10/15/89 President Robert E. Sly vp Jean C. Luper 1-6961 Region: CENTRAL Secondary Marteting Mar. Stephen A. Perkey 72000 0012 0012 0012 51000 0 0 0 VP Jean C. Luper Pres. Robert E. Sly Ę 196 CAPITAL FED. SAL ASSOC. LITTLE ROCK RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus 2298

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

33,440	1990-2	sr. VP/Controller Frank J. Trichak 46200 0		000		000	
Net Assets:	1-0661	sr. vP/Controller Frank J. Trichak 46200 0		000		000	
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	sr. VP/Controller Frank J. Trichak 42000 0		000		000 9	
egion: CEWTRAL	1989-1	Pres. and CEO Ervin A. Veker 3000 0	09/26/89	Sr. VP & Controller Frank J. Trichak 42000 0		VP & Director of Lendir James A. Camponella 30000 0	02/19/80
TY FS & LA IL R	1988	Pres. and CEO Erwin A. veker 0 0		sr. vp & controller Frank J. Trichak 0 0		VP & Director of Lending James A. Campanella 0 0	
8368 AMERICAN SECURIN CHICAGO		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

.

.

59,82 2	2-0661	Pres./CEO R. Mayme Slaughter 5000 000	VP/Rr. Mgr. Jeffrey Reed 42000 S000 0	vP/Loans Karen Justus 2000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres./CEO R. Wayne Slaughter 51000 0 0	VY/AF- Mgr. Jeffrey Reed 2000 5000	VP/Loans Karen Justua 25000 2000
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2	Pres./CEO R. Wayne Slaughter 5000 5000	W/ler. Mer. Jeffrey. Reed (2000 5000	VP/Loans Karen Justus 25000 2000 0
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	Pres. //E0 R. Usyne Sleughter S1000 5000 0	VP/Br. Mgr. Jaffrey Reed (2000 5000 5000	VP/Loans Karen Justus 2500 2000 0
H	1988	Pres. /CEO R. Wayne Slaughter 5000 000	V//8r. Mgr. Jeffrey Reed 51000 5000	vp/Loans Karen Justus 2000 2000 0
B366 MIDUESTERN SAV. ASSOC. MACOMB		Title Mane Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mamma Salary Banarits Banas RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

	<u> </u>		1	l
39,631	1990-2		W/Asst. Secretary Eugene Burton 20145 00	Treasurer Frank Coon 24615 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661		VP/Asst. Secretary Eugene Burton 2010 0 0	Tressurer Frank Coon 26015 0 0
Con Date: 03/16/89	1989-2		VP/Ast. Secretary Eugene Burton 2600 0 0	Treasurer Frank Coon 2150 0 0
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	President/CE0 Richard Fistar 40000 0 10/31/69	VP/Asst. Secretary Eugene Burton 26,00 0 0	frank coon 25150 0 0
SAL ASSN. IL	1968	President/CEO Richard Fislar 0 0	VP/Mast. Secretary Eugene Burton 0 0	Freeturer Freet Coon
8369 CHILLICOTHE FED CHILLICOTHE		Title Mame Senefits Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

•

-

•

				** <u>*</u> **
164,775	1990-2	sr. vp/Operations Mark roungers 5500 0 0	sr. vP/Credit Nark Eaton 5000 0	sr. vp/Finance Jerry Sand 5500 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	sr. vo operation Mark Youngers 5300 0	sr. ve Credit Mark Eaton 500 0	sr. w Financial Jerry Sand 5000 0
Can Date: 03/02/89	2-6961	sr. vP/operations Mark Youngera 6000 0	sr. ve/credit Mark Eaton 0 0	sr. vy/ finance Jerry Sand 5000 0
Region: CENTRAL	1-6961	sr. vp operation Nark Youngers 6500 0	sr. ve credit Nark Eaton 53429 0 0	sr. yP Financial Jerry Sand 53082 0
Fsåla KS	1966	sr. vP Operation Nark Youngers 5007 000	sr. vp Credit Nark Eaton 56707 0 0	sr. vp Financial Jerry Sand 35122 0
8377 VALLEY SAVINGS, A HUTCHINSON		Title Name Senerits Benefits Benus RTC Employed RTC Employed	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RPC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

	_			T			
29,273	1990-2			VP/Operations Jackie A. Smith 2927 2927	·	VP/Treasurer Patty A. Keidel 23575 2927 2927	
Net Assets:	1990-1			VP/Operations Jackie A. Smith 2627 2927 2927 0		VP/Treasurer Patty A. Keidel 22575 2927 2927 0	
Con Date: 08/24/89	1989-2	000		VP/Operations Jackie A. Smith 24600 2751 275		VP/Treasurer Patty A. Keidel 21500 2751 2751 2751	
Region: CENTRAL	1989-1	sr. vp Stanley N. Poshard 33000 12000 12000	10/06/89	VP/Operations Jackie A. Smith 24600 2751 2751		VP/Tressurer Patty A. Keidel 2751 2751	-
s Assu, fa IL	1986	sr. vp Stanley M. Poshard 0		vp/dperations Jackie A. Smith 0 0		VP/Tressurer Patty A. Keidel 0 0	
8626 HERITAGE SAVINGS JERSEYVILLE		Title Name Salary Bennafits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Banefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

30-239 0 - 90 - 16

55,019	1990-2	ve/Managing Officer Bonald Finch 64000 0 0	VP Ursual DelMastro 0000	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	vP/Mamaging Officer Domuld Finch 64000 0 0	VP Ursula DelMastro Ursula DelMastro 0 0	
Can Date: 12/07/89	1989-2		W/Mamailing Officer Domaid Finch 57000 1000 0 0	ve Ursula DelMastro 1000 1000 0
Region: EASTERN	1-6661	Prese Vincent Fuzio 7000 1000 1200 12/07/69	W/Manueling Officer Donata finch 1000 1000 0	VPULA DelMatro Ursula DelMatro 1000 1000 1000
av. assoc.	1988	Pres./CEO Vincent J. Fazio 0	VP/Managing Officer Domaid Finch 0 0	ve Ursula DelMastro D
8628 COMMUNITY FED S BRIDGEPORT		Title Man Banafts Banafts Banafts RTC Epployed Replecement Date	1111e Name Statary Benefits Benefits Ric Employed Replecement Date	Title Rese Stary Benefits Bonus RTC Exployed Replecement Date



477

183,929	1://0-2	Pres/CE0 George K. Allison 2400 2400	Exec: VP/C00 Louis C. Pertit 2400 2400	sr. ve/Treas. Joseph Booglin 2400 200
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres/CE0 George K. Allison 110000 2400 2400	Exec. VP/CD0 Louis K. Pertit 2400 2400	sr. vy/tress. Joseph Boselin 5500 2400 0
Con pate: 11/16/89	1989-2	Pres/CE0 Beorge K. Allison 1400 1400	Exec. W/COD Louis K. Petti 77000 2400 1400	sr. w/Treas. Joseph Bosel in 2400 1400
n: CENTRAL	1-9961	Pres/CE0 Beorge K. Allian 110000 1400 1400	Exec. W/COO Louis K. Pettit 77000 2400 1400	sr. ve/Treas. Joseph Bosel in 55000 2400 1400
NTRAL INDIANA Regio	1988	Pres/CE0 Beorge K. Allteon 2000 14400	Exec. W/COD Louis K. Pertit Louis V. Pertit 0 0	8- VP/Treas. Joseph Boselin 4500 2000 1400
8630 FIRST FS&LA DF CEN ANDERSON		Title Mana Salary Benarits Benarits RTC Exployed RPC Explored	Title Name Salary Benafits Benafits RTC Employed RPC Employed	Title Mana Salary Bonafits Bona RTC Employed Replecement Date

•

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

		28000 1000 0	000	000
10,437	1990-2	Pres./CE0 Jerry Glover		
		28000 1000 0	21000 /90 /90	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres./CCO Jerry Glover	vp Dan Hester 01/01	
		28000 1000 0	21000 0 0	000
Con Date: 12/14/89	1989-2	Pres./CEO Jerry Glover	vp Dan Hester	
		28000 1000 0	21000 0 0	000
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres./CE0 Jarry Glover	vp Dan Meater	
		000	000	000
5	1988	Pres./CEO Jerry Glover	vp Dan Hester	
8631 RED RIVER S&L ASSOC. COUSHATTA		Title Salary Benefits Bons RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Amer Salary Bensi Bons RTC Employed Replacement Date	itte Barary Benefics Bona RTC Employed Replacement Date

479

•

81,958	1990-2	CEO E. V. Simoneeux 5000 0 0	sr. VP Antoinatte 1. Tregle 4000 0 0 0	VP Irvin bustom 45000 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	EC 4. Sienneaux 50000 0 0 0	sr.vp Ancolmette T. Tregle Ancolmette T. 11000 0 0	ty Irvin busson 4500 0 0
Con pate: 10/13/89	1989-2	CEO E. u. Slanneauu 50000 0 0	sr. vp Ancolmette T. Tregle 41000 0 0	trvin Duesom 45000 0 0
egion: EASTERN	1989-1	E. V. S. MONARUX 50000	sr. vp Antoinette T. Tresle 41000 0 0	VP Irvin Dueson (5000 0 0
NOMESTEAD ASSOC. LA	1988	CEO F. W. Simoneaux	sr. vp Antolnette T. Tregle 0 0	ve Irvin Dueson 0 0
8632 COLUNNIA FED. 1 Netairie		fitie Mana Banafits Bonus RTC Exployed Replacement Date	Title Name Benrefits Benrefits RTC Exployed Replacement Date	Title Hamme Baray Barusits Barus RIC Employed Replecement Date

32000 21000 2000 21000 2000 2000 ACTING PRESIDENT RENEY MARRUJO VICE PRESIDENT PAMELA RAMSEY 1990-2 CONTROLLER FRANK RYAN 30,835 21000 2000 0 21000 2000 0 32000 ACTING PRESIDENT RENEY MARRUJO VICE PRESIDENT PAMELA RAMSEY Net Assets: 1-0661 CONTROLLER FRANK RYAN 20000 2000 001 000 000 000 32000 ACTING PRESIDENT RENEY MARRUJO VICE PRESIDENT PANELA RAMSEY Con Date: 12/21/89 1989-2 CONTROLLER FRANK RYAN 3000 000 000 000 2000 2000 ACTING PRESIDENT REMEY MARRUJO VICE PRESIDENT PAMELA RAMSEY 1-6861 CONTROLLER FRANK RYAN Region: WESTERN 28000 1000 0 <u>60</u>00 28000 3000 3000 ACTING PRESIDENT RENEY MARRUJO VICE PRESIDENT PAMELA RAMSEY CONTROLLER FRAMK RYAN Ĩ 1986 SILVER SAVINGS ASSOC., FA SILVER CITY RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus | Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus | Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus 8633

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ł

118,852	1990-2	000	000	President Richard von Ninden 800 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	CEO/Chairman Cappy Nuclaur 12310 7292 01/18/90	Vice-Chairman Tomay Goff 75000 12500 6695 01/12/90	President Richard von Ninden 800 0
Con Date: 01/11/90	1989-2	cto/chairman Cappy NeGarr 75000 12310 7292	vice chairman Tamay Goff 75000 1500 6205	President Richard von kinden 8000 7000
gion: sourtwest	1989-1	CEO/Cha (ream Cappy McCarr 75000 12310 7292	vice Chairman Tommy Golf 12500 12500 12500	President Richard von Ninden 0 7000
AL SAVINGS ASSOCIATION 1X 1X	1988	CEO/Chairmen Cappy NeGarr 91667 4493	vice Chairman Tamy Goff 91667 5492 5492	President Richard von Minden 000 5000
8637 CERTIFIED FEDERA GEORGETOMI		Title Man Bandfits Bonafits Bona RTC Epiloyed Replecement Date	Title Man Balary Banafits Bana RTC Epiloyad RPC Estant Date	Title Mana Benarits Bonnarits Roct Exployed Roct Exployed

		00000 7000 0	000	
74,336	1990-2	Exec. VP Clyde Hart		
ets:	-	60000 7000 0	000	000
Net Ass	-0661	Exec. VP Clyde Hart		
6		0 0002 00009	000	000
Con Date: 12/21/8	1989-2	Exec. VP Clyde Mart		
gion: SOUTHNEST	1-6961	chairman/cco William E. King 6000 10/30/89	President Mike Barnwell 19000 0 0 0./30/89	Exec. VP Clyde Mart 60000 7000 0
TX Reg	1988	chairman/cE0 Villiam E. King 36000 0 0	President Nike Barnwell 36000 19000 0	Exec. VP Clyde Hart 60000 7000 0
8639 COLUMBIA FS&LA WEBSTER		ritle Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mane ary Serefits Borus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benneits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

.

