Research-based policy analysis and commentary from leading economists

Create account | Login | Subscribe

Search

Columns

Covid-19

Vox Multimedia

Publications

Blogs&Reviews

People

Debates

Events

About

By Topic

By Date By Reads

By Tag

Grexit: The staggering cost of central bank dependence

Charles Wyplosz 29 June 2015

This weekend's dramatic events saw the ECB capping emergency assistance to Greece. This column argues that the ECB's decision is the last of a long string of ECB mistakes in this crisis. Beyond triggering Greece's Eurozone exit – thus revoking the euro's irrevocability – it has shattered Eurozone governance and brought the politicisation of the ECB to new heights. Bound to follow are chaos in Greece and agitation of financial markets – both with unknown consequences.



The ECB has decided to maintain its current level of emergency liquidity to Greece (ECB 2015). By refusing to extend additional emergency liquidity, the ECB has decided that Greece must leave the Eurozone. This may be a legal necessity or a political judgement call, or both. Anyway, it raises a host of unpleasant questions about the treatment of a member country and about the independence of the central bank.

Related

The new European Union Charles Wyplosz

Path to Grexit tragedy paved by political incompetence

Barry Eichengreen

Greece – the day after: Time for a fresh start Thorsten Beck

As anticipated (Wyplosz, 2015), the negotiations between Greece have led nowhere. As a result, Greece is bound to default on all maturing debts in the days and weeks to come. With a primary budget close to balance, the Greek government could have soldiered on until new negotiations about the unavoidable write-down of its debt.

The risk for the Greeks of this 'default strategy' has always been that it depended entirely on the ECB's willingness to continue providing the Greek banking system with liquidity, especially at a time of a bank run by rational depositors who put a non-zero risk of Grexit. Over the last weeks, the ECB has provided the needed liquidity in the face of a "slow-motion run" on Greek banks.

Suddenly, on the morning of 28 June, the ECB has stopped providing emergency funding to Greek banks. In a classical self-fulfilling crisis fashion, this decision is bound to turn the "slow-motion run" into a panic.

• The bank holiday and capital controls announced will create some breathing space, but very briefly.

These measures will not prevent the banking system from collapsing.

- The natural consequence will be the collapse of the Greek banking system.
- At that stage, possibly earlier, the Greek authorities will have no choice but to leave the Eurozone and provide banks with the re-created drachma.

Chaos is bound to follow in Greece.

Why the ECB did it

did the ECB freeze its Emergency Liquidity Assistance (ELA) to Greece? The ECB will ubtedly come up with all sorts of legal justifications. Whether true or not, this will not change



Charles Wyplosz
Emeritus Professor of International
Economics, Graduate Institute,
Geneva; CEPR Research Fellow

Don't Miss

The great equaliser shuts down. The triple impact of school closures

Doepke, Zilibotti

Revising the European fiscal framework. Fiscal rules and debt management

Guerrieri

Covid-Induced School Closures in US and Germany. 75th Economic Policy Panel, 7 April 2022 Fuchs-Schündeln

Events

Webinar: A Blueprint for the Reconstruction of Ukraine 20 - 20 April 2022 / Online / Centre for Economic Policy Research

35th SUERF Colloquium: The Return of Inflation? - Call for Papers

23 - 24 May 2022 / hybrid I Vienna / Oesterreichische Nationalbank (OeNB) and SUERF - The European Money and Finance Forum

14th International Conference of the European Society for Ecological Economics (PISA, 2022)

14 - 17 June 2022 / University of Pisa, Dep. Economics and Management / University of Pisa, Dep. Economics and Management

European Research Workshop in International Trade (ERWIT) 2022 22 - 24 June 2022 / Lucca, Italy

Warwick Pre-University Summer School 2022 5 - 15 July 2022 / Warwick/Coventry / University

of Warwick

1 of 4 4/20/2022, 11:38 AM

the outcome.

If the ECB is truly legally bound to stop ELA, this means that the Eurozone architecture is deeply flawed.

• If not, the ECB will have made a political decision of historical importance.

Either way, this is a disastrous step.

Whether it likes it or not, every central bank is a lender of last resort to commercial banks.

By not keeping the Greek banking system afloat, the ECB is failing on a core responsibility.

One explanation is that the ECB fears losses. This is partly incorrect, partly misguided. It is incorrect because the ELA loans are provided by the Central Bank of Greece. It is the Central Bank of Greece, and therefore the Greek people, which stands to suffer losses from defaults by commercial banks. It is misguided because central banks are not commercial entities. Accepting losses is part of its public service mission. Keeping the banking system afloat is part of its core mission.

True, when the Greek government defaults on the ECB in mid-July, there will be losses. Here again, this is not a good reason to stop ELA.

- First, because Grexit will guarantee that Greece will default.
- Second, because as noted above, central banks must accept losses to fulfil their missions.
- Third, these defaults concern maturing treasury bonds acquired by the ECB at the height of the crisis as part of the bailout operations carried jointly with the IMF and other Eurozone countries.

The problem is that the ECB was bound by the no-bailout clause of the Treaties. It chose to circumvent the law, and this should be at its own risk. There was a good reason to have a no-bailout clause, after all. It would be morally right that the ECB suffers the consequences, not Greece, which had no choice then, as explained below.