÷

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

-

484

287,406	1990-2	President/CEO/Sr. VP Bart Ogelsby 100000 0		000		000	
Net Assets:	1990-1	Chairman Richard Dempsey 80000 6000 0	02/28/90	President/CEO/ Bart Ogelsby 100000 0		sr. vp/sco Donald Rayburn 73000 0	01/31/90
Con Date: 10/19/89	1989-2	Chairman Richard Dempsey 8000 6000 6000		President/CEO Bart Oglesby 100000 0		sr. vp/sco Donald Rayburn 73000 0	
Region: southuest	1989-1	Chairmen Richard Dempeey 80000 60000 000		President/CE0 Steve beGraat 109000 6000 000	10/19/89	sr. vp/sco Donald Rayburn 70000 5000 0	
SAV. ASSOC. TX	1988	Chairman Richard Dempsey 8000 6000 00		President/CE0 Steve DeGroat 80000 6000 6000		sr. vp/sco Domaid Rayburn 70000 5000	
B650 SURETY FEDERAL EL PASO		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

i

I

Digitized by Google

265,735	1990-2	Pres/CE0 Nalcola Naddox 118000 0 0	Exec VP Robert Barber 0 0	Exec. VP James Wooldridge 64000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres/CE0 Malcola Maddax Malcola Maddax	Ease vp Robert Barber 0 0	Exec. vp James kooldride 64.000 0
Can Date: 10/19/89	1989-2	Pres/CEO Naticola Nacidox 191000 0 0	Exec VP Robert Barber 76000 0	Exec. VP James Vooldridge 71000 0
egion: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres/CEO Matcola Maddox 001000 0010000	Exec VP Robert Barber 76000 0	Exec. VP James booldridge 71000 0
ID SAV. BANK, F.S.B.	1988	Pres/CEO Natcota Naddox 0 0	Exec VP Nodert Barber	Exec. YP James kooldridge 0000
8651 PEOPLE'S NONESTE/ NONNOE		Title Mana Salary Bonafits Bonafits RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Rame Salary Benafits Benafits RTC Employed Replecement Date	fitte Manue Salary Benna RTC Employed Replecement Date

486

Т

Т

		T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1,027,373	1990-2	CFO Dennis Burroughs 70200 0		••••
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres/CE0 John Land 1000 0 0 01/18/90	sr. Lending Officer Nobert Duniap 72000 1000 0 01/18/90	CFO Robert Kenny 85000 1000 0 0 01/29/90
Con Date: 01/18/90	1989-2	President/CE0 John Leeb 124000 124000 0 0	sr. Lending Officer Robert Dunlap 72000 1000 0	cfo Robert Kenney 55000 1000 0
egion: EASTERN	1989-1	President/CE0 John Land 124000 124000 0	sr. Lending Officer Robert Duning 72000 1000 0	cfo Robert Kenney 55000 1000 0
SAVINGS ASSOCIATION FL	1988	President/CEO John Land	sr- Lending Officer Robert Duntup 0 0	CFO Robert Kenney
8653 DUVAL FEDERAL 1 JACKSONVILLE		fitle Manne Banefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitte Rame Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	fitle Mannes Banefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

155,174	1990-2	Chairman/CEO William Gust 0 0 0	Exec. W/Control Ler Nigo Jurrgenen 6000 0	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	Chairman/CEO Willim Guet 82500 0	Exec. W/Controller Vigo Jurrgeneen 600 0	VP/Operations Thomas Gasta 46000 0 0 03/10/90
con Date: 01/11/90	1989-2	Chairman/CEO Villiam Gunt 82500 0	Exec. VP/Control Ler Vigo Jurrgemen 6000 0	VP/Operations Thomas Geste 0 0
ngion: CENTRAL	1-989-1	ChairmenvCEO uittiam Gunt 82500 0	Exec. VP/Controller Vigo Justgemen 5000 0 0	VP/Operations Thomas Gente 4000 0 0 03/10/90
-	1988	Chairman/CEO UIIIIm Guat Boo25 0 0	Exec. vp/controller ulgo Juergemen 80625 0	vP/Operations Thomas Geske 46000 0
8654 ST. CHARLES FSA ST. CHARLES		Title Man Salary Bonarits Bona RTE Exployed Replecement Date	Title kan salary Benafits Benafits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Nace Salary Bons Bons RTC Exployed Replecement Date

T

٢

•

1,429,721	1990-2	Chairman/CE0/President George Bragg 300000 6000 0 0		sr. vp/Retail Banking Nark Graham 113000 18000 0
Nét Assets:	1990-1	Chairman/CEO George Bragg Gooo 0 0	•••	sr. vp/ketail Banking Mark Grahmn 113000 18000 0
Con Date: 11/16/89	1989-2	Chairman/CED George Bragg 6000 0		Sr. VP/Retail Banking Mark Graham 113000 0 0
gion: soutwest	1989-1	Chairman/CEO George Bragg 6000 0	President John Roberts 7000 12/18/89	Sr. vy/Retail Banking Mark Graham 18000 0
SAVINGS ASSOC. OK	1988	Chairmen/CEO George Biragg	President John Roberts 6000	sr. vp/setail Banking Mark Graham 105000 000 0
8655 SOONER FEDERAL : TULSA		Title Mans Salary Bensfits Bensifits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed RPL accment Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

I

Digitized by Google

		000	000	000
551, 796	2-0661			
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres/CE0 WIII1am kr.11me1n 10/7000 2000 05/01/90		000
an Date: 11/30/89	1989-2	Pres/CE0 1111 am Rc 11 anin 26000 1110000	000	000
egion: MESTERN C	1-6961	Chairman of the Board Nichael Noers 22000 400 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	President/t20 uittia Retiuein 2000 110000 110000	CFO Maureen Fitzpatrick 3000 1000 0 0 0 0
L 541 A550C. CA	1986	Chairman of the Board Hickmeil Noors 23000 2000 0	President/CE0 WIII1am RecIdent/CE0 25000 100000	CFO Neureen Fitzpatrick 111000 2000 0
B656 BROOKSIDE FEDERA LOS ANGELES		Title man salery benuits benu treflecement bete	fitte Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replecement Date	litte Name Bornsfits Borns RTC Exployed RTC Exployed RTC Exployed

126,376	1990-2	President Charles Crowder 0000 0	ve Jeffery Papinesu 6 0 0	000
Net Assets:	1990-1	Chairman Norman Koat 0 0 0 0 0 1/01/90	Pres: Charles Crouder 900 0	ve Jeffery Papineeu 42000 0
Con Date: 11/09/89	1989-2	Chairman Norman Kost 96000 3000 10000	President es Crouder 9000 Charles Crouder 9000 7000	ve Jeffery Papineeu 5000 3000
gion: CENTRAL	1989-1	Chairmen of Board Norman Kost 96000 3000 110000	Free Charles Crowder 90000 7000	ve Jeffery Papineau 5000 3000
ASSOCIATION IL Re	1988	Chairman of Board Norman Koat 0 0	Presi Charles Crouder 0 0 0 0	VP Jeffery Papineeu 0 0
8657 CREST FED. SAL I KANKAKEE		Title Mana Banafits Bonafits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Rame Bennsite Bennsite RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Manne Benrafits Benrafits RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

348, 525	1990-2		Chief Operating Officer John P. O'Lone 25000 25000 25000 0	
Net Assets:	1990-1	000	Chief Operating Officer John P. O'Lone 3000 25000 25000	vp James A. Pardolfo 12000 26000 01/19/90
n Dete: 11/09/ 3 9	1989-2	000	Chief Operating Officer John P. O'Lone 15000 25000 2500	ve James A. Pardolfo 12000 26000
on: EASTERN	1989-1	President VIIIIim J. 110000 26000 11/09/89	Chief Operating Officer John P. O'Long 25000 25000 25000	VF James A. Pardolfo 15000 26000 26000
NINGS ASSOC. NJ Regi	1965	President Villiam J. Biumo 0	Chief Operating Officer John P. O'Lone 0 0 0	vp James A. Pardol fo 0 0
8659 COLONIAL FED. SAN ROSELLE PARK		Title Reme Benefits Benefits RTC Exployed Replacement Date	Title Hame Benefits Benefits Bonus RTC Exployed RPC Exployed	Title Name Benafits Benafits RTC Employed Replacement Date

-	990-2		000	000
5,299,31	-			
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres/CED Domaid R. Calchell 000maid R. Calchell 94000 0 0	sr. Eve Joshua C. Thompson 15000 5400 0,16/90	EVP S. Murry Romald S. Murry 15000 4500 0 0 0/15/90
con Date: 01/11/90	1969-2	Pres/CEO Donald R. Caldwell 315000 94000 0	Sr. EVP Joshua C. Thompson 165000 5000	EVP/Corp. Services Romaid S. Murry 20000 45000 45000
bgian: EASTERN	1989-1	President & CEO President & Catcherl 31500 34000 94000 0	Sr. Exec. Vice Pres. Joshua C. Thameson 150000 5400	Exec. VP/Corp. Services Romaid S. Murry 150000 45000 4500
INCIAL SAVINGS, F.A. R.	1986	President & CEO Donald R. Calabell 359000 99000 9000	Sr. Evec. Vice Pres. Joshua C. Thompson 52000 4300 4300	Exec. VP/Corp. Services Ronald S. Mr150000 45000 45000
B661 ATLANTIC FIMA BALA CYMMYD		Title Name Salary Beneilts Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Aune Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Banus Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

	-2	000	000	•••
87,709	1990			
Net Assets:	1-0661	CED McCuary 90000 0 0 0 0	President siti dititan 60000 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Con Date: 11/30/89	1989-2	CEO Darry Kcoury 90000	President Bill dilligen 60000 4000 00	000
tegion: SOUTIMEST	1989-1	Damy AcQuary 90000	President Bill Gilligen 75000 2000 0	sr. ve/Lending Patton Chapmen 52000 0 12/31/69
IAL FED. SLL ASSOC. TX	1968	CEO Duarry McChury	President sitt cittigen 3000 3000 0	sr. ve/Lending Patton Chagman 40000 12000
8662 FORTUME FINANCI COPPERAS COVE		Title Rame Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Rame Benrifts Benrifts RTC Employed Replecement Date	Trtle Rame Banarits Banarits RTC Employed Replecement Date

494

٦

	r	r	1	1
120,457	1990-2	President Richard L. Thomas 1900 1900 0	W/Loans El Ray Gilley 38500 0 0	VP/Controller Thomas M. Schleffer 1522 1522 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Richard L. Thomes 1900 9900 0	Sr. VP Wiitiam B. Marrison 0 02/01/90	VP/Control Ler Thomes N. Schleffer 1552 1552 0
Con Date: 11/30/89	1989-2	President Thomas Richard L. Thomas 11341 0	Sr. VP William B. Marrison 3717 3717	W/Controller Thomas M. Schleffer 2300 2300
gion: SOUTHNEST	1989-1	President Richard L. Thomas 113500 11341 0	sr.vP B. Marrian William B. Marrian 3717 0	VP/Controller Thomas M. Schleffer 4800 2300 2300
RAL SAVINGS ASSOC. Re TX	1988	President Richard L. Thomes 12500 12500 0		W/Controller Thomas N. Schleffer 2100 2100 2100
0663 SOUTMESTERN FEDER EL PASO		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Rame Salary Benefits Bonus Replocement Date Replocement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed RTC Employed

MESTERN FEDERAL SAVINGS ASSOC. Region: SOUTHM

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

495

•

51,797	1990-2	President/Tressurer John E. Thien 55000 7000		VP Sustan G. Defloy 34000 4000		Asst. VP Lorraine N. 611aan 27000 5000 0	
Net Assets:	1-0661	President/Tressurer John E. Thien 5000 7000 0		Vice President Susen G. Defloy 34000 4000		Asst. Vice President Lorraine N. Gilman 27000 5000 000	
can Date: 01/11/90	1989-2	President/Treasurer John E. Thien 45000 7000 0		VP Susen G. Defloy 34000 4000 4000		Asst. VP Lorraine N. Gilmen 26000 4000	
Region: EASTERN	1-6961	President/Tresurer Robert Pettit 5500 000	02/12/00	Vice President Sueen G. Detry 2000 3000		Asst. Vice President Lorraine N. Gilaen 2600 4000	
L SAVINGS BANK NE	1988	President/Tressurer Robert Pettit 55500 0		Vice President Sueen G. Defloy 56500 3000 3000		Asst. Vice President Lorraine M. Gilman 24000 4000	
8664 AMERICAN FEDERAL SANFORD		Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Borus	RIC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

10000 ... 000 000 President OTS Appointed 1990-2 539,523 10000 ... President OTS Appointed Net Assets: 1990-1 000 000 000 Con Date: 12/14/89 1989-2 Chairman Marvin Rosenthal 145000 18000 0 President Pelvin Berger 151000 10000 0 91000 13000 0 12/15/89 12/15/89 12/15/89 Exec. VP Joel Sweren 1989-1 Region: EASTERN Chairman Marvin Rosenthal 17000 17000 President Nelvin Berger 900 0 86000 10000 10000 Exec. VP Joel Sweren £ 1988 YORKRIDGE-CALVERT FSA PIKESVILLE RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date RTC Employed Replacement Date l Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus 999

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

497
167,545	1990-2	PRESIDENT/CEO SIEPNEA N. WY 2000 3000 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT/CLO RICHAND WOFFAH 45000 3000 9000	CF0 MMr J. YACGER 41000 3000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	PRESIDENI/CEO STEPMEN N. M/ 22000 3000 0 0	VICE PRESIDENT/CLO RICINUOD NOFPANA 3000 3000	CFO MARY J. YACGER (1000 3000 0
Can Dete: 01/11/90	1969-2	MESIDENT/CEO STEPHEN N. MY 2000 0000 0	VICE PRESIDENT/CLO LICIUND NOFPAU 5000 5000	CFO MARY J, YACEER 39000 5000 0
ilon: VESTERN	1989-1	PRESIDENT/CEO STEPNEN N. WY 62000 000	VICE PRESIDENT/CLO RICKURD NOFFWU 44000 5000	CFO J. YACGER 9000 5000 5000
CAVINGS ASSOCIATION	1988	PRESIDENT/CEO STEPNEN N. MAY	VICE PRESIDENT/CLO RICHURD NOFFINA S000 0 0	cfo MARY J. YACGER
8667 FAMILY FEDERAL SI DALLAS		ritte Maar Senefits Benafits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Manual Benefits Bouus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Benefits Benef RTC Employed RTC Employed Replecement Date



Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

499

29,160	1990-2	Vice Pres. Paul lachter 42000 5000 0	vp - Operations Water Tranchard 1000 1000 0	Asst. Fee./Trassurer Nonica Abran. 21000 1000 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	vice Free. Paul Wachter 42000 500	ve - Operationa Malter Trenchard 1000 1000 0	Aast. Sec./Tressurer Nonica Abrama 21000 21000 0 0
Con Date: 11/30/89	1989-2	Vice Pres. Paul laschter 42000 11000	W - Operations Waiter Trenchard 1000 1000	Ast. Sec./Tresurer Nonica Abress 3000 3000 0
Region: EASTERN	1-0001	vice Pres. Paul lanchter 42000 11000 11000	ve - Operations Maiter Trenchard 24000 0 0	Asst. Sec.//resure Nonica Abress 2000 0
RAL SAVINGS ASSOC.	1968	Vice Pres. Paul Machter	vp - Operations valter Trenchard 0	Asst. Sec./Tressurer Nonice Abress 2000 0
8670 SALAMANCA FEDER SALAMANCA		Title Mans Benefits Bonus Brit Employed Applecement Date	Title Mans Balary Banafits Banafits RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Hear Benefits Benefits Benefits Replacement Date

711,470	1990-2	CEO Richard G. Millard 115000 0		CFO William R. Monteith 2060 2060		Chief Banking Officer Nubert D. Nutisili 1728 7728 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	CEO Richard G. Millard 115000 0 0		CFO William R. Monteith 72000 2060 0	01/31/90	Chief Banking Officer Nubert E. Rudigiil 1700 1728 0 0
Con Date: 11/30/89	1989-2	CEO Richard G. Millard 115000 0		CFO William R. Monteith 118750 2060		Chief Banking Officer Nubert E. Rudiall 1692 0
egion: EASTERN	1989-1	CEO Elliott 0. Cooper 145000 2193 2193	11/30/89	CFO Villiam R. Monteith 118750 2000		chief Banking officer Rubert E. Rudisil 7000 1692 0
IL SAVINGS, FSB SC R	1988	CEO Elliott O. Cooper 0 0		CFO William R. Monteith 0		Chief Banking Officer Nubert E. Rudisill 0 0
8671 SECURITY FEDERA COLUMBIA		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Banary Banarits Banus Replacement Date Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

501

572,751	1990-2	President/CEO John Simpson 0 0	cro Larry Allen 106000 0	vp Steve Blythe 6000 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	President & CE0 John Simpson 120000 0 0	cro Larry Allen 106000 0	Steve Blythe 60000 0 0
on Date: 12/08/69	1989-2	President/CE0 John Silapson 162000 0 0	cro Larry Allen 106000 0	ve Steve Blythe 85000 0 0
gion: EASTERN	1989-1	President & CEO John Singpoon 16500 0	cf0 Larry Allan 10600 0	teeve Blythe 55000 0 0
NT FED SQ VA	1968	President & CEO John Siapson	cfo Lerry Allen 0 0 0	Steve Blythe
8672 ATLANTIC PERMANEI NORFOLK		Title Manuality Benefits Benefits Benefits RFC Exployed RPC Exployed	Ittle seatery start benefits benefits benefits feplecement bate	If the Mass Mass Mass Mass Mass Mass Mass Mas

÷.

. •

	0-2	45000 3000 3000		counsel uskey 47000 2000 0		/Treas. sson 3000 3000 0	
60,487	1991	Presiden John Pet		VP/Gen. Joe McCl.		Exec. VP. Randy Her	
sets:		,5000 3000 3000		Counsel Luskey 2000 2000		/Tress. 8800 3000 0	
Net	199	Presiden John Pet		VP/Gen. Joe Nc C		Exec. VP Randy He	
-		45000 3000 0		nsel Y 46000 2000 0		33000 3000 0	
Con Date: 01/18/9(1989-2	President John Peters		VP/ Gen. Cou Joe McCluske		VP/ Trees. Randy Nesson	
	-	20000	08/28/89	urrsel skey 46000 2000 0		Tress. 33000 3000	
Region: CENTRAL	1989-	President Kevin Ulm		VP/Gen. CC Joe Nc CL		Exec. VP/ Randy Hesi	
_		20000 20000 20000		naet key 50000 3000		•••• 31000 4000	
. OF YORK NE	1988	President Kevin Ulmer		VP/Gen. Co. Joe Mc Clua		Exec. VP/TI Randy Ness	
D. SAV. ASSOC			Date		bate		bate
VORK		itle me Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employer Replacement	itle me Salary Benefits Borus	RTC Employee Replacement	itle me Salary Benefits Borus	RTC Employed Replacement
ð	L	E E				E el	

78, 144	2-0661	V.P. CONTROLLER JANES MILLACE 55000 0	VP. BRANCH ADMINISTATOR MARMAN, CORLIN 401	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	V.P. CONTROLLER JANES WILLACE 55000 0	V.P. BRANCH ADMIN. BANBANA CORLIN 45500 0	PRESIDENT/CEO NCCENZIE MOSS 96000 0 0 0
Con Date: 01/11/90	1969-2	V.P. CONTROLLER JANES MALLINE 55000	V.P./ BRUNCH ADMINI. 45500 0 0	MESSIDEMI/CEO MCCEMZIE MOSS 96000 0
tegion: WESTERN	1989-1	V.P. CONTROLLER	V.P. BRANCH CORLIN BARBARA CORLIN 43500 0	MEDIDENT-CEO MCCENZIE MOSS 9000 0 0
5	1986	V.P. CONTROLLER	V.P. BRANCH ADMIN. BARBARA CORLIN	PREDIDENT - CEO MCCEMZIE MCSS 0 0 0
8674 WILSHIRE FS&LA LOS ANGELES		Title Man Salary Bonus Bonus RTC Employed RPJ acceent Date	Title Maas Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed RTC Employed RCP Lacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date

	_			
8,582,471	1990-2	coo Jonathan P. Gabriel 19100 0		000
Net Assets:	1990-1	coo Jonathan P. Gabriet 19100 0	•••• -	
Con Date: 12/08/89	1989-2	coo Jonathan P. Gabriel 15000 26000		000
tegion: EASTERN	1989-1	ceo John W. Atherton, Jr 17000 17000 12/09/99	C00 Jonethan P. Gabriel 16100 26000	CF0 Glenn J. Mouridy 137000 20000 12/08/89
KK, F.S.B. NJ	1966	CEO John W. Atherton, Jr 0 0	Lotmathan P. Gabriel	cfo Glam J. Houridy 0
8675 CITY SAVINGS BAN BEDMINISTER	-	Title Mana Banarits Banarits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Hans Benefits Benefits Benefits RFC Employed Replacement Date	Title Mass Benefits Bons RTC Enployed RPI account Date

505

;