ECB should accept responsibility for its past choices

More generally, the ECB must accept its share of responsibility for the disastrous management of the Greek sovereign debt crisis. It was the ECB that refused in early 2010 a write-down of the Greek debt (Blustein 2015).

Counterfactuals are never fully convincing, but a good case can be made that this decision is the reason why the Greek programs failed. An early write-down would have provided enough relief to avoid the deep and front-loaded fiscal stabilization that plunged Greece into a long lasting depression. An early debt write-off would have reduced its borrowing needs and the steep debt build-up that followed. Today's debt could well be perfectly sustainable.

French, German and IMF responsibility

The French and German governments quickly backed the ECB position to protect their large banks, which held large amounts of Greek government bonds. It was also backed by the US, which feared a new "mega-Lehman" bank crisis.

In May 2010, the IMF was unable to certify that, following the program loans, the Greek debt had a high probability of being sustainable, so it invented a new clause: loans that prevent a potentially unsustainable debt can be authorized if there exists a systemic risk to the global economy (Sterne 2014). There may well have been such a systemic risk, so refusing to write down the Greek debt may not have been a mistake, we just do not know for sure. Still, that reasoning carries a deeply disturbing implication for it means that Greece was sacrificed to avoid the risk of a global crisis (Wyplosz 2011).

The Greek authorities were coerced into accepting loans to keep servicing their creditors, including financial institutions elsewhere in Europe and in the US. The huge public debt pile-up that followed is Greek contribution to global financial stability.

B's strange role in the Troika

CEPR Policy Research

Discussion Papers Insights

Homeownership of immigrants in France: selection effects related to international migration flows Gobillon, Solignac

Climate Change and Long-Run Discount Rates: Evidence from Real Estate Giglio, Maggiori, Stroebel, Weber

The Permanent Effects of Fiscal Consolidations
Summers. Fatás

Demographics and the Secular Stagnation Hypothesis in Europe Favero, Galasso

QE and the Bank Lending Channel in the United Kingdom Butt, Churm, McMahon, Morotz, Schanz

Subscribe

WoxEU

RSS Feeds

Weekly Digest

2 of 4 4/20/2022, 11:38 AM

Furthermore, by joining the Troika, the ECB also chose to play a strange role. In normal programs, the IMF sits on one side of the table while the country's authorities, the government and the central bank, occupy the other side. By being on the lenders' side, the ECB found itself in the position of imposing and monitoring conditions. This is one aspect of the more general point made by De Grauwe (2011).

The deep reason for the Eurozone sovereign crisis is that the euro is a foreign currency for member countries. It also provides an example of how deeply politicized the ECB has become. No other central bank in the world tells its government what reforms it should conduct, nor how sharp should fiscal consolidating be. As a member of the Troika, the ECB was instructing Greece to carry out deeply redistributive policies, for which only elected politicians have a democratic mandate. In the end, it must accept the blame for poorly designed policies that have provoked a deep depression and its political consequences.

The decision to freeze ELA is taking this politicization process to a new height. In effect, the ECB is pushing Greece out of the Eurozone. Politicians may debate about the wisdom of making Greece leave. As non-elected officials, the people who sit on the Governing Board of the Eurosystem have no such mandate. A charitable interpretation is that they felt that many governments would harshly criticize keeping the flow of liquidity to Greek banks open after the Greek government in effect closed the negotiations by calling a referendum. This is true, but central bank independence is designed to prevent this kind of pressure.

Concluding remarks: ECB independence undermined

On paper, the ECB enjoys full independence. Its Board members cannot be revoked and their long eight-year mandate cannot be renewed, so that they do not have to please member governments. Yet, they reluctantly violated the no-bailout clause to please member governments. Then, it took three years to decide on the Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) Programme – which brought immediate relief – because some member governments opposed it. For the same reason, they started QE seven years after the Fed, probably contributing to the longest period ever of no growth in Europe. And now they are triggering Grexit, which will radically transform the Eurozone. Adopting the euro is no longer irrevocable, a fact that is bound to agitate the financial markets with unknown consequences. Greece is not the only victim; the governance of the Eurozone has been shattered.

References

De Grauwe, Paul (2011), "Managing a fragile Eurozone", VoxEU.org, 10 May.

ECB (2015). "Press Release: ELA to Greek banks maintained at its current level", 28 June.

Paul Blustein (2015) "Laid low: the IMF, the Euro Zone and the first rescue of Greece", CIGI Papers No. 61.

Sterne, Gabriel (2014) "The IMF crisis and how to solve it", Oxford Analytics.

Wyplosz, Charles (2011) "The R word", VoxEU, 29 April.

Wyplosz, Charles (2015) "The coming defaults of Greece" VoxEU, 27 April.

A A

Topics: Europe's nations and regions

Tags: Greece, Eurozone crisis, greek crisis, ECB, ECB independence

Related

The new European Union Charles Wyplosz

Path to Grexit tragedy paved by political incompetence

Barry Eichengreen

e – the day after: Time for a fresh start

3 of 4 4/20/2022, 11:38 AM