17,479	1990-2	CFO Judith Brummel 33000 5000 5000		COO Penelope Kenry 5000 5000		000	
Net Assets:	1-0661	CFO Judith Brummel 3000 5000 5000		COO Penelope Menry 15000 5000 0			
Con Date: 12/07/89	1989-2	CFO Judith Brummel 5000 5000		coo Penelope Henry 15000 000		000	
gion: CENTRAL	1-6861	CEO Thomas P. Nurk 45000 6000	12/27/89	CFO Judith Brummet 23000 5000 0		Chief Operations Office Penelope Nenry 15000 5000 0	
KS KS	1968	Chief Executive Officer Thomas P. Munk 5000 6000		cro Judith Brummel \$000 \$000		Chief Operations Officer Penelope Kenry 15000 500	
8676 THE GARNETT SAL ASSOC. GARNETT		Title Kame Salary Bonnfita Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Bonus Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date	Title Kame Salary Bonnits Bonus	RIC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

416,358	1990-2	President Robert A. McMulty 90 0	Exec. VP Michael F. Marter 6000 0 0	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	000	President Robert A. ReAmulty 900 0	Exec. VP Nichael F. Marter 6000 0
Con Date: 12/14/ 5 9	2-6061		President Robert A. McAnulty 93000 0	Exec. VP. Micheel F. Marter 74,000 0 0
Region: EASTERN	1-6061	ceo James O. Foglamn 0 12/13/99	President A. McAnulty Robert A. McAnulty 0 0	Exec. VP Michael F. Harter 24000 0 0 0
2	1968	Lames O. Foglemen	President A. McAnulty Robert A. McAnulty 0 0	Exac. vr Nichael F. Marter 0 0
8677 LOUSIANA SA LAKE CHARLES		Title Baner Salary Benerits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Man Benefits Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Remerits Benefits Benefits RTC Exployed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

507

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

1,2%6,682	1990-2	Frex/CEG George Nicial 175000 27000 0	Exec VP/COD bennis Menomy 175000 2000	sr. w/cf0 Hichael Critehlay 10000 19000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Prev/CEO George Minimi 20000 36000 0	Exec. W/000 bernis Mahoney 20000 0	sr. w/cF0 Hichael Critchley 12000 22000 0
Con bate: 02/23/90	1989-2	Free/CED Bertid D'Keefe 42000 42000 01/13/90	Lase: W/C00 burnis Minerry 14000 22000	sr . w/cfo Hichael Critekiyoo 25000 25000 0
Aqian: EASTEM	1-986-1	erral o'Icrffe Berrid o'Icrffe 42000 42000 0	Late: W/C00 bernis Networy 175000 22000	sr. w/cPo Nichael Critekly 197000 133000
2	1988	Pres/150 Gerald O'Earla 271000 40000 0	Lae: W/COD Dennis Naturny/31000 900	Fr. W/CFO Hickeel Critch1600 21000 21000
FIRST ATLANTIC & & LA PLAINFIELD		alary analita anua tit Esployed teplecement Date	a alary consile cons tric Esployed teplecommit Date	alary alary benafits benafits teplecement bate
1999		i.	ĮĮ.	

ļ

	<u> </u>					
45,338	1990-2	President Thomas Bzanomyer 2000 2000 0	-			
Net Assets:	1990-1	President Thomas Bzanomayer 2000 2000 2000	Exec. V. Pree. Devid RcKay 22000	01/18/90	ve Doug Gain 25000 2000	01/18/90
Con Date: 01/18/90	1989-2	President Thomas Bzanomyer 2000 2000 0	Ekec. V. Pres. David McKay 40000	2002 2002	VP Doug Gain 25000 2000	
tegion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Thomas Brannaryer 32000 2000 0	Exec. V. Pres. David NcKay 40000	800 2002	ve Doug Gain 2000 2000 0	
L SAVINGS BANK IL	1988	President Thomas Bizanomeyer 2000 2000 0	Elac: V. Pres. Devid McKay 42000		VP Doug Gain 22000 2000 0	
8682 FRONTIER FEDERAL BELLEVILLE		Title Mana Salary Bonus Bonus RTC Employed Reglacement Date	Títle Hann Salary	Benefits Borus RTC Exployed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Banefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

509

•

•

360,378	1990-2	President/CE0 Reymond F. Rodgdon 106512 1797 0	sr. wr/cF0 John A. Snable 54144 1507 0	sr. wyretail Banking Thomas P. Villiam 1426 1256 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	Pres/CEO. Rodgdon Raymond F. Rodgdon 1997 10	sr. vp/cf0 John A. Snable 54144 1507	Sr. Wyfereil Benking Thomas P. Uilliam 1426 1426 0
Con Date: 02/16/90	1989-2	President/CE0 Reymond F. Roddon 10000 1756 0	sr. ve/cfo John A. Snoble 52000 1446 1446	sr. vy/recail Banking Thomas P. Villiam 1365 1365 1365
agion: EASTERN	1-9861	Pres/CEO Reymond - Modedom 1756 1756	81. WP/CFO John A. Smulle 22000 1446	sr. w/mecail Banking Thomas P. Villian 1965 1965
ASSOCIATION, F.A. ON	1988	Pres/CEO Raymond F. Rodedon Bulloo 0 0	sr. w/cfo John A. Snoble Buooo 0 0	sr. wr/actail Barking Thomas P. Uilliam 0 0
6687 FREEDON SAVINGS COLUMBUS		Titte Manual Barardits Barardits Barardits Ript ecomont Date Rept ecomont Date	Titte Man Banarits Banarits Banarits RTC Epologed Replecement Date	Title Mann Banafits Banafits Banafits Replement Date Replement Date

50,377	1990-2	Pres. & CEO Lloyd N. Griffin 7700 7000	Ver Caton 27000	blane Accoun 26000
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres. & CEO Lloyd M. Griffin 77000 7000	VP Joyce Caton 27000 0 0	VP Blane McCoun 2600 0 0
Con Date: 02/02/90	1989-2	0 007 007 0097 0097 0097 0097 0097 0097	VP Joyce Caton 30000 0 0	VP Diana McCoun 28000 0 0
jion: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres. & CEO Lloyd II. Griffin 2400 400	VP Joyce Caton 0 0 0 0	VP Diana Nccoan 28000 0 0
BELA, F.A. KY Re	1968	tres. & CEO Lloyd N. Griffin 0 0	Verse Caton Loyce Caton 400	V Diane Necoun 26000 400
8689 NENDERSON NONE 5 NENDERSON		Title Salary Banafits Banafits Bana RTC Esployed Replecement Date	Title Law Barry Barrafits Barrafits Caployed Replecement Date	Title Lans siary Banaits Bana RTC Exployed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

.

511

, .

Digitized by Google

960,476	1990-2	strategic & Capital Plan. Andreu E. Saamon 2000 7000 7000	credit Review/Compliance Partick J. Boldwoo 5000 9000	000
Net Assets:	1-0661	President R. Maite 118000 8000 0 0 0	Strategic & Capital Plan. Andrew E. Swamon 2000 7000 7000	Credit Rev. & Compliance Patrick J. Bolon 2000 2000
1 Date: 01/04/90	1989-2	President R. Maite 7000 0	strategic & Capital Plan. Andrew E. Sammon Noco 7000 0	credit Review/Compilance Patrick J. Bokan 7000 7000
n: CENTRAL Cor	1989-1	President R. Maue 144000 216000 04/30/99	strategic & Capital Plan. Andreu E. Samanson 7000 7000	credit Nev. A Compliance Patrick J. Bohan 7000 0
SAVINGS BANK OF NINOT Regio	1988	President R. Manus A.	strategic & Capital Plan. Andrew E. Swanson 0 0	Credit Rev. & compliance Patrick J. Bohan 0 0
8690 MIDNEST FEDERAL \$ MINOT		Title Manua Salary Benerits Benerits Replecement Date	Title Salary Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Salary Bonne Bonne Ric Exployed Replacement Date

39,261	1990-2	ceo & Free Charles L. Fruett Jr Charles L. Pruett Jr 0 0	ve - Lending Devery 4. Carr 111 0 0	ve/cro Jeffrey burn 35000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	CED & Pres. Charles L. Pruett Jr 6000 0	VP - Lending Dever 4. Car 111 3000 0 0	NP/CF0 Jeffrey burn 31000 0
Con Date: 02/23/90	1989-2	CEO & Pres. Charles L. PrustLJr 600 0	vp - Lending Dewey W. Carr 111 33000 0 0	W/CFO Jaffray burn 31000 0
kegion: EASTERN	1-6961	CEO & Pres. Charles L. Pruett Jr 6000 0	VP - Lending Devey W. Carr 111 33000 0 0	verceo Jeffrey burn 31000 0
N. ASSOC. OF BLUEFIELD W	1966	CEO & Pres. Charles L. Pruett Jr 0 0	VP - Lending Devey V. Carr 111 0 0	WYCFO Jeffrey burn
8697 FIRST FEDERAL SA BLUEFIELD		Title Huma Sulary Bennits Bonus RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Mane Salary Benna RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Name Banry Bonus Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

1				
45,966	1990-2	President Martend K. Anderson 4900 0 0 0	ve Demis F. Trusheneki 22000 3000 0	secretary Barbara G. Clamanoa Barbara G. Clamanoa 900 900
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres ident lier i and K. Anderson 9000 9000 9000	ve Dennis F. Trushensti 2000 3000 0	Becretery Berbera G. Clamenae 3000 3000
Can Dete: 02/09/90	1989-2	President Barland K. Andrean 4000 0000	ve Demis F. Trushenski 2000 3000 0	Secretary Barbara G. Camana Barbara G. 2000 2000 0
I CENTRAL	1-6961	President Barland K. Anderson 19000 0000	ve bernis F. Trucheneti 2000 3000 9000 0	Secretary Berbers E. Clamona 2000 2000
u. Savines Association Region NN	1986	President Beerland K. Anderson 4000 0 0	ve bernis F. Fruahenski 3000 0 0	Secretary Secretary Second 2000 2000 0
6696 FAIRWORT FEDERA FAIRWORT		Title Mailary Beaurits Beaurits RTC Exployed Replement Date	IIIIe Manual Banariis Banariis RTC Espisyad Repiscement Date	Title Manual Man

Digitized by Google

•

68,443	1990-2	000	,	CHIEF AMINISTIATIVE OFF.
Net Assets:	1990-1	MESIDENT/CE0 JOSEPN NELLE 132200 15240 0 0 0 0	CHIEF FIMAMCIAL OFFICER JANES YOSHIMAMA 7264 9264 0 0 04/12/90	CHIEF ADMIN/OFFICER MARC HOWER 63000 5664
m Date: 04/12/90	1989-2	PRESIDENT/CFO JOSEPN NELLEIS 120000 16600 16600 0	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFF.
n: WESTERN Co	1989-1	PRESIDENT/CEO JOSEPN NELLEIS 16660 16660	CHIEF FIMMICIAL OFFICER JAKES YOSHIMMA 7000 7264 9264 9264	CHIEF ADMIN/OFFICER NUCL NOMER NOMER 60000 5665
ital savines assoc. Regio	1968	MESIDENT/CEO JOSEPH MELLEIS 120000 16000 0	CHIEF FIMMICIAL OFFICER LINES TOBHINALAD 12000 0 0	CHIEF AMILN/OFFICER MACC NOMER 60000 5664 904
8800 CONSTITUTION FEDEI TUSTIN		Title Mary Salary Bonus RTC Exployed Replacement Date	Title Manual Salary Benefits Boneits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Manuel Salary Benarits Benarits RTC Exployed Replecement Date

Digitized by Google

·

2,158,830	1990-2	NO/Chairmen Leonard Share 2400 0	00 Jack Klein 221000 2800 0	Chief Lending Officer Nike burkin 15000 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	CEO/MO/Cha (men Leonard Shane 100000 2400 0 0 0 0 0 22/23/90	000 Jast Klein 221000 2800 0 0,09/90	CFO Ron kernen 7900 0 02/14/90
Con bate: 02/23/90	1989-2	ceo.mo/chairman Leonard Shana 321000 3400 0	0 1eck Klein 27000 27000 27000	CF0 Ron laman 8600 0
ion: uESTEIN	1-6861	CEO/NO/Che i man Leonard Shane 31000 9400 0	000 Jack Klein 242000 7200 0	CF0 Rom lamaan 162000 0 0
5	1998	CEO/MOJ/Chai I muun Leonard Shares 330000 7100 0	500 Jeck Klein 7000 7000 0	CFO Run Manen 150000 7600
8901 NERCURY S & LA NUNTINGTON BEACH		Title Lans Salary Bonarits Bonarits RTC Exployed Replecement Dute	Title Han Salary Bonaits Bonaits RTC Exployed Replecement Date	litte Maria Boundits Boundits RTC Exployed Replecement Date

	90-2	E0 . Noretan 5500 0 0	G. Triskolt 4000 0 0	E. Blackneil 3000 0
£1,71	5	Pres./C	Exec. 1 Donald	Vernis
Net Assets:	1990-1	Pres./CEO James R. Reveton 5000 0	Exec. VP Dommid 6. Tristoll 40000 0 0	VP Demris E. Blackweit 30000 0 0
Con Date: 01/18/90	1989-2	Pres./CEO James R. Noveton 0 0 0 0	Exec: VP Dommid 6. Triakoli 0 0	ve Dennis E. Blackwell 0 0
gion: EASTERN	1989-1	Pres./CE0 James R. Noreton 0 0	Exac: VP Domaid G. Triakoll 0 0	ve Dennis E. Blackwell 0 0
ž	1968	Pres //20 James R. Koreton 0	Exec. VP Bonaid G. Triskoll 0 0	ye bennis E. Blackkeil 0 0
8803 BROOKWAVEN FS & LA BROOKWAVEN		Titte Matery Salary Bonariits Bonariits Miglecommit Date	Title Man Salary Bonarits Bona RTC Employed Replecement Date	Title Manua Sulary Bonua RTC Employed Rapiecement Date

517

73,176	1990-2	President Charles Conta \$5000 7000 0	Exec. VP Patrick Diederich 43000 5000 5000	Ve/Tresurer Ve/Tresurer Ve/Tresurer Ve/Tresurer S000 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	President Charles Gonta 5000 7000 0	Exec. VP Patrick blederich 43000 5000 0	VP. & Tressurer Utilitam Film 5000 0
Con Date: 02/16/90	1989-2	President Charles Gonka 65000 13000 0	Ease: VP Patrick Diederich 4000 9000 9000	W/Tressurver VILLIAM FLIA: 2000 000
ion: CENTRAL	1989-1	President Charles Gonta 65000 13000	Exec. VP Patrick Diederich 2000 0 0	W & Tresurer Villiam Flint 42000 0000
¥	1988	President Charles Gonta 65000 13000 13000	Exec. VP Patrick Diederich 8000 8000 0 0	V & Tresurer VIIIIam Flint 2000 000
BOOK EQUITABLE FS & LA COLUMBUS		Titte Mana Balary Bandits Bandits Replecement Date	Titte Malery Balary Const Const Ric Eseloyed Ric Esenent Date	11tte mean Baltery Banarfits Banarfits Ric Esployed Rightecommic Date

		000		000
6,381,942	1990-2			
Net Assets:	1990-1	CHATERNAM OF THE BOARD Gene Rice 470000 18000 000 02/09/90	PRESIDENT ERNIE MODZELENSKI 17000 17000 02/09/90	CHIEF LENDING OFFICER Richard Mall 175000 12000 02/09/90
Con Date: 01/31/90	1989-2	CKAIRWAN OF THE BOARD GENE RICE 470020 18000 110000	PRESIDENT ERMIE MODZELENSKI 17000 60000	CHIEF LENDING OFFICER Richard Mall 175000 12000 0
legion: MESTERN	1989-1	CHAIRNAM OF THE BOARD GENE RICE 470000 110000 110000	PRESIDENT ENNIE MOZELENSKI 17000 17000 60000	CHIEF LENDING OFFICER Richard Mall 12000 12000 0
L SAVINGS BANK AZ	1988	CMAIRMAN OF THE BOARD GENE RICE 44.7000 3518000 180000	PRESIDENT ERNIE MOZELENSKI 17000 92000	CHIEF LEMDING OFFICER RICHARD MALL 177000 00
8806 MERABANK FEDERAL PHOEWIX		Title Hame Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Manne Salary Benriits Benriits RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Benefits RTC Employed Replacement Date

Digitized by Google

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google .

53,566	1990-2	PRESIDENT REVERT N. STAES NEVERT N. STAES 0 0 0		VICE-PRESIDENT ROMALD WILLIAM 40000 0 0
Net Assets:	1-0661	PEESIDENT A. STAES REVERLT N. STAES 0 0 0	SECRETARY - IREASURER CECILLA FLORENT 5000 0 0 0 0 0,102/90	VICE-PRESIDENT ROMALD VILLIAN 60000 0 0
Con Date: 03/16/90	1989-2	PRESIDENT BEVERLY N. STAES 2000 2000	SECRETARY- TREASUMER CECILIA FLOREN 45000 0 0	VICE - PRESIDENT ROMALD WILLIAM 40000 0 0
Region: EASTERN	1-6861	PRESIDENT BEVERY N. STAES 48000 2000 0 0	SECRETARY-TREASURER	VICE-PRESIDENT ROMALD WILLIAM
L SAVINCS, F.A. LA	1988	PHESIDENT PRESIDENT N. STAES 0005 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 50	SECRETART-TREASURER CECILLA FLORENT 40000 4000 0 0 0	VICE-PRESIDENT
8009 UNITED FEDERAL NEW ORLEANS		Title Mana Balary Banarits Banarits RTC Explored Replecement Date	Title salary banafits banafits rtC Exployed replacemnt Date	Title Name Bandrits Bandrits BTC Encloyed RTC Encloyed Replecement Date

FEDERAL SAVINGS. F.A.

Generated at Yale University on 2021-02-19 15:26 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.31210014948309 Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

: 248,839	1990-2	rrell President 10000 Villiam O. Ferr 10000		Idin Sr. VP 70000 Bobby L. Mauld 6000		urhan CFO 65000 William L. Sturi 600	
Net Assets	1990-1	President Villiam O. Fe		Sr. VP Bobby L. Mau		CFO Villiam L. St	
Con Date: 02/23/90	1989-2	President Villiam O. Ferrell 0000		Sr. vp Bobby L. Mauldin 7000 000		CFO William L. Sturhan 6500 0	
Region: SOUTHWEST	1-6861	President Villiam O. Ferrell 0		sr. vP Bobby L. Mauldin 70000 000		CFO William L. Sturhan 65000 0	
ТХ	1988	President William O. Ferrell 0		Sr. VP Bobby L. Mauldin 6000		CFO Milliam L. Sturhan 65000 0	
8814 TEXASBANC FSB CONROE		Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus	RTC Employed Replacement Date

628,098	1990-2	EEO Nichaet J. Ninihan 2000 900 0	ve Terry Ratcliffe 72000 2000 0	
Net Assets:	1-0661	CEO Nichael J. Miniham 2000 0 0	vice President Terry Ratcliffe 2000 0	Pres/C00 8. Martin Mall 86000 2000 03/02/90
Can bate: 03/02/90	1989-2	CEO Michael J. Minihan 2000 0 0	Pres/COD R. Martin Nali 2000 0	ve Terry Aaccliffe 72000 2000 0
Region: EASTERN	1989-1	CEO Nichael J. Ninhan 20000 0 0	Pres./CD R. Martin Mall 88000 2000 0	vice President Terry Astcl/146 2000 0
i & LA, F.A. NC	1968	cco Michael J. Winihan 0 0	Pres./CCO R. Martin Mall 0 0	Vice President Terry Rectifie
BB17 NORTH CAROLINA S CHARLOTTE		Title Man Balary Banarits Banarits RTC Epiloyad Replecement Date	Title Man Banarits Banarits Banarits RTC Exployed Replecement Date	Title Man Balary Banarits Bana RTC Epiloyed Replecement Date

522

	·			
200,506	1990-2	000	000	svP/CE0 Richard Driscoll 100000 0 0
Net Assets:	1990-1	Chairman Wade T. Wowlin 0 12/31/69	President/CE0 Robert Frenzel 5000 0 0 01/05/90	syp Richard Driscoll 7500 0
Con Date: 02/23/90	1989-2	Chairmen Lade T. Novirin 2000 5000 0 0	President/CE0 Robert Frenzel 5000 0 0	syp Richard Driscoll 4000 4000
legion: SOUTMMEST	1989-1	Chairman Wade T. Novitin 25000 0	President/CED Robert Frenzel 15000 0 0	svp Richard Driscoll 75000 4000 0
AVINGS ASSOCIATION ILLS TX	1988	Chairman Lade T. Roul In 1,000 1,000 0 0	President/CE0 Rovert Frenzel 1000 1000	syp Richard Driscoll 7500 300 0
8018 NOWLIN FEDERAL SI North richland M.		Title Name Salary Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Sarry Benefits Benus RTC Employed Replacement Date	Title Name Salary Benefits Bonus RTC Employed Replacement Date

523

Digitized by Google

L

Supplemental Responses of James A. Johnson Vice Chairman of Fannie Mae,

Submitted for the Hearing Record of May 4, before the Task Force on the Resolution Trust Corporation of the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance of the U.S. House of Representatives' Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs

QUESTION 1: Is Fannie Mae able to suggest to the RTC a management information system for their mortgage loan assets?

Fannie Mae is willing to share with the RTC our knowledge and experience on the information necessary for optimum loan pricing in the marketplace. As a matter of fact, we have provided the RTC with this type of information for use in their Atlanta Pilot Program.

QUESTION 2: If Fannie Mae is familiar with the RTC's mortgage loan caseload, could you determine how much was originally produced by lending institutions using Fannie Mae rules for origination? How big a problem is it to create documents that comply with Fannie Mae rules if the origination was not in accord with those rules?

Fannie Mae encourages lenders to originate loans using our standard documents. However, we do purchase loans on nonstandard documents. We require lenders to submit copies of all nonstandard documents to us for our review. It is not possible for us to know how much of the RTC's single-family residential loan portfolio was originated according to Fannie Mae's underwriting standards. We are familiar with some but not all of the institutions under Many of the institutions under RTC management. management purchased or acquired loans in addition to originating loans. In those instances, even if Fannie Mae were knowledgeable about the origination practices of the institution, the particular loans being sold currently not have been originated according might to our standards. It is for this reason, as well as others, that we stress the importance of performing due diligence on loan portfolios and providing accurate loan detailed information to the secondary market. In this manner, Fannie Mae, or any bidder, can assess the underwriting or take into account compensating factors, in pricing the loans.

QUESTION 3: What recommendation would Fannie Mae have for improving the conservatorship process so that the value of assets are not dissipated? Is there anything that the RTC



can do now to recapture value in the loan portfolio acquired from failed institutions?

Fannie Mae would encourage the RTC to get loans ready for sale as soon as an institution is placed in conservatorship. If the RTC acts while knowledgeable staff is still employed, they may be able to prepare the necessary loan information for sale without having to contract a due diligence firm. If the state of the loan files and databases are in disarray then the RTC must hire an experienced and reputable due diligence firm to clean up the files and prepare data tapes for investors to evaluate the loan portfolio. As soon as the information is ready, loans should be offered for sale.

QUESTION 4: You noted in your written statement that if every purchaser of mortgage portfolios had to do "due diligence" on every mortgage, the process would be much less efficient. You may know that the RTC in connection with its institutional resolution process did provide for such investigations and, of course, the pace has been very slow. Would you explain in more detail the mechanism and agreements that are necessary for the type of subsequent "due diligence" that the secondary mortgage market makes? Would some of these actions be adaptable by the RTC in its asset disposition process?

The secondary mortgage market operates under the premise that the seller knows what he has to sell. The seller represents and warrants to the buyer that certain things are true about the loans he is selling. The buyer does not typically do a full scale due diligence review, but rather conducts a random sample post-purchase review of the loans. If there are obvious violations found in the post-purchase review process then the buyer undertakes a more thorough examination. Also, at the time a loan defaults, the buyer will look to see if the default was caused by an invalid representation or warranty. This process enables the secondary mortgage market to handle enormous volumes of loans.

When the purchaser is required to do a full scale due diligence review, the process is slowed. Typically, the purchaser is neither staffed or experienced in doing this function. Lending institutions which have acquired portfolios and wish to ready them for sale will usually hire an experienced due diligence firm whose job it is to prepare the loan files, data tapes and advise the institution of loans that fail to meet standard secondary mortgage market purchase criteria. On the basis of this information, the institution can make the customary representations and warranties. We encourage the RTC to adopt these secondary mortgage market practices. In fact,

this is what the RTC is doing in the Atlanta Pilot Program.

We are optimistic that the Atlanta Pilot program will be successful in selling loans and that the pace of sales will be such that the secondary mortgage market will absorb the volume.

QUESTION 5: Besides the four types of warranties that you mention in your testimony, are there any others that might have a significant impact on the RTC's successful marketing of mortgage loans?

Our testimony addressed the basic secondary market representations and warranties. Representations and warranties apply to known facts about the loans.

Although separate and distinct from the issue of representations and warranties RTC loan sales might be impacted by the quality of loans they have for sale. If the loans were poorly underwritten (i.e. high loan to value ratios, no mortgage insurance, poor appraisals, no credit verifications, etc.) then purchasers may not want to buy the loans because they would assume unusual credit risk in doing so. In these instances the RTC may be required to credit enhance or provide some form of recourse (such as retaining a subordinated interest in the loan) in order to sell the mortgages.

QUESTION 6: How much litigation is Fannie Mae engaged in at any particular time involving representations and warranties?

Fannie Mae requires that the seller repurchase the loan for failures in representations and warranties. Our lenders typically comply with our repurchase requests. Fannie Mae finds that few loans are required to be repurchased because of failures in representations and warranties. For example, out of approximately 934,000 loans either purchased or securitized by Fannie Mae in 1989 only 650 were repurchased by sellers due to untrue representations and warranties. In addition, of the 11,000 loans that Fannie Mae had in foreclosure in 1989, only about 300 were repurchased as a result of representation and warranty violations. We believe this is evidence that our system works. Only in unusual case does Fannie Mae become involved in litigation.

STILLWATER NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

527

Thomas E. Bennett, Jr. Executive Vice President

June 14, 1990

Congressman Bruce F. Vento Chairman, RTC Task Force 2304 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Vento,

Thank you for the opportunity to testify before your task force on May 4, 1990 and for your follow up questions. My responses are as follow:

1) How much of the assets of the failed saving and loans <u>can the bankers of Oklahoma realistically absorb?</u> Theoretically 100%. I have visited with leaders of the Oklahoma Bankers Association about this and they concur. As of year end 1989 the banks had total assets of \$26.3 billion and average equity capital of 8.79%, or \$2.3 billion. At a 6% minimum regulatory capital, this would support \$38.3 billion in assets. As of year end 1989 the saving and loans in Oklahoma had total assets of just over \$10 billion. Therefore, the banks aggregately had sufficient capital at year end 1989 to own all of the assets of all of the saving and loans and still meet the 6.0% minimum capital levels. In addition, the banks' earnings are rising, increasing their capital; and not all of the saving and loans will fail. So, theoretically, the banks could take over the whole problem. Realistically, they won't.

One major item that will effect how much they do purchase is the relationship of the percentage of non-earning assets that must be assumed in each purchase to the net income of the purchasing bank; and therefore the capacity of the resulting company to carry the non-earning assets to final sale. If non-earning assets, like real estate or non accrual loans, are either limited in percentage of total assets purchased (either by "puts" back to the RTC or substantial discounts), and/or rolled into a commercial real estate marketing program (as described in #2), then a greater percentage of the failed savings and loans will be purchased by local banks.

2431 East 61st Street, Suite 250 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74136 (918) 742-8076

Digitized by Google

P. O. Box 1988 Stillwater, Oklaboma 74076 (405) 372-2230 6305 Waterford Blvd., Suize 305 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118 (405) 840-9333 The biggest mechanical difficulty seems to be the RTC's need to make branch sales take place all at one time. The Oklahoma Bankers Association is organizing a task force to try and determine how to organize groups of bankers to make collective bids on branches of failed saving and loans. It is our hope that a system can be established to perform the due diligence process as one unit and then gather bids from two or more banks to buy all of the branches of a failed savings and loan at one time. If such a process can be established in a manner that is acceptable to the RTC, the bank regulators, and the banks; then realistically 50% or more, perhaps even 100% of the failed saving and loans can be sold in bulk to local bankers.

If this process can work; then it will be possible for RTC to be much more precise, much more quickly, about how much money the entire asset disposition process will cost. In addition, for all of the reasons stated in my May 4, 1990 testimony, the advantages of local decision making will be realized. I also believe that this would serve to minimize the cost to taxpayers, both short term and long term.

2) Should the RTC contract with local or state development corporations for asset management? Yes. Probably not all of the assets RTC acquires will be sold to bankers. So, in keeping with the concept that it is best to pursue local control, I believe that RTC can and should contract with local or state development corporations for management of commercial and industrial real estate. This process should be started with a careful survey of assets which are determined (per answer #3) to be potentially attractive for commercial or industrial development.

I have visited with heads of state agencies in Oklahoma, and with the Mayor and local leaders in Tulsa, Oklahoma; and all concur that there could be a variety of ways in which a local or state entity could contract to market, manage, and/or develop assets for the RTC. At the very least, local marketing groups could be organized to help establish positive marketing programs that would serve to help expand local economies.

In our state, Tulsa, Oklahoma City, and eight to ten of the other larger cities could probably organize, or utilize existing agencies to establish local asset development, management, and marketing programs (particularly for commercial properties). For the rest of the state, the Department of Commerce could organize a regional approach to marketing. In all cases, as you stated, we could shorten the procedure of assets to



developmental purposes.

3) Should RTC or local people make distinctions about what is and what isn't an asset to be used for economic development? Probably this could and should be done together. It might be best to try a few different systems on pilot basis to see what works. In Oklahoma, for example, RTC might create a task force comprised of state and local people, and RTC personnel to review all commercial assets and choose a certain dollar amount in each part of the state to be put in the process first. If that worked, then expand the program. If it didn't then try a different approach.

In addition to answer your questions, there are two other ideas I would like to suggest:

 RTC will have difficulty selling real estate in depressed markets without some form of financing assistance. This is because everyone in places like Oklahoma who owned any real estate in 1982 and still owns it today has lost all or most of their equity. As a result, people with good jobs and good credit cannot sell their current homes and roll their equity into an RTC house. In most cases, if they paid 20% or less down and bought their home in the last ten years, they have lost 100% of their equity. In many cases, they will have to sell their current home for less than the current mortgage balance and pay off the difference out of cash. So, there are good prospective purchasers who can not buy an RTC home because they do not have a down payment.

A possible answer for this problem is for RTC to take all homes not sold for 95% of appraised value within 6 months, and institute a program of carrying back an equity position for up to 30% of the appraised value, if the owner can finance at least 70% through other sources. The effect of this would be for RTC to receive cash for at least 70% of the appraised value of slow selling real estate; and receive additional future payments either in the form of a monthly payment on a 2nd mortgage or in a lump sum by holding their equity position until the house sells at some future point in time and receiving their equity back from the sale. This would be no worse for the taxpayers than holding an auction and accepting 70% of the appraised value in cash; and it probably would be much better, because most people would improve the property and hold it until the economy turned around.

2) The Congress needs to look at the total involvement of the United States government and its related agencies in depressed economies, and try to develop some form of coordinated strategy. The RTC, FDIC, FSLIC, are only a few of the many federal asset holders in states like Oklahoma. Their cousins such as HUD, VA, SBA, BIA, FmHA, and others also have enormous holdings in distressed economies. It is certainly in the interest of all taxpayers that a coordinated strategy be pursued so that the various asset disposition (or development) programs of these different agencies aggregately serve to improve local economies rather than depress them. In this way taxpayers can maximize their return on assets acquired and minimize the probability that they will continue to take back more and more assets at less and less value. and less value.

It is time for someone to start thinking about how to coordinate the many federal players into one game plan that helps everyone make progress. I would be very interested in pursuing this concept with you, your colleagues, or any members of your staff.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to testify; and for your leadership in this critical problem for our country! If I may be of further service, please give me a call.

Sincerely Thomas E. Bennett, Jr.

dh

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



119 1 0 1991



AMERICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION

1828 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-5104 202/296-3671 FAX 202 223-5843

August 10, 1990

The Honorable Bruce F. Vento U.S. House of Representatives 2304 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Vento:

The Resolution Trust Corporation's (RTC's) recent announcement that it will be selling real property in bulk is the reason for this letter. Last January, you spoke eloquently to our members about the large issues involved in the thrift bailout. The occasion was our TIPAC dinner, which was hosted by Mac McConville of Title Insurance Company of Minnesota. Today, the title industry wants to advise you of a specific concern regarding the RTC's real property disposition program.

The title industry needs to be able to identify clear chains of title with respect to properties acquired and then sold by the RTC. Certain matters under the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) raise chain of title issues that require either legislative or administrative clarification.

We understand that a technical corrections bill amending FIRREA is being drafted. We hope that we will be able to discuss with your staff the feasibility of a legislative clarification of how the RTC takes title to the real property assets of insolvent thrifts and in what capacity it will dispose of the real property.

We have been working with RTC staff on this and other matters. At the request of RTC counsel, Rex Veal, the American Land Title Association has acted as a conduit for the inquiries and concerns of its members. Our members have cooperated and worked as an industry. Enclosed is a copy of our basic submission of questions to the RTC. We have heard informally that the RTC will soon respond in part to our submission; it is preparing field instructions to the regional offices on two of the issues that we have raised: the treatment of state property tax liens and penalties and the extent to which the RTC will set aside transactions entered into by insolvent thrifts that it has taken over. We applaud the RTC's efforts in this regard.

An outstanding issue, however, is whether the Government can assure that the American public will be able to obtain marketable title to the properties acquired from the RTC. Unless the title industry receives answers to its questions on how and in what capacity the RTC obtains title to properties from insolvent thrifts, and unless duly authorized officers of the RTC exercise certain conveyancing instruments, breaks in the chain of title will occur. These breaks could render the title uninsurable and unmarketable. Alternatively, title insurance could be issued subject to significant exceptions. Such problems could make the properties more difficult to sell and adversely affect their selling prices. With the RTC's implementing a bulk sales program, the title industry's concerns need to be addressed now. Enclosed is a copy of our letter to Mr. Gary

The Honorable Bruce F. Vento August 10, 1990 Page 2

Bowen, Deputy Director, Office of Asset Disposition, RTC, alerting him to the industry's concerns.

Failure to resolve the broad legal issues as well as operational paperwork issues could prove very costly in the long run. If the RTC does not issue legal opinions on the chain of title issue, or field instructions reflecting legal conclusions, legislation will be required. We hope that we can meet with your staff in the very near future to discuss this matter further. We will check with them next week to determine if they need any additional information.

Thank you for your attention.

.Sincerely,

Hlinger

Phyllis K. Slesinger General Counsel

Enclosures

 xc: Gary Bowen, Deputy Director, Office of Asset Disposition, Resolution Trust Corporation Kirsten Johnson, Legislative Assistant, Office of Congressman Vento Richard P. Toft, ALTA President (without enclosures) Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Chicago Title Insurance Company C.J. "Mac" McConville, Chairman of the Board, Title Insurance Co. of Minnesota (without enclosures) Rex Veal, RTC (without enclosures) Gary Bowen, RTC (without enclosures) Jody Harrington, RTC (without enclosures)





AMERICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION

1828 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-5104 202/296-3671 FAX 202:223-5843

August 10, 1990

Mr. Gary Bowen Deputy Director Office of Asset Disposition Resolution Trust Corporation 6900 Seventeenth St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

re: Bulk Sales

Dear Mr. Bowen:

I understand from the RTC press release 386-90, dated July 24, 1990, that the Corporation will be selling properties in bulk. On behalf of the members of the American Land Title Association, I would suggest that outstanding legal and mechanical issues related to title be resolved promptly to assure that the Corporation will be able to realize the maximum prices possible and that sales will be closed expeditiously.

Our chief concern is maintaining a clear chain of title for a given property. Breaks in the chain of title could render titles unmarketable and uninsurable. Alternatively, title insurance could be issued subject to significant exceptions. Such problems could make the properties more difficult to sell and could adversely affect their sales prices.

Although FIRREA and pass-through receiverships have raised a number of chain of title issues, the bulk sale program raises special issues of its own. For example, if the RTC intends to sell in its corporate capacity properties acquired in its capacity as receiver (or conservator), at least one major title insurer has suggested that it may be necessary for a clear chain of title to have the RTC obtain written authorization to sell the properties in its corporate capacity. This written authorization may take a number of forms – deed, grant of power of attorney, corporate resolution.

ALTA and its members have been working with Jody Harrington and other attorneys in the Office of General Counsel to address title problems raised by FIRREA and the operations of the RTC. Enclosed is a copy of our original submission. Ms. Harrington has informed me that the RTC is close to issuing policy guidance materials to the regional offices on (i) how tax liens, penalties, and judgments should be dealt with; and (ii) how the Corporation will exercise its authority to set aside transactions entered into by insolvent thrifts. We applaud the responsiveness of the RTC in this regard. However, we see the further need for the issuance of a uniform policy on the issuance of confirmatory deeds by authorized officers in the regional offices when the RTC sells properties acquired in pass-through receivership transactions. Also, special procedures may be required in the bulk sale context.


Mr. Gary Bowen August 10, 1990 Page 2

ALTA would be happy to arrange a meeting for you with experts in the title industry to advise you about operational and legal problems posed by a bulk sale of real property as well as possible methods to streamline the closing process. We think the time to raise these issues is now rather than at the closing table.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

und

Phyllis K. Slesinger

Enclosures

,

 xc: Hon. Bruce Vento, U.S. House of Representatives Richard P. Toft, ALTA President (without enclosures) James R. Maher, ALTA Executive Vice President (without enclosures) ALTA Title Counsel Subcommittee on FIRREA (without enclosures) David Burkenroad, Ticor Title Insurance Co. Edward Stahl, American Title Insurance Co. Janice Carpi, Lawyers Title Insurance Co. James Gosdin, Stewart Title Guaranty Co. Rex Veal, RTC (without enclosures) Jody Harrington, RTC (without enclosures)





AMERICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION

1828 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-5104 202/296-3671 FAX 202 223-5843

March 19, 1990

Mr. Rex Veal Special Counsel Resolution Trust Corporation 550 17th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20429

Dear Mr. Veal:

During the seven months since the enactment of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA), the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) has sold numerous properties and has worked on developing a long-term strategy to dispose of its vast remaining inventory of properties. Members of the American Land Title Association (ALTA) have participated in many of the early sales and look forward to participating in future transactions in as efficient a manner as possible. To this end, our members need some counsel on how portions of FIRREA should be interpreted and some consensus as to procedures that should be followed for implementing FIRREA in accordance with the agency's interpretations and state law precepts of conveyancing.

535

Enclosed for your response is a series of questions raising issues that ALTA has identified as most pressing to the title industry. The questions have been developed through the efforts of a committee consisting of experienced underwriter counsel who work for national title insurance companies. The questions reflect both the problems that they have encountered and those that they anticipate might arise with respect to initial and subsequent transfers of the subject properties. ALTA has acted as a clearinghouse for our members' concerns in response to your stated wish for us to assume this role to avoid subjecting the RTC to numerous and duplicative inquiries from our members individually. Where we have been able to do so, we have suggested an appropriate course of action to deal with a given situation. We believe that answers to the questions posed will go a long way toward simplifying transactions involving the RTC.

ALTA also invites you to share with us any concerns about title insurance and settlement services that the RTC may have identified over the past seven months. We would be glad to meet with you or other people on the RTC staff to address any operational problems in addition to the legal issues that we are raising by this submission. Please feel free to call on me if you have any questions.



Mr. Rex Veal March 19, 1990 Page 2

Thank you for your assistance and cooperation.

Sincerely,

His Henryer

General Counsel

.

Enclosures



TITLE INSURERS' QUESTIONS REGARDING FIRREA

INTRODUCTION

Title insurers' basic concerns are the vesting of title and the attachment of liens and encumbrances. These title matters are also at the core of FIRREA, since one of its principal purposes is to effectively dispose of the real estate and loans secured by real estate owned by institutions placed in receivership and conservatorship.

The exact nature of RTC's title to these interests affects the way RTC can dispose of real property and real property interests. It also affects the disposition of property transferred to the FSLIC Resolution Fund; the implementation of lower-income occupancy requirements; the effect of liens on property within the control of RTC; and the operation of state real property and recording laws.

We have prepared a list of questions, which we believe address title insurers' most pressing concerns. Some of these questions also concern the FDIC. They could be answered in several ways. For example, if you (or the FDIC) have addressed any of these questions in internal memoranda, you could make the conclusions available to us. You may have already issued regulations addressing some of these questions, of which we are unaware. Or, you may be in the process of drafting regulations addressing others, and you could make drafts available to us.

Since the implementation of FIRREA is a continuing matter, other questions will undoubtedly arise in the future. Perhaps you could designate one of your counsel to serve as a spokesperson for the RTC on matters concerning title and

- Page 1 -

title insurance.

We would be glad to provide advice and assistance to RTC and FDIC towards making FIRREA a more efficient and effective method of resolving the present financial crisis.

The questions have been divided up by topic, namely:

A. Title; B. State Real Property and Recording Laws; C. Lower-Income Occupancy Requirements and Sales in Distressed Areas; D. FSLIC Resolution Fund; E. Section 219, and Taxes and Liens; F. Receiverships of National Banks; G. Appeals of Receivership; and H. Repudiation of Contracts. (For ease of discussion, precise statutory citations are provided, including a reference to West Publishing's U.S. Code and Congressional & Administrative News, September 1989, No. 6.)

A. Title

Digitized by Google

1. What is the source of RTC's title?

2. Under Section 212(a), new 12 USC 1821(d)(2)(A), 103 Stat 225-6, any transfer of title effected by the receivership occurs "by operation of law." This subsection modifies Section 1 of the Federal Deposit Insurance (FDI) Act, in conjunction with Section 501(a), new 12 USC 1821A(b)(4), 103 Stat 370, which gives RTC the powers FDIC has under Section 11 of the FDI Act. Is this subsection of Section 212(a) the source of RTC's title when it is appointed receiver or conservator? Was the subsection based on former 12 CFR 547.7?

3. When it is appointed receiver or conservator, does RTC acquire fee simple title? Or only title as receiver or conservator?

4. What is the effect on RTC/FDIC's title, of new 12 USC 1821(d)(2)(B)(iii), 103 stat 226, which allows RTC/FDIC to act in the name of

- Page 2 -

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Original from

the institution? Does it allow title to remain vested in the institution? If so, does this create a conflict with new 12 USC 1821A(d)(2)(A)?

5. Does RTC's title differ depending on whether it is appointed receiver or conservator? In general, what are the differences between RTC's powers as receiver and conservator, with regard to disposition of real property and real property interests?

6. Has RTC issued instructions to its (or FDIC's) Managing Agents as to how deeds (and assignments of mortgages and trust deeds) are to be executed?

7. Why are Powers of Attorney-issued by Lamar-Kelly authorizing Managing Agents to act on behalf of RTC, when FDIC is RTC's "exclusive managing agent" under Section 501(a), new 12 USC 1821A(b)(9)(B)(i), 103 Stat 371?

8. RTC (and under pre-FIRREA laws, FSLIC) often places institutions in receivership and "closes" them, creates a new federal institution, and transfers all or substantially all of the real estate and mortgages by Purchase and Assumption Agreements. These are the so-called "pass-through" receiverships. The Agreements are usually not recorded.

We believe that this failure to record any evidence of the transfer creates a gap in the chain of title of each affected property, since the local land records still show title to the property being vested in the closed institution. This gap can create an unmarketable title. This problem can be solved by having the receiver of the closed institution execute a deed to the property being sold, which can then be recorded to close the gap in the chain of title. (In the alternative, where valid under local law, a blanket deed can be executed and recorded.) We understand that in several jurisdictions, confirmatory deeds are being issued. In others they are not.

In connection with property transferred under FIRREA to the FSLIC Resolution Fund, FDIC's General Counsel, John Douglas, agreed to "execute such

- Page 3 -

Digitized by Google

conveyance instruments and other documents as are in its judgment necessary to solve ... marketability problems and properly vest title in the intended grantee ..." (letter to conservator title insurers dated August 1989) Is it (or will it be) RTC's policy to have Managing Agents execute and record "confirmatory" deeds, as described above, conveying the property (or all property in a given county) of record from the closed association to the newly created association selling the property?

9. Managing Agents' authority to execute deeds is being evidenced by powers of attorney executed by William Roelle and Lamar Kelly. Most states require that if a person executing a deed is doing so pursuant to a power of attorney, the power needs to be recorded as well as the deed. Will RTC make Managing Agents aware of the necessity of obtaining and recording the power of attorney?

It is possible that conveyancing could be simplified, by avoiding the necessity of recording the power of attorney, if RTC changed its operating procedures and authorized its Managing Agents by corporate resolutions or other instruments, and not powers of attorney.

10. Although the power of Managing Agents to deal with association property is evidenced by powers of attorney, their power is otherwise limited by separate delegation documents, which prohibit them from selling real property worth more than specified values. title insurers have not been given free access to these delegation documents. What is the effect of a Managing Agent (or someone acting under his direction) authorizing the sale of property with a value in excess of the limit specified by the delegation document?

- Page 4 -

B. State Real Property and Recording Laws

The vesting of title under FIRREA will be tested by local state real property recording laws. These laws, which operate through land records, protect designated interests in land by requiring the recording of conveyances of real property. Unless notice of the receivership is recorded, RTC's title would not be shown by the land records. Under Section 212(a), new 12 USC 1821(d)(2)(A), 103 Stat 225-6, any transfer of title effected by the receivership occurs "by operation of law." The question is whether that provision preempts the state-law protections of recording laws.

The questions can be illustrated by the following scenario:

Take a state, such as Texas, in which judgment creditors, as well as purchasers, are considered bona fide purchasers and granted the protection of the recording laws. In those states, an unrecorded conveyance is not valid as against a judgment lienor or purchaser who has perfected its interest by recording it. On Day 1, insured depository institution A is placed in an RTC receivership. On the same day, its assets, including real property Blackacre, are conveyed by RTC as receiver to Institution B by a Purchase and Assumption Agreement, which is not recorded. On Day 2, a judgment creditor records an abstract of the judgment against Institution A, thereby perfecting a lien against Blackacre under state law.

 Does Section 212(a), new 12 USC 1821(d)(2)(A), 103 Stat 225-6 preempt local real estate and recording laws? Is the conveyance that occurs "by operation of law" intended to affect third parties, such as parties recognized and protected as bona fide purchasers and encumbrancers under local law?

2. State laws may also require particular notices and/or the recording of

- Page 5 -

Digitized by Google

541

certain documents in connection with, e.g., substituting trustees, paying off mortgage-loans or foreclosing mortgages and deeds of trust. Does FIRREA preempt or supersede such laws?

3. FIRREA recognizes the validity of "perfected security interests" in Section 212(a), new 12 USC 1821(e)(11), 103 Stat 240. Does "security interests" include judgment liens? What does "perfected" mean? Does it mean perfected under local law? If so, does it contemplate that liens can be perfected after the appointment of RTC as receiver or conservator?

C. <u>Section 501--Lower-Income Family Occupancy Requirements and Sales in</u> "Distressed Areas"

The purpose of Section 501(a), new 12 USC 1821A(c), 103 Stat 376 is "to provide homeownership and rental housing opportunities for ..." eligible families. In specified circumstances, it requires RTC to give notice to certain parties regarding the availability of low-income residential property and to give them a first right to purchase the property. It also requires that a restriction be inserted in the deed, whereby the purchaser in effect covenants to "make the property available for occupancy by and maintain it as affordable for lower-income families for the remaining useful life of such property ..."

If RTC does not comply with the requirements of notice and restriction, Subsection 1821A(c)(11)(A) provides that failure to comply with the subsection "may not be used by any person to attack or defeat ... title ..." However, the subsection following, 1821A(c)(11)(B), 103 Stat 382, makes the restrictions "judicially enforceable ..."

Title insurers are concerned about (1) when subsection (c) comes into play

- Page 6 -

and (2) whether the right to enforce the restrictions overrides the protections given against attacks on title after conveyance.

 Subsection (c)(2)(B) refers to two types of restrictions, I (occupancy) and II (purchase), but only the first one is required to be inserted in a deed. Do you read the subsection as not requiring a deed to eligible purchasers to contain a restriction that the property be "made available for purchase by such families"? Once sold without Restriction II, is the property free of that restriction?

2. Section 1821A(c)(9)(C), 103 Stat 380 states that for purposes of subsection (c) "Corporation" applies only when RTC is acting as a receiver or in its corporate capacity. Do you agree that the subsection does not apply when RTC is acting as conservator?

3. The subsection is triggered also by sales of property which appraised value is less than figures set forth in 12 USC 1709(b)(2) and 1751(d)(3)(ii). How and/or when will these appraisals be done, and/or communicated, i.e., to a title insurer?

4. What is the effect of Section 501(a), new 12 USC 1821A(c)(11)(B), 103 Stat 382, which provides that the "occupancy requirements ... shall be judicially enforceable against purchasers ..."? Does subsection (A)'s prohibition against an "attack" on title protect the holder of an unrestricted deed? Does "lower-income occupancy requirements" in 12 USC 1821A(c)(11)(B) refer to the requirements generally or only to the requirements when they are included in the deed to the purchaser? If a deed to a purchaser does not contain the lower-income occupancy restriction required by 1821A(c)(2)(B), 103 Stat 276, is the restriction nevertheless enforceable against the purchaser? Does judicial enforcement include rescission or voidance, or only imposition of the occupancy requirement?

- Page 7 -

7. What happens if a proposed sale under subsection (c) falls through? Can RTC sell the property without a restriction in the deed?

8. Subsection 1821A(c)(10) excepts sales of "all or substantially all of the assets of a closed savings association to an insured depository institution ..." from the lower-income occupancy requirements. What is "substantially all assets"? Does the exception apply to all so-called "pass-through" receiverships? Would the exception not apply if less than substantially all assets are transferred, e.g., when they are split up and sold to different entities? Does the subsection prevent RTC from selling lower-income housing to another insured depository institution?

9. If subsection 1821A(c)(11)(B) allows attacks against the holder of an unrestricted deed, title insurers may have to make an exception for it whenever potentially eligible property is being sold. In several jurisdictions the Managing Agents have been executing affidavits stating that the property being sold is ineligible or that subsection (c) has been complied with. Would RTC be willing to impose such a requirement across the board and have its Managing Agents provide the affidavit as a matter of policy?

10. In connection with sales of property in "distressed areas," Section 501(a), new 12 USC 1821A(b)(12)(D)(ii), 103 Stat 374, requires a "minimum disposition price." What if the property is sold for less than that amount? Will its title be subject to attack or voidance?

D. FSLIC Resolution Fund

Digitized by Google

The FSLIC Resolution Fund is an unusual entity. It seems to contain both properties as well as whole receiverships.

- Page 8 -

 Is the Fund a title-holding entity? While a property is in the Fund, where is its title vested?

2. What real property was transferred to it by Section 215, new 12 USC 1821(11A), 103 Stat 253? Does the subsection only transfer properties belonging to associations in receivership or conservatorship prior to January 1, 1989? (This was stated in John Douglas' letter to title insurance companies dated August, 1989. Did Douglas base this conclusion on 1821(11A)(2)(A)'s provision, "Except as provided in section 21A of the Federal Home Loan Bank Act ..." and the fact that new 12 USC 1821A(b)(3)(A)(ii), 103 Stat 369 provides that RTC's duty is to resolved depository institutions for which a conservator or receiver was appointed on or after January 1, 1989?)

3. How will properties transferred to the FSLIC Resolution Fund be conveyed to purchasers? Is the institution-owner the grantor? Should the conveyance be executed by a Managing Agent of the FDIC as Manager of the FSLIC Resolution Fund and as receiver of the Association? (As stated in John Douglas' letter to title insurance companies dated August, 1989.)

 Are properties in the Fund subject to liens and/or taxes? (See also discussion in E. below.)

E. Section 219, and Taxes and Liens

Title insurers are concerned with Section 219 because it deals with taxes and their consequent liens. The section provides that the "Corporation" itself is exempt from local taxation; that "any real property of the Corporation" is subject to local taxation; and that "property of the Corporation shall [not] be subject to levy ..."

1. Section 219 amends 12 USC 1825, Section 15 of the FDI Act, which

- Page 9 -

concerns the FDIC. Section 501(a), new 12 USC 1821A(b)(4), 103 Stat 370, provides that RTC shall have all the powers granted to the FDIC in Sections 11, 12 and 13 of the FDI Act. Does this mean that Section 219 does <u>not</u> affect properties controlled by RTC? If it does, does it matter whether RTC is acting as conservator or receiver? Does it affect FSLIC Resolution Fund properties?

2. Subsection (b)(1) makes Corporation property subject to local property taxes. Does this make property in the control of RTC subject to property taxes? Does it make a difference whether RTC is receiver or conservator? What about FSLIC Resolution Fund properties?

3. Subsection (b)(2) makes the Corporation immune from "levy, attachment ... foreclosure ..." Does this subsection apply when the Corporation owns any interest in the property in question? When it owns the fee? When it owns a mortgage or other security interest? Does the subsection refer only to the enforcement of local, non-federal tax liens? Does it refer to the enforcement of non-tax liens? If RTC/FDIC is liable for local real property taxes, but immune from their enforcement, will RTC/FDIC pay these taxes as they accrue prior to conveyance to a bona fide purchaser?

4. Can real property taxes be imposed after the RTC/FDIC disposes of the property?

If the title insurer decides not to show tax liens as an exception in a title policy, is RTC/FDIC prepared to indemnify a title company?

6. In general, is RTC/FDIC authorized to issue indemnities to title insurers? If so, under what authority?

7. Does Section 212(a), new 12 USC 1821(d)(13)(C), 103 Stat 232, protect RTC/FDIC as receiver/conservator from attachment or execution of any lien? Does the subsection prohibit foreclosure of a senior mortgage or lien? Does

- Page 10 -

it matter what interest RTC/FDIC holds in the property in question, e.g., fee or security interest? Do perfected liens attach nevertheless after conveyance by RTC/FDIC?

8. If RTC believes that a tax or lien is unenforceable, is, RTC willing, for the purpose of closing an escrow, to enter into an agreement whereby a title insurance company would hold funds for a specified time pending resolution of the matter?

9. What parties would have the authority to act on behalf of RTC in such an agreement?

F. Receiverships of National Banks

Digitized by Google

Prior to FIRREA, a receiver of a national bank was required to obtain court approval for the sale of the bank's real property, pursuant to 12 USC 192. A conservator, appointed under 12 USC 203, did also, since it had the same rights (and obligations) as a conservator.

1. If, after the effective date of FIRREA, FDIC is appointed receiver or conservator of a national bank by the Comptroller of the Currency, is a court order required to validate a sale of property of a national bank? Did FIRREA amend or supersede 12 USC 192, requiring receivers of a national bank to obtain court authorization to sell the bank's property? Was Section 192 modified by Section 212(a), new 12 USC 1821(j), 103 Stat 243, which prevents a court from interfering with the actions of FDIC as conservator or receiver? Does it matter when FDIC was appointed? If it were appointed receiver prior to August 9, 1989, would 12 USC 192 govern?

2. What is the effect of Section 802, new 12 USC 203(b)(3), 103 Stat 443? If the Comptroller appoints FDIC as conservator of a national bank, is FDIC

- Page 11 -

authorized to sell property without court authorization under 12 USC 192? What is the relationship of Section 802 to 12 USC 192?

G. Appeals of Receivership

 If an institution appeals its takeover under Section 301, new 12 USC 1465(d)(2)(E), 103 Stat 292, how does the appeal affect the actions of RTC as receiver or conservator?

2. Is 12 USC 1465 jurisdictional? If an institution does not appeal within the 30 days provided by Section 301, does it lose all right to appeal the takeover?

3. If the institution prevails, could transactions completed by the receiver be set aside?

H. Repudiation of Contracts

Under Section 212(a), new 12 USC 1821(e), 103 Stat 234, FDIC/RTC has broad powers to repudiate contracts, including contracts for the sale of real property and leases.

 How broad is this subsection of Section 212(a)? Under what circumstances may or will RTC repudiate contracts?

2. Does the section allow the receiver or conservator to repudiate modifications of mortgage loans? Under what circumstances?

 Has the <u>D'Oench</u> doctrine and/or 12 USC 1823(e) been modified by FIRREA?

4. If a contract affecting real property is repudiated, will the repudiation be recorded in state land records?

- Page 12 -

Digitized by Google

Definitive answers to these questions will further the objective of FIRREA, the RTC and the title industry of transferring land titles quickly and effectively and in accordance with law.

Ο

۰.

•

549

Thank you for your assistance and cooperation.

7. i

- Page 13 -

30-239 (556)

Digitized by Google

